DOCUMENT RESURCE

RD 273 416 RC 015 894

AUTHOR Languell, Kathryn; And Others

TITLE Young Physicians in Rural Areas: The Impact of

Service in the National Health Service Corps. Volume

1, County Characteristics.

INSTITUTION Mathematica Policy Research, Washington, DC.
SPONS AGENCY Health Resources Administration (DHHS/PHS),

Hyattsville, Md. Bureau of Health Professions.

REPORT NO HRP-0906634; ODAM-3-86

PUB DATE 31 Jul 85

MOTE 160p.; For volume 2, see RC 015 895. Data tables

contain small print.

AVAILABLE FROM U.S. Department of Commerce, National Technical

Information Service (MTIS), 5285 Port Royal Road,

Springfield, VA 22161

PUB TYPE Reports - Research/Technical (143)

EDRS PRICE MF01/PC07 Plus Postage.

DESCRIPTORS *Community Characteristics; Community Resources;

Community Size; Decision Making; Demography;

*Employment Patterns; *Geographic Location; Health Services; Local Norms; *Physicians; Primary Health

Care; *Rural Areas; Socioeconomic Influences

IDENTIFIERS *Health Manpower Shortage Areas; *National Health

Service Corps

ABSTRACT

A study of the characteristics of rural counties that gained or failed to gain young physicians examined location choices of all physicians who graduated from allopathic and osteopathic schools of medicine between 1974 and 1978 and were practicing in a primary care specialty in 2,111 rural counties in 1983. First, the characteristics of counties in which young physicians were located were compared with the characteristics of counties that failed to attract young physicians and significant differences were identified. The 1,228 gaining counties tended to have more population, higher population growth rates, greater population density, a better educated population, higher income, less agriculture, and more health resources than the 883 counties that did not gain a physician. The findings suggest that there are differences between the counties selected by National Health Service Corps (MHSC) alumni and non-alumni and that, for NHSC alumni particularly, factors other that the characteristics of communities appear to intervene in the location decision. It seems likely that the MHSC service itself is one of those intervening variables. This is suggested by the fact that satisfaction with aspects of the NHSC experience is positively associated with a decision to choose rural and Health Manpower Shortage Area practice. Numerous data tables supplement the text. (ZHZ)

* Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made

from the original document.



Young Physicians in Rural Areas: The Impact of Service in the National Health Service Corps

County Characteristics

VOLUME

Kathryn Langwell John L. Czajka Shelly L. Nelson Edward Lenk Katherine Berman U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
Office of Educational Research and Improvement
EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION
CENTER (ERIC)

This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it.

Minor Changes have been made to improve reproduction quality.

Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official OERI Position or policy

HRP-0906634

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF
HEALTH & HUMAN SERVICES
Public Health Service
Health Resources and Services Administration
Bureau of Health Professions
Office of Data Analysis and Management

ODAM Report No. 3-86



This report is available through the U.S. Department of Commerce, National Technical Information Service (NTIS), 5285 Port Royal Road, Springfield, VA 22161

3





Preface

In September 1983 the Public Health Service began a study of factors influencing the location and practice patterns of young physicians who recently settled in rural areas. The purpose of the study was to obtain basic data on all young MDs and DOs in rural areas and to determine whether physicians who served in the National Health Service Corps exhibited different location choices and practice patterns from those who did not serve. The results of the study are contained in a two volume report prepared by the contractor, Mathematica Policy Research, Inc., on July 31, 1985.

The report is entitled "Young Physicians in Rural Areas: The Impact of Service in the National Health Service Corps, Volumes I and II." Volume I, "County Characteristics" describes the characteristics of the rural counties selected by all primary care physicians who graduated from medical school between 1974 and 1978. Volume II, "Survey of Factors Influencing the Location Decision and Practice Patterns", presents the results of a survey from a sample of these physicians conducted in the Fall of 1984.

This study builds upon the results of a previous study also conducted by Mathematica Policy Research, Inc., "Evaluation of the Effects of National Health Service Corps Physician Placements Upon Medical Care Delivery in Rural Areas." That study was completed in 1982 and the results were presented in a comprehensive summary report and in a series of 11 technical reports.

This project was supported by several organizations within the Public Health Service. In the Health Resources and Services Administration, these included the Office of Planning, Evaluation and Legislation (OPEL), the Bureau of Health Care Delivery and Assistance (BHCDA), and the Bureau of Health Professions (BHPr). Support was also provided by the Office of Health Planning and Evaluation of the Office of the Assistant Secretary for Health.

John Drabek of the Office of Data Analysis and Management, BHPr, served as Project Officer. Dan Calvin of the National Health Service Corps, BHCDA was the original Project Officer.



 \dot{q}

i

CONTENTS

		Page
Preface.	•••••••	1
Acknowle	igements	11
List of	Tables	v
Executive	Summary	ix
I.	Introduction	1
	A. Background	1
	B. Purpose of This Report	1
	C. Overview of This Report	2
II.	Data and Methodology	4
	A. Overview	4
	B. Data and Methodology for the Stage 1 Analysis	4
	C. Data and Methodology for the Stage 2 Analysis	6
	D. Data and Methodology for the Multivatiate Analysis of Community Characteristics	7
III.	Findings: Stage 1 Analysis	16
	A. Distributional Patterns	16
	B. Relationship of Change in Under 35 Physician Supply	
	and Change in Total Physician Supply, 1975-1979	17
	C. Examination of the Distribution of Counties with	
	net Gains of Young Physicians by the Number	
	Gained	18
	D. Characteristics of Communities with New Gains, No	
	Change, and Net Losses of Young Physicians, 1975	
	to 1979	19
	E. Discussion	22
IV	Findings: Stage II Analysis	30
	A. Introduction	30
	B. Overview of the Physician Population	31
	C. Distributional Patterns of Young Physicians	31
	D. Characteristics of Communities Which Attracted	
	and Failed to Attract Young Physicians	36
v.	Findings: Multivariate Analysis of Community	
	Characteristics	99
	A. Introduction	99
	B. Findings	99
VI.	Summary and Discussion	106
	A. Overview	106
	B. Distributional Patterns	106
	C. Community Characteristics	108
	D. Multivariate Analysis	111
Appendix	A	114



LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
11.1	Community Characteristics Which Have Been Identified as Influencing the Location Decisions of Physicians	9
11.2	Variable Name and Definition	11
11.3	Stage 2 Dependent Variable Definitions	13
11.4	Variables Used in Multivariate Community Characteristics Analysis	14
111.1	Distribution of Non-Metropolitan Counties by Change in the Supply of Non-Federal Physicians Under Age 35, 1975 to 1979	23
111.2	Comparison of Changes in Under Age 35 Physician Supply and Changes in Total Non-Federal Physician Suply, 1975 to 1979	24
111.3	Distribution of Counties with New Gains by the Number of Young Non-Federal Physicians Gained, 1975 to 1979	25
III.4(a)	Mean Community Characteristics of Counties with Net Gains, No Change, and Net Losses of Young Non-Federal Physicians, 1975 to 1979	26
III.4(b)	Mean Characteristics of Counties with Net Gains, No Change, and Net Losses of Young Non-Federal Physicians, by Region 1975 to 1979	27
III.4(c)	Mean Characteristics of Counties with Net Gains, No Change, and Net Losses of Young Non-Federal Physicians, by County Population Size, 1975-1979	28
III.4(d)	Mean Characteristics of Counties with Net Gains, No Change, and Net Losses of Young Non-Federal Physicians	29
IV.1	Number and Distribution of the Study Populations, by Specialty and Year of Graduation	56
IV.2(a)	Distribution of Counties Which Did and Did Not Gain Young Physicians, by Region, County Population, and County HMSA Status	57
IV.2(b)	Distribution of Counties Which Did and Did Not Gain Young M.D.s with No NHSC Experience, by Region, County	58



<u>Table</u>		Page
IV.2(c)	Distribution of Counties Which Did and Did Not Gain Physicians with NHSC Experience, by Region, County Population, and County HMSA Status	59
IV.2(d)	Distribution of HMSA Counties Which Did and Did Not Gain PPOs, By Kegion, County Population, and County HMSA Status	60
IV.3	Distribution of Young Physicians by Specialty and Year of Graduation	61
IV.4(a)	Mean Community Characteristics of All Non-Metropolitan Counties with Less Than 50,000 Population, by Region, County Population Size, and HMSA Status	62
IV.4(b)	Mean Characteristics of Counties Which Did and Did Not Gain All Young Physicians, and Non-NHSC Young Physicians	65
IV.4(c)	Mean Characteristics of Counties Which Did and Did Not Gain Physicians with NHSC Experience	67
IV.4(d)	Mean Characteristics of HMSA Counties Which Did and Did Not Gain PPO Physicians	69
IV.5(a)	Mean Characteristics of HMSA Counties Which Did and Did Not Gain All Young Physicians, by Region	71
IV.5(b)	Mean Characteristics of Counties Which Did and Did Not Gain Physicians with NHSC Experience, by Region	74
IV.5(c)	Mean Characteristics of HMSA Counties Which Did and Did Not Gain Young M.D.s with No NHSC Experience, by Region	77
IV.5(d)	Mean Characteristics of HMSA Counties Which Did and Did Not Gain PPOs, by Region	80
IV.6(a)	Mean Characteristics of Counties Which Did and Did Not Gain All Young Physicians, by County Population Size	83
IV.6(b)	Mean Characteristics Which Did and Did Not Gain Young Physicians with NHSC Experience, by County Population Size	85
IV.6(c)	Mean Characteristics Which Did and Did Not Gain Young Physicians with NHSC Experience, by County Population Size	87
IV.6(d)	Mean Characteristics of Counties Which Did and Did Not Gain Young Physicians with No NHSC Experience, by County Population Size	89
IV.7(a)	Mean Characteristics of Counties Which Did and Did Not Gain All Young Physicians, by HMSA Status	91



Table		Page
IV.7(b)	An Characteristics of Counties Which Did and Did Not Gain Young Physicians with NHSC Experience, by HMSA Status	93
IV.7(c)	Mean Characteristics of Counties Which Did and Did Not Gain Young Physicians with No NHSC Experience, by HMSA Status	95
IV.7(d)	Mean Characteristics of HMSA Counties Which Did and Did Not Gain PPOs, by HMSA Status	97
V.1	Results of Logit Analysis: All Young Physicians and by NHSC Status	103
V.2(a)	Estimated Probabilities of Attracting a Physician for Selected Characteristics of Countries ^a	104
V.2(b)	Estimated Probabilities of Attracting a Physician for Selected Characteristics of Counties ^a	105
	Appendix	
A.1	Distribution of Counties Which Did and Did Not Gain Young M.D.s with NHSC Experience, by Region, County Population, and County HMSA Status	115
A.2	Distribution of Counties Which Did and Did Not Gain Young D.O.s with NHSC Experience, by Region, County Population, and County HMSA Status	116
A. 3	Distribution of Counties Which Did and Did Not Gain PPO M.D.s, by Region, County Population, and County HMSA Status	117
A.4	Distribution of Counties Which Did and Did Not Gain PPO D.O.s, by Region, County Population, and County HMSA Status	118



EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Overview of Study and Findings

The mission of the National Health Service Corps is to improve delivery of health services in Health Manpower Shortage Areas (HMSAs) by the appropriate placement of health professionals and health resources. This study was undertaken to determine:

- o the characteristics of rural communities which are attractive to young physicians
- o to what extent NHSC physicians have remained in the locations to which they were assigned after completing their service (NHSC alumni)
- o the factors which influence young physicians' choice of a rural or HMSA practice location and, for NHSC alumni and non-alumni, whether these factors are different
- o the practice characteristics of young physicians in rural and HMSA locations and whether these practice characteristics differ for NHSC alumni and non-alumni

Analyses were conducted using Area Resource File data on characteristics of rural counties and data on individual physicians' characteristics which were obtained through a survey of young physicians in primary care practice in non-metropolitan areas.

Major findings emerging from this study include:

- o Rural counties which were most likely to gain a young physician were more populous and had more health resources; this finding is consistent with expectations based upon earlier studies of the geographic diffusion as the supply of Lysicians increases.
- o In addition to population and health resources, the presence of a college, greater white collar employment, and less farm population were factors which were associated with the ability of rural counties to attract young physicians. However, NHSC alumni located in counties that had lower population density, were less likely to have a hospital, and were more likely to be whole county HMSAs.



- o Of those alumni who located in a rural area after completing their NHSC service, over 70 percent remained in the site to which they were assigned. Since NHSC alumni report fewer prior contacts with rural areas than non-alumni, there seems to be considerable evidence that the NHSC experience has a strong effect on subsequent location decisions of alumni. This is also suggested by the fact that the analytic results indicate that satisfaction with aspects of the NHSC experience is associated with HMSA location choices.
- o Analysis of practice patterns of NHSC alumni and nonalumni in rural areas reveals comparable work loads.
 However, NHSC alumni are more accessible to the
 underserved—seeing more Medicaid patients, using
 sliding fee scales and discounts more frequently and
 accepting assignment for Medicare claims more often.
 These differences are particularly pronounced for alumni
 who are in HMSA locations. Some of these differences
 may be due to differences in the measurable and
 unmeasurable characteristics of the two groups of
 physicians, but the results are also consistent with the
 impact of their NHSC service since we have observed
 similar practice patterns in the previous studies of
 NHSC service.
- o The practice patterns reported by NHSC physicians serving under the Private Practice Option (PPO) are consistent with prior expectations. PPOs see slightly fewer patients than other physicians, perhaps because they are less experienced and established than the other physicians. However, because the NHSC program has evolved so substantially in recent years it may be difficult to generalize from data on PPO physicians who selected locations in the summer of 1983 or earlier.

Purpose of This Study

The purpose of this study was to answer several specific questions about the effect of the NHSC on the geographic location and practice patterns of alumni:

- o Of NHSC alumni practicing in rural areas, what proportion remained in the rural area where they completed their NHSC service?
- o Of all young physicians graduating between 1974 and 1978 who have chosen primary care practice in a rural community, what factors influenced the choice of a specific community? Are there detectable differences in the

X



factors which influenced the location choices of NHSC alumni and non-alumni?

- o Do the practice characteristics of NHSC alumni appear to have been influenced by their exposure to the NHSC? Do alumni and non-alumni report different practice characteristics?
- c What characteristics of rural communities distinguish counties which are attractive to young physicians from those counties which do not attract physicians? Are rural counties which are attractive to NHSC alumni different from counties which are attractive to non-alumni?

It is anticipated that the results of this evaluation will assist the Healan Resources and Sorvices Administration in its efforts to adapt the NHSC program in the current market environment characterized by increasing physician supply and stronger competitive pressures influencing new physicians' location patterns. Therefore, the focus of this evaluation has been on identifying information which HRSA may use in selecting, placing, and monitoring the practice characteristics of NHSC physicians in order to increase retention and to provide services to areas least likely to obtain physicians' services independently.

Findings: Characteristics of Rural Communities Which Gain Young Physicians

The study of the characteristics of rural counties which gained or failed to gain young physicians examined location choices of all physicians who graduated from allopathic and osteopathic schools of medicine between 1974 and 1978 and were practicing in a primary care specialty in 2,111 rural counties in 1983. First, the characteristics of counties in which young physicians were located were compared with the characteristics of counties which failed to attract young physicians and significant differences were identified. The 1,228 gaining counties tended to have more population, higher population growth rates, greater population density, a better educated population, higher income, less agriculture, and more health resources than the 883 counties which did not gain a physician.

Even among the counties that gained young physicians there were differences. NHSC alumni tended to choose areas that had smaller populations, lower population density, lower income levels, higher unemployment rates, fewer health resources, and less health care utilization when compared with the areas selected by non-NHSC physicians. About 81 percent of the counties where NHSC alumni practice were designated as Health Manpower Shortage Areas (either whole or part county HMSAs), as compared with 53 percent of the counties which attracted non-NHSC physicians. In about 5 percent of the counties that gained young physicians, an NHSC alumnus was the only young physician to establish practice there.



These descriptive findings were used to guide the multivariate analysis of the impact of specific community characteristics on the probability that a young physician would locate in a specific county, and the interrelationships of groups of variables. Results of the multivariate analysis suggest that counties are more likely to be attractive to young physicians, in general, when they have:

- o **Greater** population
- o More physicians
- o A college
- o Greater white collar employment
- o Less farm population

A somewhat different set of factors are associated with the counties which are selected by NHSC alumni. The probability that an alumnus will locate in a county is related to:

- o Lower population density
- o <u>Higher per capita educational expenditures</u>
- o No hospital
- o Lower farm population
- o Whole county HMSA designation

Although population and physician-to-population ratio are positively associated with the alumni's location choices, the magnitude of the effect is much less than for non-NHSC alumni choices. These findings suggest that there are differences between the counties selected by NHSC alumni and non-alumni and that, for NHSC alumni particularly, factors other than the characteristics of communities appear to intervene in the location decision. It seems likely that the NHSC service, itself, is one of those intervening variables.

Individual Physicians' Location Choices

Using data obtained through a survey of 1974-1978 graduates of allopathic and osteopathic schools of medicine who were located in rural areas and were practicing as primary care physicians, the factors which incluenced their choice of location were examined descriptively and using multivariate techniques. A major finding of the descriptive analyses is



that MBC elemi located in rural areas report substantially fover prior contacts with rural areas than do non-alumni; estempothic physicians report the highest number of prior contact events.

Results of the multivations analysis of young physicians' location choices indicate that the MRSC experience has affected the choices of MRSC alumni. Although alumni have fewer prior scatters with rural areas, the rural MRSC experience often immediately precedes the personent location decision and, accomposably, may expert a perticularly strong influence. This is suggested by the fact that estimates with aspects of the MRSC experience is positively associated with a decision to choose rural and MRSA practice. It is also estewarthy that, for alumni, organized community rescribes of or reported to have a significant positive effect on the decision for MRSA practice.

Analysis of Tours Physicians' Practice Patterns

The fecus of this study area was to determine whether the practice patterns of MMC alumni are different from, or similar to, the practice patterns of young physicians who did not serve in the NMC. In addition, the practice characteristics of Private Practice Option physicians while fulfilling their MMC obligation in 1904-05 were examined. But for this enalysis were obtained through the survey of young physicians conducted interest Option 1904 and January 1905.

Assults of the comparison of practice patterns indicate that there are differences between IMSC alumni and non-alumni. Alumni practice patterns include:

- e higher proportions of patients from whole MISA counties
- core Generalty Bealth Center and Migreet Bealth Center practice
- o greater use of morse practitioners
- o more evening practice hours
- o more Hedicald patients
- higher setes of acceptance of accignment for Medicare claims
- more frequent use of aliding fee scales and discounts of fees.

These differences, however, do not appear to be wholly attributable to the MMSC experience. MMSC alumni are occasion more likely to be in general and featly practice, are less frequently board certified, such more likely to



practice in a MISA, and are underrepresented in the South and overrepresented in the Ment, compared to non-alumni. These differences between the two groups may explain a substantial portion of the practice characteristics differences observed.

Physicians who practice in non-MMSAs exhibit similar practice patterns whether or not they esrved in the MMSC. However, differences are observed in the practice patterns of alumni and non-alumni who practice in MMSAs. Evidently, for alumni who remain in HMSA practice, some Corps effect appears to have influenced their subsequent practice patterns.

When the practice patterns of recent PPOs are examined, the findings indicate that:

- While 16% were in Community Health Centers or Migrant Health Centers, the majority of PPOs are in solo and partnership/group practice arrangements.
- o PPOs see fewer patients, on average, than do NHSC alumni and non-alumni, but report working more hours.
- About 20 percent of patients seen in all settings are Medicaid beneficiaries.
- o PPOs in MHCs see the largest number of patients and work the longest hours.
- Wearly 80 percent of PPOs are GP/FP physicians; the remainder are IM and PD physicians.
- o There is little difference between board certified and non-board certified PPOs in practice characteristics.

However, these results must be viewed with caution since the PPOs surveyed were in practice prior to January 1984. Substantial changes were made in the MMSC placement program in 1984. Consequently, current PPOs and PPAs may exhibit different practice petterns.



I. INTRODUCTION

A. BACKGROUND

The mission of the National Health Service Corps Program is to improve the delivery of health services in Health Manpower Shortage Areas (HMSA) by the appropriate placement of health professionals and health resources. The purpose of this study was to evaluate the retention of NHSC physician alumni in Health Manpower Shortage Areas, to document the distribution and practice characteristics of NHSC alumni, current PPOs, and non-NHSC physicians, and to examine the characteristics of rural communities which have been attractive to NHSC and non-NHSC physicians over the past decade. In addition, this evaluation examined the effect of the NHSC experience on subsequent practice patterns (e.g. use of auxiliary personnel, fee structures, patient characteristics) of alumni.

It is anticipated that the results of this evaluation will be of considerable assistance to the Health Resources and Services Administration in its efforts to refine and refocus the NHSC program in the current market environment characterized by increasing physician supply and stronger competitive pressures influencing new physicians' location patterns. The focus of this evaluation is on identifying information which HRSA may use in selecting, placing, and monitoring the practice characteristics of NHSC physicians in order to increase retention and to provide services to areas least likely to obtain physicians' services independently.

B. PURPOSE OF THIS REPORT

The research to be conducted under this contract falls into three major categories:

- o descriptive profiles of rural and shortage areas communities which have lost, retained, and/or gained new physicians between 1972 and 1983
- o multivariate analysis of the relationship between specific community characteristics and the probability of physicians' location
- o descriptive and multivariate analysis of
 - -- the urban-rural location choice of 1979 NHSC physicians
 - -- the HMSA-non HMSA location choices of NHSC and non-NHSC physicians
 - -- the practice characteristics of NHSC and non-NHSC physicians



The purpose of this report is to present the findings of the community profiles analysis, including descriptive profiles of rural and shortage areas which have lost, retained, or gained young physicians between 1972 and 1983, and to describe the results of the multivariate analysis of the impact of community characteristics on the probability that young physicians will locate in specific communities.

The descriptive community profiles were prepared in two stages:

- STAGE 1: Profiles were developed for rural communities and HMSAs which between 1975 and 1979:
 (1) experienced a net loss of physicians under age 35; (2) experienced a net gain of physicians under age 35; and (3) maintained a constant number of under age 35 physicians. This analysis was conducted using data from the Area Resource File only.
- STAGE 2: Profiles were developed for rural communities and HMSAs which gained or did not gain young physicians who are NHSC alumni, current PPOs, and non-NHSC physicians. Data used for this analysis are from the American Medical Association, the NHSC Alumni File, the NHSC PPO File, the Area Resource File, and the City and County Data Book File.

The purpose of the descriptive community profiles analysis was to identify differences in the characteristics of rural and HMSA communities which:

- o gained or failed to gain young physicians
- o gained or failed to gain specific categories of young physicians (i.e. NHSC alumni, current PPOs, and non-NHSC physicians).

Results of the descriptive analysis were a set of distinguishing characteristics of communities which were used to guide the multivariate analysis of the relationship between community characteristics and the probability that a specific county will gain any young physician, an NHSC alumni, or a non-alumni.

C. OVERVIEW OF THIS REPORT

This volume of the Final Report summarizes the analysis of communities and the relationship between their characteristics and the

location decisions of young physicians. Volume II of the Final Report presents the findings of the analyses of survey data focusing on the factors influencing location decisions of individual physicians and their practice characteristics.

Chapter II of this report discusses the data and methodology used to analyze the characteristics of communities which gained or did not gain young physicians. In Chapter III the findings of the Stage 1 analysis, which examines the change in the supply of young physicians in rural counties between 1975 and 1979, are presented. Results of the Stage II analysis, which examines the characteristics of communities in which 1974—1978 medical school graduates located, are discussed in Chapter IV. Chapter V summarizes the results of the multivariate analysis of the relationship between community characteristics and young physicians' location choices.

A summary and discussion of findings in Chapter VI concludes Volume I of this Final Report. Throughout this study, the emphasis has been on determining whether NHSC alumni are similar to or different from non-alumni in their location patterns; thus, each analysis focuses on comparison of these two groups.

17

II. DATA AND METHODOLOGY

A. OVERVIEW

In the <u>Research Design</u> (submitted December 30, 1983), a number of characteristics of communities which have been identified, in previous research, as attractive to physicians choosing a practice location were discussed. These characteristics are presented in Table II.1 and the specific variable and data source capturing that characteristic is shown. This list represents the community characteristics which will be compared for the subgroups of counties to be analyzed during this phase of the evaluation. Table II.2 provides a definitional description of each variable included in the analysis.

B. DATA AND METHODOLOGY FOR THE STAGE 1 ANALYSIS

All data to be used for the Stage 1 community profiles analysis are from the Area Resource File, a computer file developed and maintained by the Bureau of Health Professions, Health Resources and Services Administration, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. This data set contains information on population characteristics, health facilities, health manpower, health status of the population, economic activity, and environment for each county in the United States.

The Area Resource File contains detailed data on physicians by specialty and age for 1975 and 1979 which permit the examination of flows of young physicians into counties over this period. The number of physicians under age 35 in 1975 is subtracted from the number of physicians under age 35 in 1979 to identify counties with net gains between 1975 and 1979. The assignment of counties to three categories: (1) Net gainers, (2) No change, and (3) Net losers is conducted by calculating:

Thus, NETGAIN, NOCHG, AND NETLOSS measure the ability of counties to attract and retain young physicians. This measure is not perfect — we are not tracking individual physicians, but flows of physicians on an aggregate basis. If, for example, a particular county experienced no change in the number of physicians under age 35 between 1975 and 1979, we have no way to determine whether there was: (1) no change; (2) young physicians aged into the next age group and were replaced by new young physicians who chose to locate in that county between 1975 and 1979; or (3) some young physicians who practiced in the area decided to leave between 1975 and 1979, but were replaced by new young physicians.

The calculation of the mean values or the community characteristics of interest for the three groups of counties is done for several categories:

- o all non-metropolitan counties
- o non-metropolitan counties by Census Region
- o non-metropolitan counties by population size
 - under 10,000
 - -- 10,000 to 24,999
 - 25,000 and over
- o non-metropolitan counties by HMSA status
 - -- non-HMSA
 - -- partial HMSA
 - -- whole county HMSA

For this analysis, non-metropolitan counties are defined as those counties which are not part of a Standard Metropolitan Statistical Area (SMSA) and which have less than 50,000 population.

In addition to examining the patterns of community characteristics across the NETGAIN, NOCHG, and NETLOSS spectrum, we use a one-tailed t-test to detect significant differences in the means of community characteristics for two groups of counties:

- o Those counties which gained 1 or more new physicians between 1975 and 1979 (NETGAIN)
- o Those counties which lost 1 or more physicians between 1975 and 1979 (NETLOSS).

We exclude from this analysis the category NOCHG, since we cannot determine whether a county with no change between 1975 and 1979 gained a new physician by 1979 who replaced a 1975 under 35 physician who aged or migrated by 1979, or actually experienced no change in the number of under age 35 physicians.

Although the focus of this study is on young physicians and their location patterns, it is also of interest to examine the relationship between changes in the supply of young physicians and changes in the total supply of physicians in a county. An area may attract one or more young physicians, but may lose more physicians to death, retirement, or migration. Similarly, a county may not attract young physicians because one or more older physicians migrate into the area. To examine these relationships we have arrayed the changes in total supply of physicians (i.e NETGAIN, NOCHG, NETLOSS for all M.D.s) for each category of changes in the supply of young physicians. Thus, for all counties which gained 1 or more young physicians,



we determine the proportion which gained, remained constant, and lost in total physician supply. This examination provides an indication of the extent to which young physicians are increasing total supply, rather than merely replacing physicians leaving active practice.

A final area of interest for this study is the magnitude of increases in young physician supply and the extent to which there is variation on the absolute number of young physicians gained by Census Region, county population grouping, and by HMSA status. If all young physicians are faced with becoming the sole new entrant in most rural counties, this may have implications for the ability of rural counties to attract young physicians. Counties which may support a greater number of new physicians may be perceived as more desirable locations.

C. DATA AND METHODOLOGY FOR THE STAGE 2 ANALYSIS

The Stage 2 analysis of the characteristics of communities is conducted using data provided by the American Medical Association on the non-SMSA location choices of all 1974 through 1978 graduates of U.S. medical schools who are practicing in primary care specialties,* and data on the current locations of NHSC alumni and current PPOs.

Several categories of physicians will be distinguished for this analysis:

- o all young physicians
- o non-NHSC M.D.s
- o NHSC alumni
 - -- M.D.s
 - -- D.O.s
- o Current PPOs
 - -- M.D.s
 - -- D.O.s

It is worth noting that the AMA provided the universe of physicians who meet the specified criteria. This means that NHSC and non-NHSC physicians are present. For the community profiles analyses, we matched the AMA file with the NHSC alumni and current PPO files in order to identify and exclude NHSC physicians from the non-NHSC physician list.

^{*}Our original analysis plan indicated that data from the American Osteopathic Association also would be analyzed. The AOA, however, was unable to provide current addresses for a majority of 1974 to 1978 graduates of osteopathic schools.

The analysis of community characteristics in Stage 2 parallels the Stage 1 analysis. The complete list of community characteristics shown in Tables II.1 and II.2 is used and mean values of these characteristics calculated for the following categories of locations:

- o counties in which young physicians located and did not locate
- o counties in which NHSC alumni located and did not locate: total alumni, M.D. alumni, and D.O. alumni
- o counties in which current PPOs are serving and not serving: total PPOs, M.D. PPOs, and D.O. PPOs
- counties in which all non-NHSC M.D.s located and did not locate

Table II.3 summar.zes the definition of the variables used to stratify counties into gaining or not gaining categories.

The analysis of differences in community characteristics will focus on testing for significant differences, using a two-tailed t-test, in mean values of these characteristics for the following groups:

- o counties in which young physicians did and did not locate
- o counties in which non-NHSC physicians did and did not locate
- o counties in which NHSC alumni did and did not locate
- o counties in which current PPOs did and did not locate

These comparisons will be made for all rural counties, by Census Region, by county population size, and by HMSA status.

D. DATA AND METHODOLOGY FOR THE MULTIVARIATE ANALYSIS OF COMMUNITY CHARACTERISTICS

1. Data

The Community Profiles Analysis permitted the identification of a reduced set of variables which were included in the community characteristics analysis. Table II.4 presents the variables which were examined in the multivariate analysis. All explanatory variables were constructed from data on the Area Resource File or the City and County Data



Book File. The dependent variables were constructed using the data provided by the AMA and AOA which have been described above.

2. Methodology

Since our primary interest was in determining the relationship between specific characteristics of communities and the probability that a county will attract young physicians, we have used LOGIT analysis. The qualitative dependent variable takes on the value of 1 for counties which attracted young physicians and 0 for counties which failed to gain a young physician. With a qualitative dependent variable, the appropriate and efficient analytic technique is LOGIT (Werner, Wendling, and Budde, 1978). A full discussion on multivariate log-linear and logistic models is provided in Nerlove and Press (1973) and in the SAS Logit Manual (1983).

Use of LOGIT analysis for the community characteristics analysis yields coefficients that can be examined for sign and significance of each variable as a factor influencing the probability that a county will have gained a young physician. In addition, each variable can be evaluated separately using the logistic transformation to determine the effect of that variable on the conditional probability that a county will attract a young physician. These conditional probabilities have the potential to be used to develop a system for classifying rural counties by probability of gaining a young physician.



TABLE II.1 COMMUNITY CHARACTERISTICS WHICH HAVE BEEN IDENTIFIED AS INFLUENCING THE LOCATION DECISIONS OF PHYSICIAN

Characteristics	Variable(s)	Source
Educational Quality	Expenditures per capita for public education	City and County Data Book
	Number of colleges and universities in county	Area Resource File
Health Resources	Number of nursing schools	Area Resource File
	Number of FTE RNS, P.A.s, NPS	Area Resource File
	Number of short term general and	Area Resource File
	community hospitals	riad megodice i ile
	Number of short term general and	Area Resource File
	community hospital beds	M MG MGSOULCO FILE
	Neonatal ICU beds	Area Resource File
	Med/Surg ICU beds	Area Resource File
	Number of M.D. and D.O. physicians	
	providing direct patient care services in county	Area Resource File
	Number of primary care M.D. and D.O. physicians providing direct patient care services in county	Area Resource File
	County M.D. and D.O. physician-to-population ratios	Area Resource File
	County primary care M.D. and D.O. physician- to-population ratios	Area Resource File
conomic Factors	County per capita income	Area Resource File
	County per household income	Area Resource File
	Percent growth in per capita income	Area Resource File
	County unemployment rate	Area Resource File
	Percent agricultural	Area Resource File
	Percent households below the poverty level	Area Resource File
	Percent persons below the poverty level	Area Resource File
	Occupied housing units	City and County Data Book
	Occupied housing units without	City and County
	plumbing	Data Book
	Number AFDC recipients	Area Resource Fila
	Percent construction workers	Area Resource File
	Percent white collar workers	Area Resource File
	Percent manufacturing workers	Area Resource File
	Civilian labor force	City and County Data Book
	Local government expenditures for health and hospitals	City and County
	Per capita farm income	Data Book City and County
	Number of farms	Data Book City and County Data Book



Table II.1 (continued)
Page Two

<u>Characteristics</u>	Variatie(s)	Sour ce
Economic Factors	Percent farmland	City and County
(con ¹ t)		Data Book
	Resident work force	City and County
		Deta Book
		2010 20011
Population '	Total population, 1980	Area Resource File
Characteristics	Population growth rate, 1970-1980	Area Resource File
	Population per square mile	Area Resource File
	Racial distribution	Area Resource File
	Percent white	
	Percent black	
	Percent Spanish descent	
	Percent other	
	Median school years, persons 25 years and older	Area Resource File
Climate and	January temperature	Area Resource File
Recreational	July temperature	Area Resource File
Opportunies	January precipitation	Area Resource File
••	July percipitation	Area Resource File
	Elevation feet	Area Resource File
	Number of urban contigous countles	Area Resource File
Health Status of	Total births	Area Resource File
population	infant mortality rate	Area Resource File
	Total dealths	Area Resource File
	Number of deaths due to Infection	Area Resource File
	and parasitic diseases	
	Number of deaths due to Ischemic	Area Resource File
	heart disease and other carlovascular disease	
Health Status of	Number of deaths due to Influenza and	Area Resource File
Population	on euron la	Area Nesource rite
ropulation	Incidence of measies	Area Resource File
	Incidence of mumps	Area Resource File
	Incidence of rubella	Area Resource File
	Fertility rate	Area Resource File
	, 5, 7, 7, 7, 5, 5	
Crime	Number of murders	Area Resource File
	Number of rapes	Area Resource File
	Number of burglaries	Area Resource File
Health Care	Hospital inpatient days	Area Resource File
Utilization	Hospital outpatient days	Area Resource File
	Hospital ER visits	Area Resource File
	Surgical operations	Area Resource File



TABLE II.2 VARIABLE NAME AND DEFINITION

NAME	DEFINITION
CENS80	1980 Census - total population
POPRT	% growth in population, 1970 - 1980
PPSQM 75	Persons per square mile
WHITE	% of population that is White
BLACK	% of population that is Black
SPANISH	% of population that is Spanish
OTHER	% of population that is another race
SCHOOL	Median school years for 25+ population
COLUNIV	# of colleges and other 4-year institutions
EDUCATE	Per capita expenditures for education
RNSCHL80	# R.N. schools, 1979 - 1980
FTERN77	#FTE R.N.'s per 100,000 persons, 1977
MDEXTRAT	<pre># physician assistants and nurse prac. per 100,000,</pre>
HOSP82	# General and community hospitals, 1982
BED82	# Beds, 1982
BED82RAT	# Beds per 100,000 persons, 1982
HEALTH	Per capita local health expenditures, 1982
NEOBEDS	# Neonatal ICU beds per 100,000, general hospitals,
TOTMD80	# M.D.'s providing patient care, 1980
PC79	# M.D.'s providing primary care, 1979
MDPOP80	# M.D.'s providing patient care per 100,000 person, 1980
PCPOP79	# M.D.'s providing primary care per 100,000
	persons, 1979
HOUSE	Per capita housing units, occupied, 1980
TOTURBAN	# of urban contiguous counties, 1980
TOILET	% houses lacking plumbing for E.U.
UNEMP	# unemployed persons/labor force = UR, 1982
LABOR	Labor force participation rate, all persons, 1982
INCPC77	Per capita income, 1977
HHINC75	Average household income, 1975
INCRATE	% growth in per capita income, 1975-1980
PERPOVF	% of families below the poverty line
PERPOVP	% of persons below the poverty line
FARMPOP	% of labor force agricultural, 1980
FARMINC	Per capita income for farm population, 1980
FARMS	Number of farms, 1978
FARMLAND	Farmland as % of total land, 1978
AFDC79	% AFDC recipients, 1979
BUILDERS	% of workers in contruction, 1980
wc8 0	% white collar, 1980
MNFTN680	% manufacturing, 1980



NAME	DEFINITION
JANTEMP	January temperature, 1976, F°
JULYTEMP	July temperature, 1976, F°
JANRAIN	January rain, 1976, inches
JULYRAIN	July rain, 1976, inches
ALTITUDE	Elevation in feet
IMRATE	5-yr infant mortality rate, 1974-78
DEATHS79	Total deaths per 100,000 persons, 1979
DIE_IP77	Total deaths per 100,000 persons due to infective/parasitic disease, 1979
CDEATH79	# cardiac deaths per 100,000 persons, 1979
DIE_FL79	# influenza/pneumonia deaths per 100,000 persons, 1979
MEASLE79	incidence of measles per 100,000 persons, 1979
MUMPS79	incidence of mumps per 100,000 persons, 1979
RUBELA79	incidence of rubela per 100,000 persons, 1979
TEENBABY	% of births to teens, 1973-77
MURDERS	# per 100,000 persons, 1975
RAPES	# per 100,000 persons, 1975
BURGLARY	# per 100,000 persons, 1975
INPAT81	# inpatient days/general hosp., per 100,000 pop., 1981
OUTPAT81	# outpatient days/general hosp., per 100,000 pop., 1981
EMERG81	<pre># emergency outpatient visits/general hospital, per 100,000 pop., 1981</pre>
ISURG80	# inpatient surgical operations, per 100,000 pop., 1980
TSURG80	Total surgical operations, per 100,000 pop., 1580
WORKERS	% of workers working in state/and county of residence, 1980
WORKNRES	% working in state but not county of residence, 1980
WORKOUT	% working outside of state of residence, 1980
TOTD081	# D.O.'s, active non-fed patient care, 1981
DOPOP81	# D.O.'s, per 100,000 persons, 1981
DO78_PR	# D.O.'s in primary care, 1978
DOPOP_PR	# D.O.'s in primary care, per 100,000 persons, 1978
MDINRE81	# M.D. interns and residents, 1981
FERT79	Fertility rate, 1979





TABLE 11.) STACE 2 SEPRESENT VARIABLE DEFINITIONS

The tables produced by the SAS Procedure Treet contain independent variable means and t-table for significant difference of means on two-level dependent variables. The same and definitions of those dependent variables remain constant and are so follows

ATTRACTA Attract status for all young physicians encluding current PPO's.

If ALLYOUNG - 0 then ATTRACTA - 0;
else ATTRACTA - 1;

Aftracts Attracts etatus for all MRSC alumni.

If TOTALIN - 0 then ATTRACTS - 0

else ATTRACTS - 1;

Affract status for MESC alumi who are M.D.s. if ALMI ID = 0 then ATTRACTC = 0; size AFFRACTC = 1;

ATTRACTO Attract states for MMSC alumni who are D.O.s.

If ALME DO = 0 then ATTRACTO = 0

else ATTRACTO = 1;

ATTRACTE Attract status for all current PFO's.

1f TOTPFO = 0 then ATTRACTE = 0;

else ATTRACTE = 1;

Attract status for current PIO's who are M.D.s.

If PROSD-A = 0 then ATTRACTY = 0

else ATTRACTY = 1;

Aftract status for current PFO's who are D.O.s.

If PFOSO-A = 0 then AFTRACTG = 0

else AFTRACTG = 1:

ATTRACTE Attract etatus for all sou-MESC N.D.s. If AMA-TOT = 0 thes ATTRACTE = 0 else ATTRACTE = 1.

TABLE 11.4

VARIABLES USED IN MULTIVARIATE COMMUNITY CHARACTERISTICS ANALYSIS

	Dependent Veriebles
ANYDOC	 1, if any young physicien locateu in the county; 0, otherwise
NOMMEC	 1, if any young non-NHSC elumni located in the county; 0, otherwise
MHSCLOC	1, if eny young NHSC elumni located in the county; 0, otherwise
	Explanetory Veriables
AMA2	 1, if the county has 10,000 - 24,999 population and is not in en SMSA; 0, otherwise
AHA3	 1, if the county has 25,000 - 49,999 population and is not in en SMSA; 0, otherwise
PPSQM75	- County population per square mile in 1975
EDUCATE	- County expenditures on public education per capita
COLLEGE	1, if there is a 2 year or 4 year college in the county; 0, otherwise
URBAN	- 1, if the county is contiguous to an SMSA; 0, otherwise
INCRATE	 Percentage increase in per capita income in county, 1975 1980
WC80	 Percentage of population with white collar employment, 1980
FARMPOP	- Percentage of population residing on farms, 1980
PERPOVE	 Percentage of population with incomes below the poverty level, 1980
WORKRES	 Percentage of the employed population which works in the county of residence
HOSPITAL	- 1, if there is a hospital in the county; 0, otherwise



TABLE II.4 (continued)

MDPOP	= Physicians - to - 100,000 population ratio in county
HMSA1	1, if the county is a wholly - designated HMSA; 0, otherwise
HMSA2	1 if the county is a partially - designated HMSA; 0, otherwise.



III. FINDINGS: STAGE 1 ANALYSIS

A. DISTRIBUTIONAL PATTERNS

Table III.1 presents summary data on the change in the supply of young physicians in all nonmetropolitan counties between 1975 and 1979, and by region, county population size, and HMSA status. Of 2111 nonmetropolitan counties, 40 percent attracted one or more young physicians, 42 percent exhibited no change in the supply of young physicians, and 18 percent lost one or more young physicians. This distribution varies considerably, however, when the counties are stratified by region, population size, and HMSA status.

The North Census Region has had the most success in attracting young physicians over the period of interest; over 65 percent of its nonmetropolitan counties gained one or more young physicians. Counties in the Central Region, on the other hand, were least likely to have gained a young physician—only 35 percent of these 794 counties attracted a new physician between 1975 and 1979. The South and West Regions were nearly equally successful in attracting young physicians; 41 percent and 43 percent of counties, respectively, gained one or more new physicians. The distributions indicate relative attractiveness but do not reflect actual flows of young physicians by region. The North Region had only 39 counties which gained one or more young physicians.

When nonmetropolitan counties are stratified by population size, a distinctive pattern emerges—least populous counties are least likely to have gained (21 percent) a young physician, most likely to have exhibited no change (65 percent) in the supply of young physicians, and least likely to have lost a young physician (14 percent). The most populous nonmetro—politan counties are most likely to have gained a young physician (61 percent), least likely to have exhibited no change in supply (16 percent), and most likely to have lost one or more young physicians (23 percent). These findings are consistent with previous findings in the literature, i.e. if diffusion is occurring, young physicians are locating in the most desirable nonmetropolitan areas in increasing numbers. In terms of population base and attractiveness of environment, it is reasonable to expect that young physicians will locate in more populous areas up to a saturation point and then in the less populous areas.

Examination of the distributional patterns by county HMSA pattern reveals that counties which are in part designated as Health Manpower Shortage Areas are more likely to have attracted a young physician than are counties which are not HMSA-designated. Not unexpectedly, HMSA counties which are wholly designated are least likely to have gained a young physician.

The discussion in this section has focused primarily on describing the distribution of counties which have experienced a net gain in young



physicians. It is important to recognize that the categories "no change" and "net loss" are not interpretable in a straightforward fashion. Counties which "lost" young physicians may have actually lost a physician or may have retained a physician who aged into an older age category. Similarly, counties which we have classed as "no change" may have experienced a stable physician supply or may have attracted one or more young physicians who replaced others who aged into the next age category or who moved out of the area. To examine one possibility, we calculated the percentage of "No Change" counties which had no physician under 35 in both 1975 and 1979. Of all 895 counties in this category, 641 or 72 percent had no young physician in either 1975 or 1979. This varies, however, by different classes of counties.

	Percent of
	"No Change"
	Counties with
Region	No Young M.D.s
North	33%
Central	74
South	70
West	74
Population Size	
Under 10,000	89%
10,000 - 24,999	58
25,000 and over	23
HMSA Status	
Non HMSA	60%
Part HMSA	53
Whole HMSA	84

Clearly, there are areas of the country and types of counties which are more (or less) likely to have gained young physicians. The high proportion of counties which had no young physician in either year suggests that there remains a "core" of counties which have been and have continued to be unattractive to young physicians.

B. RELATIONSHIP OF CHANGE IN UNDER 35 PHYSICIAN SUPPLY AND CHANGE IN TOTAL PHYSICIAN SUPPLY, 1975-1979

Table III.2 displays the relationship of changes in the supply of physicians under age 35 to changes in the total supply of physicians between 1975 and 1979. Sixty percent of counties which gained one or more young

physician experienced an overall increase in total supply of physicians. In the remaining 40 percent of counties, the addition of one or more young physicians offset, partly or completely, a loss of older physicians—possibly to retirement or death.

Examination of counties for which no change in the supply of physicians was found reveals that no change in the total supply of physicians was observed in 65 percent of these counties. Presumably, any young physicians locating in these counties offset physicians aging into the older age groups and physicians who retire or die. In the 19 percent of counties which gained in total supply of physicians, it must be assumed that one or more "older" physicians was attracted to the area. Finally, in 17 percent of counties which evidenced no increase in the supply of young physicians there was a net loss in the total supply of physicians. In these counties it may be reasonable to presume that older physicians retired, migrated, or died and were not replaced by younger physicians.

It is particularly interesting to look at the group of counties which are reported to have lost young physicians between 1975 and 1979. Of these, fully 51 percent experienced no change in the total supply of physicians—suggesting that the "Net Loss" may only reflect the aging of under age 35 physicians into an older age group. However, it is also of note that the "Net Loss" category is also most likely to have experienced a loss in total supply of physicians. Thus, it is possible that some of these counties may have lost young physicians who have migrated to other—more attractive—areas.

Overall, the data in Table III.2 suggest that there is a strong relationship between changes in the supply of young physicians and changes in the total supply of physicians in an area. However, it is also evident that the actions of older physicians affect total supply. In this limited study, we are not able to fully examine these issues.

C. EXAMINATION OF THE DISTRIBUTION OF COUNTIES WITH NET GAINS OF YOUNG PHYSICIANS BY THE NUMBER GAINED

In addition to identifying counties which have successfully attracted young physicians, it is of interest to examine the distribution of these counties by the number of young physicians attracted. Table III.3 summarizes these distributions. Among all counties which experienced a net gain in young physicians between 1975 and 1979, 69 percent gained 1 or 2 physicians, 18 percent gained 3 to 4, and 14 percent gained 5 or more. Clearly, the overwhelming majority of counties gained only a small number of young physicians. There is, however, substantial variation when the number of young physicians gained is examined by region, population, and HMSA status.

The North and West Region counties have a much higher likelihood of having attracted larger numbers of young physicians per county. In the North Region, 62 percent of counties with a gain added 3 or more young physicians; in the West this proportion is 46 percent. On the other hand,



in both the Central and West Regions, nearly three-quarters of counties with a net gain attracted only 1 to 2 young physicians.

When counties with net gains are stratified by population, a strong relationship between population size and the number of young physicians attracted is evident. Counties with less than 10,000 population are highly unlikely to have gained more than two young physicians; only 12 percent gained 3 to 4; and none added more than 4. Among counties with 10,000 to 25,000 population, 76 percent attracted only 1 to 2 young physicians; 17 percent gained 3 to 4; and 7 percent added 5 or more. By contrast, non-metropolitan counties with over 25,000 population were more likely to gain a higher number of young physicians; 53 percent added 3 or more young physicians between 1975 and 1979.

When counties which gained young physicians are examined by HMSA status, the group most likely to have added 3 or more young physicians is the "Partial HMSA" group (43 percent). Non-HMSAs gained 3 or more young physicians in only 36 percent of counties. Full county HMSAs were least likely to have attracted more than 1 or 2—only 15 percent of counties were in this category. While the latter finding is consistent with prior expectations, it is unclear why counties which are partly designated as Health Manpower Shortage Areas are able to attract higher numbers of young physicians. Further examination of the characteristics of counties in this group will focus on this issue.

D. CHARACTERISTICS OF COMMUNITIES WITH NET GAINS, NO CHANGE, AND NET LOSSES OF YOUNG PHYSICIANS, 1975 TO 1979

The means of characteristics of counties which gained, experienced no change, and lost young physicians as described above in the section on methodology, are shown in Table III.4(a) for all nonmetropolitan counties. Examination of these data and of significant differences between counties with net gains and net losses of young physicians yields a number of findings:

- o <u>Population</u> is a distinguishing characteristic of counties which gained young physicians; both average population and population growth rate is higher in counties which gained young physicians. Educational level population density, and racial mix of the population does not differentiate counties with net gains from those with losses.
- o <u>Health resources</u> available to a community distinguishes counties which gained young physicians from those which did not. Counties which gained have significantly more R.N.'s, R.N. schools, hospital beds, neonatal ICU beds, medical-surgical ICU beds, physicians, and physicians-to-population.



- o Economic factors fail to distinguish gainers from losers. There are not significant differences in per capita income, household income, unemployment rate, or percent of families or persons below the poverty line. However, the average rate of increase in per capita income is significantly higher in counties which gained young physicians. In addition the percent of the county in agriculture is significantly different between gaining and losing counties—with gainers less likely to be agricultural.
- o <u>Climate/environmental factors</u> fail to distinguish gaining from losing counties.
- o <u>Health status</u> measures also fail to distinguish gainers and losers, with the exception of "total deaths." This variable, however, is not a rate and merely reflects differences in population size between the two groups.
- o <u>Crime variables</u> exhibit mixed associations. While there are not significant differences in the number of violent crimes committed in gaining and losing counties, significantly more burglaries occur in gaining counties.

These findings for all nonmetropolitan counties are of interest and, in most respects, consistent with prior findings which suggest that population and health resources are related to the attractiveness of an area to young physicians. However, it is possible that aggregation may obscure important differences among regions or population categories in factors which attract young physicians. Therefore, we examine in Tables III.4(b) through 4(d) the mean community characteristics of gaining and losing counties by Census Region, population size, and HMSA status.

Examination of the means of characteristics of counties by Census Region (Table III.4(b)) results in a number of interesting observations:

- o No characteristics distinguish gaining and losing counties in the North Region. However, only 60 counties fall within this category.
- O Population is a key distinguishing characteristic for the Central, South, and West Regions; in all cases, gaining counties are more populous than losing counties.

 "Population growth rate" is significant only for the West Region. "Median years of schooling" is significant in the South and West Regions; however, differences in the means are very small.

- o <u>Economic</u> variables fail to distinguish gaining and losing counties in all regions except "Percent Households Below Poverty Line" and "Percent Persons Below Poverty Line" in the South Region.
- o <u>Health resources</u> are the most consistent variables distinguishing gainers and losers in the Central, South, and West Regions. Physician supply variables are significant, as are hospital bed supply, R.N. supply, and ICU beds, for most areas.
- o <u>Environment</u>, <u>health status</u>, and <u>crime</u> variables perform weakly or not at all in distinguishing gaining and losing counties.

Overall, there appears to be few differences by Census Region in the characteristics of counties associated with gaining and losing young physicians.

When the characteristics of counties are examined for counties grouped by population size (Table III.4(c)), the effect of population size as a distinguishing variable is essentially eliminated. Characteristics of counties which are associated with gaining and losing young physicians, when population is accounted for, include:

- o Physician supply is a distinguishing characteristic for all county population classes; however, other health resources variables perform erratically—none are significant in the smallest counties, while <u>murse supply</u> and <u>ICU beds</u> are distinguishing characteristics for more populous areas.
- Economic variables continue to be nonsignificant with the exception of the "Growth Rate in Per Capita Income" in more populous areas.
- Environmental variables continue to be nonsignificant with one exception—in more populous counties, areas at higher elevations are more likely to have gained physicians.
- o <u>Health status</u> variables do not distinguish gainers and losers within county population groups with the exception of the "Incidence of Mumps" in the smallest counties.
- o <u>Crime indicators</u> do distinguish, for the least and most populous areas, net gainers from net losers; however, the direction of the relationship is contrary to expectations.



When means of characteristics of counties are calculated for counties grouped by HMSA status (Table III.4(d)), several findings of interest are noted:

- o Population and "Population Growth Rate" are distinguishing characteristics for non-HMSA counties, but fail to be significant for whole and part HMSA counties.
- o Economic variables are, for the most part, not distinguishing characteristics with the exception of "Percent Agricultural" in the non-HMSA and part HMSA counties, and "Growth Rate of Per Capita Income" in the non-HMSA counties.
- o Environment variables are not significant for any group.
- Health status and crime variables perform erratically with no evident pattern emerging.
- Health resources are the most consistent variables for distinguishing gaining and losing counties, regardless of HMSA status.

E. DISCUSSION

The Stage I analysis is limited in scope due to the fact that our data source permits only flows of young and total physicians to be examined. We are unable to distinguish individual location decisions using this approach and, thus, findings should be viewed as primarily providing direction for the Stage II analysis which does rely on individual physician data.

The findings of this study were used to refine and guide the Stage II analysis. Information on the location choices of all primary care physicians (M.D.s) who graduated from medical school between 1974 and 1978 and who are currently in nonmetropolitan areas has been obtained from the American Medical Association. From the National Health Service Corps Files, we are able to identify those 1974 through 1978 medical school graduates who served in the NHSC. Similarly, the current NHSC Private Practice Option File can be used to identify physicians currently serving in the NHSC. The fact that we have data on the specific location decisions of all young physicians, by NHSC current and past service type, permits a much more detailed and comprehensive examination of community characteristics and their relationship to young physicians' location choices. Findings of this Stage II analysis are reported in Chapter IV.

TABLE III.1

DISTRIBUTION OF NON-METROPOLITYM COUNTIES BY CHANGE IN THE SUPPLY OF NON-FEDERAL PHYSICIANS UNDER AGE 35, 1975 TO 1979

Change In Young	All					Car	mty Populat	MSA Status			
Physician Supertu	No.:-Hetropo II ten Count les	North	Reg Central	lon South	Wes t	Under 10,000	10,000 -25,000	Over 25,000	Non- HMSA	Who le HMSA	Part HMS/
Supply	VOMITIO	TOFTI			mp3 I		-67/000		14/4		100
Net Geln	40,0	65,0	35.0	41,0	43,0	21.0	44.0	61.0	43,0	31,0	51,0
No Change	42,0	15,0	45.0	41,0	44,0	65,0	37,0	16,0	37,0	54,0	28.
Net Loss	18.0	20.0	20.0	18,0	14,0	14.0	19.0	23,0	20.0	15.0	21,0
Total											
Percent Number	100,0 2111	100 . 0 60	100,0 794	100 . 0 968	100 , 0 289	100,0 739	100 . 0 909	100 . 0 463	100 , 0 878	100,0 836	100 . 3 97



TABLE III.2

COMPARISON OF CHANGES IN UNDER AGE 35 PHYSICIAN SUPPLY
AND CHANGES IN TOTAL NON-FEDERAL PHYSICIAN SUPPLY, 1975 TO 1979

Change in Supply	Change in Total Supply of Physicians									
of Young Physicians	Gain	No Change	Loss							
Net Gain										
100.0%	60.0	27.0	0.13							
(1096)	(656)	(293)	(147)							
No Change										
100.04	19.0	65.0	0.17							
(615)	(114)	(399)	(102)							
Net Loss										
100.0%	0.16	51 .0	0.33							
(401)	(64)	(203)	(134)							





TABLE 111. 1

O 15 TH HOUT OF COUNT HE WITH HET SAINS OF THE HAMEN
OF TOWN HOLL-FEDERAL PARTS ICHAIS SAINED, 1979 TO 1979

Paster of Stany Physicians Stans	A) Contlo		<u> </u>			Cov	nty Propieti	90	,	MEA State	•
	ein bi	N erth	Control	ion South	that	ther 10,000	10,000 -25,000	Over 25,000	Non- HASA	Who le HMSA	Port MSA
H	64,0	10,0	73,0	73,0	94,0	14,05	74,05	47,06	64,0	83,0	57.0
H	4,1	23,0	13,0	10,0	24,0	12,0	17,0	72,0	22.9	11,0	20,0
H	W	1,0	9,0	4,0	15,0	0,0	3,0	17,0	9,0	7.0	14,0
t er een	•	21,0	4,6	5,0	7,0	0,0	2,0	14,0	6.0	2.0	9,0

TABLE III.4(a)

MEAN COMMUNITY CHMACTERISTICS OF COUNTIES WITH HET GAINS, NO CHANGE, AND HET LOSSES OF YOUNG NON-FEDERAL PHYSICIAMS, 1975 TO 1979

	Chenge	Change in Supply of Young Physicians							
Character lat les	Not Coin	Ho Change	Not Less						
Pagulation		_							
Papulation	21,873,000	11,978.00	19,494.00						
Pape let len Greuth Rete	14,900	11,20	12.30						
Prince to the tax	86.09	88,86	89,11						
Parcent Black	8.87	7,87	8,51						
Porcont Spanish	3,41	4,52	3,42						
Persont Black Persont Spenish Hedien School Years Papulation Per Square Hile	11,46 34,55	11,33 20,80	11,35 33,51						
<u>Sultural</u>									
Number Coileges and Universities	0.18	0.05	0.19						
Comments									
For Capits Income	\$5447.80	45307 10	***** 70						
Hausehold Iseans	\$11,377.00	\$5297.10 \$11,043.80	\$5443,70 \$11,367,50						
Branth Bata of Rec Coults leaves	60,624	311,043,80	50,50						
Unam leveent Rate	7,53	6.91	7.93						
Percent Agricultural	13.40*	19,98	7,93 15,29						
Union leyeant Pare Paraint Agricultural Paraint Houseleids Balow Paverty Line	13.21	14.63	13.42						
Persont Persons Below Poverty Line	16.70	18,41	17.30						
the 1th Resources									
Number of Rella Schools	0.139	0.02	0.09						
Number of FTE R.H.s	141,800	86,70	123,00						
Number of Physician Extenders per 100,000 Pepulation		4.40							
Husber of Hespitals Husber of Hespital Beds Husber of Hespital ICU Beds Husber of Hespital Surgical ICU Beds	9, 20 2, 50	4.40 1.60	4.70						
Super of Manager Base	194.900	85,60	2,40 167,50						
Number of Manageral ICM Rada	0. 100	0.00	0.01						
Number of Hatlant Seraton ICU Bats	0. 10° 2. 05°	0.66	1.59						
Total Meater of M.D.s	15.000	5, 14	1.59						
Total Number of M.D.s Number of Primary Core M.D.s	8.780	3,43	6.81						
MANAGETTE INVANCE PERMISTRA	67, 26° 40, 79°	37,02	53,42						
Primary Care MDs to 100,000 Population	40,79*	27.18	34,42						
Env Ingraent									
January Temperature	32.02	32,26	31.45						
July Temperature Jamusry Precipites ion	75.56	76.31	75.60						
July Procipitation	2.51 3.65	2, 18 3, 51	2,46 3,66						
Elevation in feet	137 5.80	1576.60	1290,90						
Mealth Status									
Fort Illty Rate	7.44	7.80	7.59						
Intent Mortality Rate	150.81	156, 18	152,91						
Total Deaths	210,26*	121,32	191,61						
Deaths from Infective/Peresitic Diseases	7,25	6.24	7,11						
Deaths from Influenza/Pneumonis	26.97	25,21	27.61						
Deeths from Cardle-Vescular Conditions	509, 29	943,27	531.46						
Incidence of Messies	6.88	5.03	6.53						
Incidence of Manpa Incidence of Rubeila	9.43 5.39	6,36 3,41	6.66 5.50						
<u>Crim</u>									
Number of Murders	5.30	4.40	5, 36						
Number of Ropes	7.61	5.91	6,50						
Number of Burgiaries	990,85*	461,30	502.97						

[&]quot;Difference is significant at the p < .05 level, using a two-tailed t-test.



NEAM CHMACTERISTICS OF COUNTIES WITH NET GAINS, NO CHANGE, NO NET LOSSES OF YOUNG NON-FEDERAL PAYSICIANS, BY REGION, 1975 TO 1979

	R	orthern Count	166	LOR	iral Countles		Sou	thern Count	166	We	itern Countle	
Characteristics	Gala	No Charge	loss	Gela	No Change	loss	Gin	No Change	loss	G in	No Change	Loss
Pagestation												
Papelation	30, 196, 31	21,064,22	35,211,17	21,721,904	11, 140, 31	19,004,534	22,143,239	13,784,92	20,069,569	10,396,210	7,901,69	14, 192,0
Passisties Growth Hate	14,56	10,29	13,51	6,00	3,72	6, 27	15,18	14,14	13,57	32,46*	23,25	21.7
Parcent Walte	94,84	99,49	98,74	96,60	96,64	90,274	19.02	79.82	19,75	91,75	91,62	90.9
Forcent Black	0,48	0,20	0,69	0,96	0,50	0,42	17,99	17,06	17,99	0,34	0, 17	0,6
Paranat Spanish	0,49	0,32	0,59	0,00	0,71	0,610	3,17	6,93	4,41	8,91	7,98	10,1
Hedian School Years Papeletion For Sq. Hile	12,00 47,59	12,00 39,00	12,00 51,08	11,91 34,76	11,83 19,36	11,42 32,10	10 .86* 40.13	10.63	10,64	12, 18*	12,05	12,0
·	41,77	77,00	71,00	71,10	17,20	74,10	40,13	26,71	34,95	12,00	4, 79	10,
Colforol Mador Colleges, Universities	0,36	0,11	0,75	0,24	0,05	0,21	0,13	0,04	0,14	0,12	0,02	0,
_							-•	•••	•••	4,	0,01	•••
For Capita Income	15,362,33	\$5,334,33	\$5,605,50	\$5,093,03	\$5,622,22	15,950,90	\$4,972,05	\$4,095,51	54,899,16	15,942,93	15,652,00	\$5,773,4
Hansele Id Incom	112, 166, 13	\$11,890,67	\$12,591,42		\$11,969,24	\$12,522,65	\$10,335,00	\$9,936,04	\$10,072,21		\$11,812,65	112, 100,4
Granth Rate Per Capita Income	60, 26	59,23	57,63	53,82*	49.89	49.85	64,85	66.84	63,35	62.61	65,49	62,6
Vices leveral Rate	1,00	9,81	1,41	5,72	5,02	5,77	6,57	6,40	6,93	1,97	6,47	0,0
Porcoat Agricultural	7,20	1,32	6,07	15, 29	23,62	N,96	11,66	15,44	12,97	16,679	24,95	21,0
Percent Household Below Poverty				-	•	·	•	•			4.4**	,-
level	9,96	9, 58	8,66	10,27	12,59	10,03	W,54 *	17,88	10,000	10,24	11,86	11,1
Parcent Parcons Balow Powerty Line	13,17	12,70	11,67	13,33	15,74	12,42	20,51*	22,01	22,319	13,31	14,99	14,7
Health Resources							•					
Manher of RM Schools	0,05		0,00	0, 19*	0,03	0,054	0,10	0,02	0,11	0,07	0,02	0,1
Median of FTE RHs	256,74	102,13	177,01	172,894	101,65	150,42*	107,00*	60,59	90,521	145,78	100,92	139,
Humber Physician Extenders												
per 100,000 Population	11,07	7,23	0,77	5,261	5,51	3,640	3,00*	2,19	4,64*	9,94	5,92	6,
Number of Mospitals	2,17	1,33	3,54	2,64	1,60	2,50	2,35	1,62	2, 19	2,720	1,52	2,
Number of Hospital Beds	265,79	153,56	311,17	225, 14*	85,74	185, 240	181,034	92,06	154,714	144,45*	61,46	110,1
Number of Negneta) ICU Bods Number of Nedical Surgical	0,36	0,00	0,00	0, 16*	0,00	0,01*	0,04	0,00	0,00	0,08	0,00	0,0
ICU Bais	3,38	1.11	3,75	2,41	0,75	1,70	1,854	0,67	1,230	1,46	0,33	1,3
Total Number of MOs	31,31	15,56	31,03	15,850	4,67	11,240	14,000	5,67	10,950	15,80	4.07	11.6
Husber of Primary Core IDs	14,97	7,56	14,25	9,204	3,27	7,120	7,89*	3,75	6,23	8.68	2,56	5,1
10a-1e-100,00 Population	119,63		86,42	66,624	34, 10	55,65*	58,73*	34,62	44,55	79,360	45,00	59,
Primary Care HD-to-100,000	•	•				****		• • • •			******	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Papalation	55,62	36,45	37,92	42,64*	27,43	37,92•	35,63*	25,45	30,14*	47,854	31,50	30,
Eav Irensent												
Jamery Temperature	22,11		21,90	21,60	22,62	21,96	42,04	43,49	41,66	26,17	24,07	27,
July Temperature	69,00	69,34	10,33	73,89	74,63	73,86	79,35	80,21	79,11	69, 29	69, 10	69,
Jamery Precipitation	2,69		2,47	1,35	1,17	1,46	3,49	3,31	3,54	1,94	1,40	1.0
Acty Proclettation Elevation in Feet	3,60 696,18	3;93 1,127,11	3,62 711,00	3,61 1,180,77	3,42	3,55	4,47	4,33	4,42	1,13	1,12	0,
	U7U, 10	1916/411	71 1 ₁ VU	1,100,77	1,429,43	1,149,50	740,50	845,00	836,84	4,063,63	4,350,63	3,995,
Yealth Status Fortility Rate	6,65	6,51	6,35	7,94	8,33	7,76	1 44	1 44	141	4 744	4 10	•
Infant Nortellty Reta	125,36	131,11	124,08	139,41	137,42	133,07	7,42 179,75	7,64 101,29	7,61 176,6 8	8,74* 146,50	0,77 131,53	9,0 135,9
Total Deaths	984,60		924,05	1,033,459	1,114,16	1,076,76*	1,014,19	1,016,59	1,010,62	802,89	843,22	818,
Deaths from Injective/		·		.,,,,,	.,	.14.40.4	101411	.10:0133	-1	W1,U7	V-/166	914
Parasitic Diseases	7,05	3,85	5,95	5, 59	5,03	5,45	8,99	7,63	9,42	5,49	5,40	3,
Deaths from Influenze/Pneumonic			21,69	31,31	29,84	27,77	26,20	22,91	20,85	21,20	19, 30	23,
Deaths from Cardio-Yascular							-	•				
Conditions	507,88		490,21	554,48*	613,57	509,474	522,10	527, 37	518,45	366, 29	395,71	372.
Incl <i>dence</i> of Meastes	9,03		19,37	9,52	4,27	12,44	5,63*	5, 37	1,36*	4,21	6,49	1.
Incidence of Munps	5.73		2,70	1,439	5,97	2,204	13,83	6,92	12,01	2,40	5,84	. 2,
facilitance of Huberla	7, 16	0,00	4,44	9,22	4,28	7,81	2,91	1,69	2, 10	4, 10	6,69	11,
Crimp Manhor of Murders	1,23	6,89	2,44	2,16	1,79	2,41		. 14	. 11	8.08	4.44	
Manhar of Kapus	6, 20		7,50	5,41	5,09	4,56	8,02	6,76	1,13	5,05	4,68	7,
Number of Burglars	703,72		806,40	632,37	473,43	549,05	8,20 476,88°	5,07 345,48	7,34 387,859	l I, 10 799,67	6,52 722,26	10,
		.1.0004.	000110	472471	413643	24142	4/0,00-	242,40	201,07	137,01	122100	731,
<u> </u>											44	

TABLE III.4 (c)
MEAN CHARACTERISTICS OF COUNTIES WITH HET GAINS, NO CHANGE, AND NET LOSSES
OF YOUNG NON-FEDERAL PHYSICIANS, BY COUNTY POPULATION SIZE, 1975 TO 1979

		County Popu Under 10,0			10,000-24	999		25,000 and	Over
County Characteristics	6 10	No Charge	loss	Q _n in	No Change	Loss	Geln	No Change	Loss
<u>Freu lation</u>						_			
Repulation Pau lation Growth Rate	7007.00	9408,00	6424.00	17,619,42	16,043,92	17,774.54	35, 881 .65	34,644,79	34,766,98
fores it Wilte	13.10 90.6 4	8,90 90,29	90,99	15,34	13,29 87,08	14.50	15,34 86,30	16,72 87,62	12.66 87,54
Percent Black Percent Spanish	4 .88 4.07	5.63 5,29	6,20 5,13	9,77	10.69	8,79	9.77	9,61	10.31
Median School Years Population for Squara Hila	11.40 14.69	11.43 10 .36	11.47 12.34	3,98 11,35 · 29,49	3,60 11,15 28, 09	3,61 11,22 29,35	2,25 11,65° 52,45°	3.70 11.39 55.41	1,41 11,45 6 1,22
Cultural									
Number Colleges and Universities	0,05	0,01	0.04	0.11	0,06	0, 16	0,35	0.21	0.37
Economic									
Per Capita Income Mousehold Income	\$5,347.40 \$10,971.48	\$5,334,40 \$11,174,68	\$5,464.27	\$5,308,17 \$11,191,30	\$5, 196, 51 \$10,783,88	. \$5,206.61	\$5,585.95		\$5,618.30
Growth Rate of Per Capits Income	39.34	99,95	29, 15	60,28	59,06	57.88	\$11,658.31 62.32*	\$11,369,15 62,32	311,917,19 58,8 9
Unemployment Rete Percent Agricultural	6.62 20,45	5,86 25,55	6.68 22,04	7,53	7.61	8,23	8.02	9,60	8,64
Percent Mouseholds Balow Poverty Line	14, 25	15, 18	14,34	13,45 13,97	14 .48 14 .6 7	13.96 14.36	9.50 11.57	8,79 13,20	10.89 12.41
Percent Persons Below Poverty Line	17.84	18,77	17.70	17,53	18,29	17,93	14,92	16.7:	15.84
Health Resources						/			
Number of R.N. Schools Number of FTE R.N.s	0.03 0 113.00	0.00 84.87	0.00° 115.84	0.08 129, 18*	0.03 80.52	0.05	0.25	0.15	0.23
Number of Physician Extenders per	- •			•		1		126.66	158.79
100,000 Population	7.55 1.55	5, 14 1, 19	5,40 1,75	4,94 2,34	3,4 6 1,92	4.50 2.23	4 .38 3.30	3.49	4.25
Number of Hospital Beds	63.55	44,85	70.04	149,24	103.61	142.76	330.55	2,73 269,88	3.17 304.23
Number of Maniets; ICU Bads Number of Madical Surgical ICU Bads	0.00	0.00 0.23	0.00 0.25	0.07 1.4 3	0.00 0.71	0.01 0.99*	0.20° 3.88	0.00 3.16	0.00
Total Number of M.D.s	3.99*	1,96	3.00*	11,40*	6, 27	8, 82*	20,00	20.59	3.73 25.30
Humber of Frimmry Care M.D.s M.D.s=to=100,000 Poeu lation	2. 92* 36.79 *	1,54 32, 8 4	2,33° 43,43°	7,11° 64,37°	4,51 30, 35	5,79* 48,84*		10.69	12,90
-Frimery Cars MDs to 100,000 Population	42,42		34,63*	40.94*	27.98	32.80		57, 92 30, 52	72.30 37.32
Environment									
January Temperatura July Temperature	29.57 74.67	30,35 75,77	29.59 75.25	32.67	34,19	31.89	32,44	35.91	32,56
Jenuary Precipitation	1,88	1,67	1,63	75.86 2,53	76.99 2.71	76, 16 2,61	75,62 2,83	76.72 3.05	75.02 2,91
July Precipitation Elevation in Feet	3. 14 215 8. 99	3, 14 2054, 91	2.90 2123,55	3,66 1348,26*	3.88 1021,35	3.92 1103.57•	3, 92	4, 18 991,65	4.05 786,62
Mesirn Status									
Form Ility Rate	7,72	8.05	8,13	7,63	7,61	7,56	7.03	7, 12	7,12
intent Mortality Rate Total Deaths	156,59 74,28	151.18 59.73	143,47 72,72	161.02 175.55	160.61 164.48	155.50 176.99	156, 92 333,01	168,56 324,03	157.85 332,59
Deaths from Infactive/Perssitic Disease	8, 39	8,75	6,73	8,66	8,31	7, 18	7.40	6,38	8,16
Deaths from influenza/Pneumonis Deaths from Cardio-Vascular Conditions	32.78 538,22	31.64 5 38. 07	37,33 559,01	32,42 521,95	30.01 550.68	30.07 535.79	25,13 489,14	25,26 490,68	27, 11 505, 15
Incidence of Measies	27,57	30,62	28,45	34,64	44,72	23,68	20,39	29,66	32,30
incidence of Rubells	20.22* 23.10	17.32 6.18	8.11° 5,89	10.01 4.50	18.03 5.21	17.93 3.36	9,59 5,92	18.26 8.34	9.68 10.24
<u> Pim</u>									
Number of Murders Number of Rapes	6.11	3.79	6.05	4,73	5,29	5.04	5.67	5,20	5, 19
Number of Burgisries	6,48* 534,55*	5.49 441.46	3,27* 369 ,64*	7.53 561.03	5.90 460,35	7,29 526,95	8.33 663.33 -	8.69 566,32	8.59 574,17

40) if ference is significent at the p < .05 level, using a two-tailed τ -test.

TABLE III.4 (d)

MEAN CHARACTERISTICS OF COUNTIES WITH NET GAINS, NO CHANGE, AND NET LOSSES OF YOUNG NON-FEDERAL PHYSICIANS, BY HISA STATUS, 1975 AND 1979

		Non-1015 /		U ho	Is HHSA Cou	ntles	Part HISA Counties			
		No			No		Gain	No		
Characteristics	<u>Quin</u>	Charge	Loss	G o in	Change	Loss		Change	Loss	
Population										
Pepulotion	22,789,57	12,913.35	19,894.610	17 ,895, 42 14,8 7	10, 178, 93	18,341.29 13,25	25,245.82 16.77	18,531.77 14 .99	23,353,25	
Papulatian Growth Rate Paramit White	13,98° 89,85	10.87 91.34	10.91° 91.44	62,57	85.92	42 ,85	91.84	93.53	93,50	
Percent Black	7,32	6, 19	8.00	14, 17	10,24	15.06	4,99	3. 19	3,9	
Percent Spenish Madian School Years	3.73 11.57	4.73 11.50	2.87 11.63	3,90 11,04*	4,59 11,09	4.71 10.74*	2.17 11.79	3.62 11.77	2.6° 11.60	
Population Per Square Mile	30,63	22.90	35.74	31, 18	18,57	30,36	31.22	23,71	33,5	
<u> </u>										
Number Colleges and Universities	0, 24	0.07	0, 19	0.05*	0.02	0.11*	0,24	0.05	0.2	
<u>iconante</u>										
For Cap I to Income	\$5,727.81 \$11,814.42	45, 1797.91 \$11,515.96	\$5,749.34 \$11.494.92	\$4,883.00 \$4,182,95	\$4,868.86 \$4,338.91	\$4,810.85 \$4,131,82	\$5,645.82 \$11,698.14	\$5,570.44 \$11,627,18		
Household Income Growth Rate of Per Capits Income	61.89*	81,23	57,90*	59, 58	59,49	59.84	59.56	56.99	57.7	
Unemployment Rate	5,79	5,25	5.59	7.33	6, 28	7.59	7, 17	6,49	7.2	
Percent Agricultural Percent Households Balow Poverty Line	13, 14° 11, 99	19.54 12.93	14 .90" 11.74	14, 15 18,34	21.03 16.85	15.80 17.65	12,94* 11,49	16.96 12.17	15.3 12.4	
Percent Persons Below Poverty Line	15,39	16.37	15.05	20.09	20.64	21.61	14.84	15.36	15.	
eaith Resources										
Number of R.N. Schools Number of FTE R.N.S	0.18 181.37	0.05 122.42	0. 10 155.55	0.05 87,29*	0.00 54.69	0.02 89.50*	0, 15 174,76*	0.04 112.43	0, 1 134, 6	
Number of Physician Extenders per	101637	164675	•	•			-	-• -	- •	
100,000 Population	3.96	4.10	3.85	4,81	4.20	3,21	8. 16*	5.80	5.	
Number of Hespitals Number of Hespital Seds	2.72 230,27*	2,09 116.04	2.85 192.34*	1,. 82 116.,35	1.09 52.69	1.70 100.72	3.06 2 29. 34	2, 18 132,04	2. ² 215.	
Number of Manage I ICU Beds	0.12*	0.00	0,05*	0.,02	0.00	0.01	0. 17	0.00	0.0	
Number of Medical Surgical ICU Beds	2,70	1,96	2.02	1,0 5* 7 , 93*	0.31	0.62* 5 .96 *		0.89 8.85	1.9 15.0	
Total Number of M.D.s Number of Primery Care M.O.s	18.35* 10.02*	7.05 4.4 8	14.07* 7 .92 *	5,00*	2,85 2,11	3,96			8.	
M.D.s-to-100.000 Page 181 Ion	76.69*	49.27	65. 16*	43,21	24,66	33,67*	80,36*	50.33	60.	
Primary Care MDs to 100,000 Population	45.86*	35,29	40.90	29, 18*	19.35	24, 16*	46. 16*	35,14	36,2	
<u>invironment</u>										
Jenuary Temperature	32.48	33.10	30.35 75,53	35.61 76.72	33.05 76,54		26,56 72,81	26.62 72.98	26.9 73.	
July Temperature January Precipitation	7 6. 24 2 . 30	77.13 1 4	2, 14	3.02	2.39	3, 15	2.26	2.03	- 2.	
July Precipitation	3.60	3.43	3,51	4,11	3.70	4, 10	3, 16	2.96	3.	
Elevation in Feet	1,443.16	1,542,80	1,399.42	1,196,81	1,520,96	1,034.04	1,478.70	1,901.92	1,448.	
beith Stetus										
Fortility Rate	7,62 15 8,3 4^	8.09 156.03	7.69 144.90*	7.91 16 8. 97	8,07 159,09	8, 16 168, 18	7.82 146.70	7.95 144. 8 0		
Intent Mortality Rate Termi Desths	994,85*		1,044,35*	992,70	1,005.45		969.53	996.45	996.	
Doeths from Infective/Perssitic Diseases	6.75	6,07	6,31	8.05	6.46	8,69	7, 15	5,81	6.	
Desths from influenze/Pneumonis Deeths from Cardio-Vascular Conditions	27.44 517,53*	26.32 579.	29,66 549,07*	26.98 508.76	24,77 522,24	26.67 520.35	26, 10 494,55	23,76 523,08	24. 51 1.	
Incidence of Messiss	6,04	7.	7.03	4,88	3,41	6.07	11.00	5,37	6.	
Incidence of Munps Incidence of Rubel Is	12.30 *4.37	, 6 3	12.22	9,40° 3,58	7.02 1.70	1,46	4.92 9.63	4,50 5,33	2.	
<u>Crime</u>										
Number of Murders	5,08	3.50		6,69	5.02		3,94	4.87		
Number of Repes	7.64* 577.45*	5.72 420,28		6.90 480.25*	5.40 411.17		757,34	8.55 785.07		

*Olifference is significant of the p < .05 level, using a two-falled t-feet.



29

IV. FINDINGS: STAGE II ANALYSIS

A. INTRODUCTION

The Stage II Community Profiles Analysis examined the location choices made by 1974 through 1978 graduates of U.S. medical schools* who were, in 1983, practicing in non-metropolitan areas. The analysis focused on location patterns of this cohort of physicians as reflected in their distribution among the 2,112 counties which have less than 50,000 population and are not part of a Standard Metropolitan Statistical Area. Of particular interest for this study were several subgroups of counties:

- o Counties grouped by Census Region
 - North
 - -- Central
 - -- South
 - -- West
- o Counties grouped by population category
 - -- under 10,000 population
 - -- 10,000 to 25,000 population
 - -- over 25,000 population
- o Counties grouped by HMSA status
 - -- non-HMSA
 - -- whole county HMSA
 - -- part-county HMSA

These subgroups of counties were selected for special attention based upon our expectation that there may be differences in the distributional patterns of young physicians which are distinguishable by these categories and/or that within each subgroup of counties particular characteristics of the community may be associated with observed distributional patterns.

We also were concerned in this analysis with examining the possibility that physicians with different characteristics may tend to choose different types of practice locations. In addition to looking at the location patterns of all 1974 through 1978 graduates, therefore, we examined and compared the non-metropolitan location patterns of:

o Young physicians who did not serve in the National Health Service Corps



^{*}As discussed in Chapter II, we were unable to obtain complete data on all 1974 through 1978 graduates of schools of osteopathic medicine.

- o Young physicians who are alumni of the National Health Service Corps program
 - -- M.D.'s separately
 - -- D.O.'s separately
- o Young physicians who are currently repaying an NHSC scholarship obligation through a Private Practice Option in a non-metropolitan area
 - -- M.D.s separately
 - -- D.O.s separately

B. OVERVIEW OF THE PHYSICIAN POPULATION

The 1974 through 1978 cohort of medical school graduates, who were in a primary care practice in a nonmetropolitan area in 1983, includes 3,058 M.D.s and D.O.s. Of these, 2,641 M.D.s had no prior or current association with the National Health Service Corp and 417 are NHSC alumni. We also examinined 453 current NHSC PPOs, regardless of year of graduation. Table IV.1 summarizes the total population, by specialty and year of graduation for the three groups with which we were concerned.

C. DISTRIBUTIONAL PATTERNS OF YOUNG PHYSICIANS

Tables IV.2(a) through IV.2(d) summarize the distributional patterns observed for all young physicians and for each of the categories of physicians with which we are concerned:

- o For all non-metropolitan counties
- o By Census Region
- o By county population categories
- o By HMSA status.

The proportion of counties in each of these subgroups which gained and failed to gain young physicians is calculated and the actual number of counties in each category is shown in parentheses.

For those counties which gained young physicians, we created several categories of the absolute number of physicians attracted:

- o counties which attracted 1 or 2 physicians
- o counties which attracted 3 or 4 physicians



- o counties which attracted 5 or 6 physicians
- o counties which attracted 7 or more physicians

We also examined the distribution of counties by number of physicians attracted and by Census Region, population size, and HMSA status of the county.

1. All Young Physicians

Table IV.2(a) presents data on the distributional patterns of all young physicians (i.e. non-NHSC M.D.s, and NHSC alumni). Fifty-eight percent of non-metropolitan counties had gained at least 1 young physician by 1983; while 42 percent failed to attract any young physician in our cohort. Of counties which stracted physicians, 64 percent attracted only 1 or 2. Counties which attracted 3 to 6 young physicians were relatively few -- 32 percent; only 4 percent of all non-metropolitan counties attracted 7 or more young physicians.

•

When the data were examined by Census Region, clear patterns emerged. The North Census Region counties were most likely (92 percent) to have gained a physician and, in addition, gained more physicians per county than other areas — 64 percent attracted 3 or more young physicians. In absolute numbers, however, the North represents only a few physicians' location choices since there are only 60 counties in the North which have less than 50,000 population and are not part of an SMSA.

The West Region was the second most attractive part of the country to young physicians; 61 percent of counties gained physicians and, of these counties, 54 percent gained 3 or more. The South and Central Regions appeared less attractive to young physicians. Only 57 percent and 55 percent, respectively, of counties in these regions gained a physician. In both regions, by far the majority of counties gained only 1 or 2 young physicians; 88 percent of attractive counties in the Central Region and 90 percent of attractive counties in the South obtained 4 or fewer physicians.

Examining the distribution of young physicians by county population size yielded findings that are not unexpected: counties with greater population are more likely to be attractive to physicians and to gain a larger number per county. Only 31 percent of counties with less than 10,000 population gained any young physician; of these counties, 89 percent gained only 1 or 2 and only 1 percent gained 5 or more physicians. When we examined counties with 10,000 to 25,000 population and those with 25,000 to 50,000 population, a strong population-related pattern was clear:

- o 62 percent of counties of 10,000 to 25,000 population attracted a physician
- o 92 percent of counties with 25,000 to 50,000 population attracted a physician



While only 8 percent of counties of 10,000 to 25,000 population attracted 5 or more young physicians, 28 percent of most populous counties attracted this many.

Finally, counties grouped by HMSA status showed a consistent pattern:

- o Counties which are designated as partial HMSAs attracted physicians more frequently and attracted more physicians per county than did other counties.
- o A majority of non-HMSA counties (61 percent) attracted a physician and 14 percent attracted 5 or more physicians.
- o Forty-five percent of whole county HMSAs attracted a new physician and, of these only 6 percent attracted 5 or more young physicians.

This pattern was consistent with our findings from the Stage I analysis and suggests that part-county HMSAs may be particularly attractive to young physicians; perhaps because they contain areas with adequate health resources, as well as areas with (presumably) pockets of unmet demand.

2. Young M.D. Physicians Without NHSC Experience

The data in Table IV.2(b) describe the distributional patterns of young physicians who had no association with the National Health Service Corps prior to choosing their practice locations. Examination of these findings indicates that while these distributions are consistent with those observed for all young physicians, there are several interesting differences:

- o Only 53 percent of counties gained a non-NHSC physician; thus, 5 percent of non-metropolitan counties gained only an NHSC alumni.
- o Non-NHSC physicians appeared equally likely to locate in less populous counties.
- o Non-NHSC physicians were somewhat more likely to locate in non-HMSA counties and were somewhat less likely to locate in whole county HMSAs than were all young physicians.

The fact that there are few observable differences in the distributional patterns of all young physicians and non-NHSC physicians is not surprising; since non-NHSC physicians are approximately 80 percent of all young



physicians who graduated between 1974 and 1978, their distributional patterns tend to dominate the totals.

3. NHSC Alumni*

The dis ributional patterns of NHSC alumni are shown in Table IV.2(c). While NHSC alumni located in only 14 percent of counties — and 93 percent or these counties gained only 1 or 2 — there are evident differences in the distribution of alumni:

- o Comparison of the distributional patterns of NHSC physicians by county population size reveals that
 - -- 56 percent of counties with less than 10,000 population failed to attract a non-NHSC physician, compared with 38 percent for NHSC alumni
 - Only 5 percent of counties which failed to attract a non-NHSC physician had 25,000 or more population compared with 20 percent of the counties which failed to attract an NHSC alumni
 - -- Overall, NHSC physicians were slightly more likely to be in less populous counties than were non-NHSC physicians, 67 percent of counties which gained NHSC alumni had 25,000 or fewer residents compared with 63 percent or counties attracting non-NHSC physicians.
- o Substantial differences in distributional patterns of NHSC alumni and non-NHSC physicians are evident when examined by HMSA status of the location:
 - -- 50 percent of counties which were attractive to NHSC alumni were whole county HMSAs while only 27 percent of whole county HMSAs attracted non-NHSC physicians
 - -- 31 percent of part-HMSAs gained NHSC alumni compared with 26 percent of counties gaining non-NHSC alumni
 - -- Overall, 81 percent of counties which attracted NHSC alumni were wholly or partially-designated HMSAs; while only 53 percent of counties attracting non-NHSC alumni were HMSAs.

Since 58 percent of all non-metropolitan counties are wholly or partially designated as HMSAs, it is evident that NHSC alumni were much more likely to choose a HMSA location than is accounted for by their distribution.



^{*}Appendix A contains tables describing the distributional patterns of NHSC alumni by M.D. and D.O. status.

Non-NHSC physicians, on the other hand, were slightly less likely to be attracted to these locations.

4. Current PPOs*

As of Fall 1983, PPOs were located in 26 percent of the non-metropolitan HMSA counties in our study. The largest absolute number of HMSA counties with PPO practitioners is in the South Region; however, a higher proportion of HMSA counties in the North and West Census Regions had a PPO present. When we examined distributional patterns by population of the HMSA, we observed that PPOs tended to be in more populous counties more frequently than in less populous counties; although counties with population of 25,000 to 50,000 account for 19 percent of the non-metropolitan HMSA counties being studied, 36 percent have a PPO. Similarly, although counties with less than 10,000 population are 38 percent of HMSA counties, only 16 percent attracted a PPO. To the extent that PPOs had some discretion about their location choices, it appears that their distributional patterns were consistent with those of non-NHSC and NHSC alumni physicians.

Recent PPOs were distributed between whole and part-county HMSAs approximately proportional to their numbers, i.e. part-HMSAs account for 32 percent of all HMSA counties, and 34 percent of all HMSA counties with a PPO are part-HMSAs.

Examination of the distribution of PPOs by the number of PPOs attracted to a particular county indicated that the overwhelming majority of counties which attracted or were assigned a PPO have 1 or 2 present. Only 6.9 percent of counties have 3 or more current PPOs. This result is consistent with a policy of assigning PPOs or NHSC physicians widely in order to increase access to services. Our data indicate that 453 PPOs are located in 335 counties with an average of 1.35 PPOs per county.



^{*}Appendix A describes the distributional patterns of M.D. and D.O. PPO's separately. Because of the small numbers involved, no discussion and interpretation is offered.

5. <u>Distributional Patterns by Specialty and Year of Graduation of All</u> Young Physicians

To examine the issue of differences in distributional patterns by specialty and year of graduation, Table IV.3 was constructed. Evidence on the location patterns of young physicians, as the supply of physicians increases, suggests that in recent years physicians have begun to diffuse to more rural areas and that this effect is more pronounced for generalist physicians than for specialists.

As would be expected, family practitioners, and to a lesser extent general practitioners, were most likely to be located in the most rural communities; only 6 percent of internists and 3 percent of pediatricians in our cohort were in counties with less than 10,000 population. Family practitioners were least likely to be in the largest non-metropolitan counties, where 65 percent of pediatricians and 60 percent of internists were located.

When we examined distributional patterns by year of graduation, it appears that graduates of the earlier and latest classes were most likely to choose to locate in communities with less than 10,000 population. For counties with 10,000 to 25,000 population, the pattern is similar to that observed in least populous counties. However, for the most populous counties in our study, the trend in later years has been a decline in the proportion of young physicians attracted. Thus, there appears to be some weak evidence in our cohort to support the diffusion hypothesis.

D. CHARACTERISTICS OF COMMUNITIES WHICH ATTRACTED AND FAILED TO ATTRACT YOUNG PHYSICIANS

The means of characteristics of counties which attracted or failed to attract young physicians are shown in Table IV.4(b) through (d). Significant differences in the means of counties which did and did not attract young physicians are indicated with an asterisk. Again, for this analysis, current PPOs are excluded from the "All Young Physicians" category, since their location decisions are constrained to approved HMSA sites.

Our analysis of community characteristics was conducted on several bases in order to distinguish differences in factors which attracted young physicians in areas with different characteristics, i.e.

- o all counties
- o counties grouped by Census Region
- o counties grouped by population size
- o counties grouped by HMSA status



Within each of these grographic categories, we examined the four young physician groups of interest:

- e all young physicians
- . nee-MMSC M.D.s
- . INIC alumni
- e current PPOs

to determine whether there were differences in the characteristics of communities which these groups find attractive.

1. All Counties

The men characteristics of all U.S. non metropolitan counties with less than 30,000 population are shown in Table 1V.4(a). Variations in those means by Conous Region, county population size, and 1915A status are also presented. The discussion in this section examines differences in mean characteristics between counties which did or did not gain young physicians.

a. All Young Physicians

Table IV.4(b) presents the mean characteristics of all counties which attracted and failed to attract all young physicians. There were significant differences in the characteristics of these counties:

- Attractive counties were more populous, had higher population growth rates, had less Hispanic population, had a better educated population, and a greater population density.
- Attractive counties were more likely to have colleges and universities and to have urban contiguous counties.
 Contrary to prior expectations, however, per capita expenditures for education were somewhat lower in attractive counties.
- With respect to economic variables, income levels were higher in attractive counties, and the work force was more heavily concentrated in white collar and memberturing activities; there were fewer farmers and a emailer percentage of land in farmland. Attractive counties also tended to have higher unemployment rates and a higher proportion of the population receiving AFDC payments.



- Mealth resources were more available in attractive counties that in counties which failed to attract young physicians. However, per capita expenditures for health were lower in attractive counties.
- o With respect to <u>environment</u>, attractive counties were cooler, had more winter precipitation, and were at lower elevations.
- Mealth status variables did not present a consistent profile; attractive counties had fewer births and deaths per capita, and a higher incidence of measles.
- O Health utilization per capita was consistently higher in attractive counties. This finding may reflect the greater supply of health resources in these counties or may indicate high levels of demand for care.
- o <u>Crime</u> levels were higher in attractive counties, when rapes and burglaries are considered. There is no difference in the levels of murder per capita.

Overall, the results of the comparison of characteristics of communities which attracted and failed to attract young physicians were consistent both with prior expectations and with the results of the limited Stage 1 analysis. Comparisons of these patterns for physicians of different types, however, are of considerable interest to determine whether NHSC physicians are different from those who did not serve in the NHSC.

b. <u>Comparisons of Characteristics of Communities Attractive</u> to Non-NHSC Physicians and NHSC Alumni

When we examined the characteristics of counties which were attractive to NHSC alumni (Table IV.4 (c)) and non-NHSC physicians, (Table IV.4(b)) several differences were evident:

- o Counties in which NHSC alumni located were slightly less populous, but experienced faster population growth. In addition, NHSC alumni located in counties which had a higher proportion of minorities and lower population density.
- o NHSC alumni located in areas with lower income populations, absolutely and relative to non-NHSC physician choices. Counties in which NHSC alumni located also tended to have larger households, more unemployment, fewer farms and farmers, and a higher proportion of the population receiving AFDC. In addition, a higher propor-



- tion of households lacked complete <u>plumbing</u> in counties gaining NHSC alumni.
- o Although there were fewer health resources available in counties gaining NHSC alumni than in counties attracting non-NHSC physicians, counties which failed to gain NHSC alumni have the fewest health resources.
- o NHSC alumni chose environments with slightly milder winters. These findings may reflect differences in region of the country in which NHSC alumni and non-NHSC physicians locate.
- o <u>Measles incidence</u> was lower in counties gaining NHSC alumni than in counties attracting non-NHSC physicians. Interpretation of this finding is not obvious.
- o <u>Health care utilization</u> was lower in counties selected by NHSC alumni than in counties selected by non-NHSC physicians. Areas which were not attractive to NHSC alumni have higher hospitalization and surgical rates.

Thus, there were some differences in the characteristics of communities which attracted NHSC alumni and non-NHSC physicians. Communities in which NHSC alumni located had:

- o lower populations
- o larger minority populations
- o lower income populations
- o higher unemployment rates
- o fewer health resources
- o less health care utilization per capita

These findings suggest that NHSC alumni have chosen practice locations which are distinctly different and in greater need of additional physician services.

c. Comparison of NHSC M.D. Alumni and NHSC D.O. Alumni

There is some evidence that osteopathic physicians are more inclined to locate in more rural, agricultural areas than are M.D.s. A comparison of the characteristics of communities selected by NHSC M.D. alumni and D.O.



alumni support these previous findings. NHSC alumni who are osteopathic physicians chose counties which have:

- o less population
- o fewer people per square mile
- o fewer minorities
- o higher educational levels
- o higher per capita incomes
- o lower unemployment rates
- o fewer white collar workers
- o more farms
- o less poverty
- o fewer health resources
- o fewer M.D. physicians, but more D.O. physicians
- o very low health care utilization levels

Osteopathic physicians appeared to be more likely to choose locations which were unlikely to attract M.D.s, generally. These areas tend to be agricultural, and to have the fewest health resources and associated utilization levels.

d. Communities in Which Recent* PPOs Located

Characteristics of HMSA communities which gained and failed to gain recent PPOs are shown in Table IV.4(d). It should be kept in mind that PPOs are required to locate in communities which are wholly or partially designated a Health Manpower Shortage Area. Thus, the characteristics of gaining counties represent a subset of HMSA counties.

Recent PPOs were located in HMSA counties which have:



^{*}PPOs in this study were fulfilling their practice obligation in December 1983. Thus, they may not be representative of more recent PPOs who have located under revised NHSC guidelines.

- o more population and have a higher population growth rate
- o more lower income families
- o less agriculture
- o more health resources
- o higher health care utilization per capita
- o higher crime rates.

These results, for the most part, were not surprising. The fact that recent PPOs have chosen more populous and growing HMSAs in which to locate suggests that some PPOs may be considering long run practice opportunities in choosing a PPO practice.

Comparison of M.D. PPO and D.O. PPO community characteristics yields findings similar to those for M.D. and D.O. alumni. Osteopathic physicans chose to locate in less populated, less dense, more agricultural areas; they chose areas with somewhat fewer health resources and with relatively low health care utilization levels.

The consistency of the findings for current PPOs and NHSC alumni suggests that:

- o Some PPOs have selected communities in which they intend to remain permanently in practice, and/or
- o The NHSC experience had a significant effect on later location decisions of alumni. A major objective of the analyis of individual physicians location decisions during the final phase of this study will probe the latter issue.

2. Census Region

The means of characteristics of counties which attracted and failed to attract all young physicians, physicians with previous NHSC experience, non-NHSC physicians, and current PPO physicians are shown by Census Region in Tables IV.5(a), (b), (c), and (d), respectively. Examination of these data and of significant differences between counties which attracted and failed to attract these categories of young physicians yields interesting differences, similarities, and patterns.



a. All Young Physicians

The data in Table IV.5(a) reveal that "population" is a distinguishing characteristic of counties which attracted all young physicians for all four Census Regions. In all of the regions, counties which attracted young physicians had larger average population than did counties which failed to attract. "Population growth rate" distinguished counties which gained young physicians from those which did not in the South Region. Population density also exhibited mixed associations when stratified by Census Region: only in the North and Central Regions did it distinguish counties which gained young physicians from those which did not. However, across all Census Regions, the population density was highest in counties which attracted young physicians. The racial mix of the population did not perform consistently in differentiating counties which gained young physicians from those which did not. Educational levels of the population differentiated counties which gained young physicians from those that did not in all but the North Census Region.

Among the cultural variables, the "number of colleges and universities" was a key distinguishing characteristic for all Census Regions; in all cases, gaining counties had a higher number than do counties which failed to attract young physicians. "Per capita educational expenditures" was significant in the South and West Regions, although in these regions counties which failed to gain young physicians had higher mean expenditures. The number of urban contiguous counties was significant in the Central and West Regions; however, in all regions the differences in the means were relatively small.

The only economic variable that distinguished counties which attracted young physicians from those that did not across all four Census Regions was the "number of farms." In all counties that gained young physicians, the number of farms was substantially higher than in counties which failed to gain young physicians. Moreover, in all regions except the North, "farmland as a percent of the total land" distinguished counties which gained and failed to gain young physicians. However, while the number of farms was higher in gaining counties, the percent of the land as farmland was smaller. In none of the regions was "per capita farm income" a distinguishing characterisitic.

Many of the economic variables distinguished counties which gained from those that failed to gain young physicians in the Central, South, and West Regions. In the Central Region "per capita income," "household income," "growth rate of the per capita income," were distinguishing characteristics, while in all three regions "unemployment rate," and "percent of labor force white collar" were distinguishing characteristics. In all three regions young physicians were more likely to locate in counties with higher rates of unemployment and larger proportions of white collar workers. In addition, for the Central and South Regions, characteristics such as "percent labor force in manufacturing," "working in the state/county of residence," and "working in the state but not the county of residence" distinguished counties which gained young physicians from those that did not; only the latter variable is negatively significant. It is interesting



that, beside the number of farms, no economic variables were significant in the North Region. This could reflect homogeneity in the makeup of rural North Region counties, or the fact that young physicians go to these counties for reasons other than economic conditions.

In all regions but the North, poverty measures distinguished counties which gained from those which failed to gain young physicians. The "percent of households below the poverty line" and the "percent of persons below the poverty line" were significant in these regions. In all regions except the North, counties which gained young physicians had a lower percentage of households and persons living below the poverty line.

Health resources were among the most consistent variables distinguishing counties that attracted and failed to attract young physicians in all of the regions. Examination of the means of these variables by Census Region revealed that the following health resources characteristics exhibit positive and significant differences between counties which gained young physicians and those that did not for all four regions:

- o number of hospitals
- o number of hospital beds
- o total number of M.D.s
- o total number of D.O.s
- o number of primary care D.O.s
- o M.D.-to-100,000 population

Overall, the health resources characteristics performed strongly across all Census Regions in distinguishing gaining counties.

With respect to health status, the Central Region most consistently revealed characteristics that distinguished counties which gained or from those that failed to gain young physicians, including "fertility rate," "deaths per 100,000 population," "deaths per 100,000 population from cardiovascular conditions," and the "incidence of mumps and measles per 100,000 population." Interestingly, the incidence of measles and mumps, deaths per 100,000 population, and deaths per 100,000 population from cardio-vascular conditions were lower in counties that gained young physicians; moreover, the fertility rate was lower in gaining counties across all of the Census Regions.

Health utilization measures were the most consistent variables distinguishing counties which attracted and failed to attract young physicians in the North, Central, and South Regions. In these regions all of the variables (except "inpatient hospital visits per 100,000 population") were higher in gaining than in non-gaining counties. In the West, only



inpatient visits and emergency hospital visits were not significant characteristics for distinguishing the counties. In the vast majority of cases, counties which attracted physicians had higher average utilization rates.

b. Comparison of Characteristics of Communities Attractive to Non-NHSC Physicians and NHSC Alumni, by Census Region

To discern whether physicians with NHSC experience locate in counties with different characteristics than physicians without NHSC experience, mean county characteristics for counties which did or did not gain these categories of physicians were compared by Census Region (Tables IV.5(b),(c)).

For both NHSC alumni and non-NHSC physicians, population was a key distinguishing characteristic for all regions. In all instances, counties which gained both NHSC alumni and non-NHSC physicians had larger average populations than did counties that failed to gain these physicians. Population density appeared to be more important to non-NHSC physicians. This characteristic was significant in the North, South, and West Regions and was substantially higher in counties that gained non-NHSC physicians than in those that did not. For alumni, this variable was significant in the Central and South Regions, but the magnitude of differences across counties that gained and failed to gained was not nearly as wide.

A major distinction between counties that were attractive to non-NHSC physicians and NHSC alumni was that for the former, the average level of education was a positively significant characteristic across three Census Regions (Central, South, and West), while for NHSC alumni this did not appear to be an important location criterion. Consistent with this finding, other cultural variables (i.e., "number of colleges and universities," "per capita educational expenditures," and "number of urban contiguous counties") were positively significant for distinguishing counties that were attractive to non-NHSC alumni, but, except for the West Region where these characteristics are important for both alumni and non-alumni, counties that were attractive to alumni were not distinguishable by these variables. Thus, it is evident that the quality of education and the availability of cultural pursuits were important to the location decisions of non-NHSC physicians, but were not consistently significant to NHSC alumni.

Economic variables performed the strongest in the Central Region in distinguishing counties which gained both NHSC alumni and non-NHSC physicians. "Per capita income," "household income, "and the "growth in per capita income" were all significant for the Central Region for both categories of physicians. However, in counties that attracted non-NHSC alumni, these income measures were, for the most part, higher than for counties that did not attract these physicians; counties which were attractive to alumni exhibited lower income measures. Similarly, measures of poverty ("percent of households below the poverty line," and "percent persons below the poverty line") yielded opposite results for counties that gained non-NHSC physicians and those that gained alumni. Thus, it appears



that, possibly as a result of the NHSC experience, alumni located in the more depressed economic areas.

The "percent of the workforce in agriculture" was significant for both groups of physicians across all but the North Region. In these cases, counties that gained physicians had fewer agricultural workers, as well as relatively less land devoted to farming. Both physician groups preferred areas with a higher "percentage of the workforce engaged in white collar and manufacturing," for non-NHSC physicians, these characteristics are significant in the Central, South, and West Regions; for NHSC alumni, "percent in white collar jobs" was significant in the Central and West Regions, and "percent in manufacturing" was significant in the Central Region.

For all of the regions, health resources were key distinguishing characteristics for counties which gained and failed to gain non-NHSC physicians. In the Central, South, and West Regions, many of these variables also are significant for distinguishing counties that gained alumni from those that did not, but not as consistently as they were for non-NHSC physicians. Moreover, while non-NHSC physicians located in areas with substantially higher aggregate levels and per population ratios of health resources, NHSC alumni tended to choose areas which have fewer health resources. In general, these findings support the contention that, in all Census Regions, physicians with NHSC experience choose to locate in areas where there are fewer health resources.

For non-NHSC physicians, health utilization variables performed strongly in distinguishing counties that attracted these providers. Across all Census Regions, non-NHSC physicians located in counties with substantially higher health services utilization levels. In contrast, utilization measures, for the most part, performed more weakly in distinguishing counties that were selected by NHSC alumni. The one exception is in the West Region where NHSC alumni located in areas which exhibit higher utilization rates.

c. Recent PPOs, by Census Region

When characteristics of counties are examined for HMSA counties in which recent PPO physicians located (Table IV.5(d)) by Census Region several findings of interest are noted:

Population was a distinguishing characteristic for all Regions except the West, which had the smallest mean population. "Population growth rate" and population density were distinguishing characteristics for the Central and South Regions, but failed to be significant for the North and West Regions. Demographic characteristics of the population continued to perform erratically with no evident pattern emerging.



- Region, except for "percent working in state/not county of residence" and the "percent agricultural." In the North and South Regions most economic variables performed erratically in distinguishing counties which gained current PPO physicians from those that did not. In the Central Region, variables which indicate economic well-being ("household income," "growth rate of per capital income," "unemployment rate," "percent of households below poverty line," and "percent persons below poverty line") were significant. Counties which gained current PPO physicans had lower rates for households and persons below the poverty level, although the unemployment rate was higher in these counties (as was the percent of the population receiving AFDC). Interpretation of these variables, therefore, was difficult.
- o Health resources, for the most part, performed ermatically in distinguishing gaining counties from those that did not. Only "local per capita expenditures for health" was significant in at least three regions (North, Central, South). In all cases, the mean values for this variable was lowest in counties that gained PPO physicians.
- o Most health status measures were insignificant with respect to distinguishing counties that gained or failed to gain PPO physicians. Only the "ferility rate" was significant in at least three regions (Central, South, West). However, there was no consistency across the regions concerning whether this variable is positively or negatively significant: in the Central and South Regions it was negative and in the West it was positive.
- o Environment, health utilization, cultural and crime variables performed erratically with no evident pattern emerging, by Region.

3. County Population Size

The means of characteristics of counties which gained or failed to gain young physicians by county population size are shown in Tables IV.6(a) through IV.6(d). For each county population classification, all young physicians, non-NHSC physicians, NHSC alumni, and current PPO physicians were examined to determine whether there are differences in the characteristics of communities in which these groups locate.



a. All Young Physicians

Table IV.6(a) presents the mean characteristics of counties by county population size, which gained and failed to gain all young physicians. These data revealed several findings of interest:

- Population was a distinguishing characteristic in counties with under 10,000 population and in counties with 10,000 to 24,000 population. In 21 county population groups counties which gained young physicians had larger populations. "Population growth rate," and "population per square mile" were significant in only those counties with 10,000 and under population, and were higher for more attractive communities.
- Per capita educational expenditures was a distinguishing characteristic for all county population classes. However, the mean was lower for attractive communities in the smallest counties; for larger counties per capita educational expenditures were higher in communities which gained a physician. The "number of colleges and universities" was positive and significant in the two smaller county population groups, but not in the 25,000 and over county population group. The "number of urban contigous counties" was significant for this latter group, but indicates that attractive counties are less frequently close to urban areas.
- o Economic variables were important distinguishing factors for counties in the 10,000 to 24,000 population group. In particular, measures of economic well-being such as "per capita income," "household income," and "unemployment rate" were positive and significant. Direct measures for poverty status ("percent households below poverty line," "percent persons below poverty line," and percent households lacking complete plumbing") were also significant for this county population group and were lower in counties which gained young physicians. The unemployment rate and the percent of households and persons below the poverty line were significant also in the counties with under 10,000 population. counties with less poverty were more likely to be attractive to young physicians. The percent of the workforce in agricultural and white collar occupations were significant for the two smaller population groups; attractive counties had greater percentages of the population in white collar occupations and fewer agricultural workers relative to less attractive counties. "Farmland as a percent of total land" was consistently lower in attractive counties, although it was significant for only the under 10,000 population group.



- o With respect to health resources, in all counties physicians were more likely to locate in areas with greater health resources. Several key aggregate and per population measures were significant across the three county population classifications: "number of hospitals," "number of hospital beds," "M.D.s-to-100,000 population, and "primary care M.D.s-to-100,000 population." In all cases, counties which gained young physicians had higher mean values for these health resources. Expenditures for health was significant for only counties with under 10,000 population; and attractive counties had lower health expenditures. The availability of additional health resources was also significant. The "number of FTE R.N.s per 100,000 population" distinguished attractive counties in all county groups and was substantially higher in attractive counties. The "number of R.N. schools" was positive and significant in the 10,000 to 24,000 and over 25,000 county groups.
- o <u>Health status</u> variables exhibited mixed association without providing a discernible pattern.
- o For the 10,000 to 24,000 county population group, some environmental factors appeared to affect young physicians' location decisons: January temperature, July temperature, and July precipitation were all lower in counties which gained young physicians. Crime variables were nonsignificant.
- o All of the health utilization variables distinguished counties which are attractive to young physicians from those that were not across all of the county population classifications, with attractive counties exhibiting higher rates of use.

Overall, the results of the comparison of characteristics of communities which gained and failed to gain young physicians revealed few differences across county population groups.

b. Comparison of Characteristics Attractive to Non-NHSC Physicians and NHSC Alumni, by County Population Size

When the characteristics of counties which were attractive to NHSC and non-NHSC physicians (Tables IV.6(b), (c)) were examined by county population groups, several differences were apparent:

 Population was a key distinguishing characteristic for counties which were attractive to non-NHSC physicians,

regardless of county population group. In all cases, counties which gained non-NHSC physicians had larger mean populations. For NHSC alumni, this variable was positive and significant for only counties with under 10,000 population. For alumni the "population growth rate" was si difficant in both the smaller population groupings, while it was significant in only the under 10,000 population counties for non-NHSC physicians. In all of these cases, however, counties which gained physicians had higher growth rates than those counties which failed to gain. In the 10,000 to 24,000 population counties, the percent white," the "percent black," and the "median school years" were important to non-NHSC physicians' location decisions. It appears that these physicians chose to locate in areas in which the minority population was smaller.

- Cultural variables consistently distinguished attractive counties for non-NHSC physicians in the 10,000 to 24,000 population group, indicating that these physicians chose to locate in areas where there are more colleges and universities and higher per capita expenditures on education. Otherwise, these variables exhibited mixed associations.
- o Economic factors performed the strongest in the 10,000 to 24,000 population group in distinguishing counties which were attractive to both NHSC alumni and non-NHSC physicians from those that were not. This was particularly true for non-NHSC physicians in this county group where both direct and non-direct measures of economic well-being were found to be significant; the counties attracting these physicians had consistently higher income and, otherwise, were better off economically. The distribution of the workforce across various occupations was also significant in this county group. Whereas the "percent labor force in white collar" occupations was higher in attractive counties, the opposite was true for "agricultural workforce" across all of the county groups. This latter finding was also evident and significant for the NHSC alumni. Lower "percentage of land" devoted to farming distinguished counties gaining NHSC alumni.
- o For all three county population groups, and especially the 10,000 to 24,000 population group, health resources were key distinguishing characteristics for counties which were attractive to non-NHSC physicians. These variables tended to perform erratically for the examination of NHSC alumni. It was noteworthy, however, that non-NHSC physicians consistently chose to locate in areas with relatively greater aggregate and per population

health resources, while alumni were frequently located in areas with fewer relative health resources.

- o <u>Health status</u> measures revealed mixed associations without a precise pattern emerging. Crime variables also yielded erratic associations, although these variables are positively significant for NHSC alumni in counties with over 25,000 population.
- o For non-NHSC physicians, health utilization variables performed strongly in distinguishing counties that were attractive to these providers. Across all county population groups, non-NHSC physicians chose counties with substantially higher health services utilization levels. In contrast, utilization measures performed more weakly in distinguishing counties in which NHSC alumni located.

Overall, the findings suggest that some NHSC physicians chose practice locations that were less attractive to non-NHSC physicians; however, with the exception of the <u>least</u> populous counties, no strong differences by county size seem evident.

c. Recent PPOs

When characteristics of counties are examined for HMSA counties in which recent PPO physicians did and did not locate (Table IV.6(d)) by county population size, several findings of interest are noted:

- o <u>Higher population</u> was significant only for the under 10,000 county population group; "Population growth rate" was significant only for the 10,000 to 24,000 population group although attractive counties in all groups tended to exhibit higher growth rates. Other population variables exhibited mixed associations.
- o <u>Cultural</u> variables were, for the most part, nonsignificant—e pt for "per capita educational expenditures" which was significant and positive in the 10,000 to 24,000 population group.
- Economic variables distinguished attractive counties most frequently in the under 10,000 and 10,000 to 24,000 population classes. In the under-10,000 population group, counties which appeared to be better off economically gained PPOs. This was evidenced by a higher "labor force participation rate," lower percentage of households and persons below the poverty level, and a smaller percent of the population receiving AFDC in these counties. In the 10,000 to 24,000 population group, the economic variables



50

exhibited aimed associations: counties which gained Prosent a higher growth rate is per capita income, although the unemployment rate was also higher. Variables that indicated the extent of agricultural activity ("percent agricultural," "farmlend as a percent to total land," and "number of farms") were all significant and negative. In the 25,000 and ever population group, the "unemployment rate," and the "percent of households without plumbing" were significant and positive.

- The evailability of certain health resources (i.e. number of hospitals, hospital bade, and bade per population) corved as distinguishing characteristics in the under 10,000 population group. Horoever, the mean values for those characteristics were consistently higher in counties that gained PPOs relative to counties that did not. In contrast, in the largest population group, PPOs tended to locate in counties that had fewer hospital bade and bade per population.
- "July temperature" was significant and negative in the two larger population elassifications: PPOs appeared to prefer esunties that have esoler summer temperatures. Otherwise, <u>environmental</u> variables were week in distinguishing between esunties which did and did not gain PPO physicians.
- <u>Health status</u>, and <u>crime</u> variables did not exhibit a clear and systematic pattern in distinguishing counties that were attractive to PPOs.
- With respect to health utilisation variables, "impatient surgical operations per 100,000 population" and "total surgical operations per 100,000 population" were negative and eignificant for the 25,000 and over population group. In the two smaller population groups the number of "emergency bespital vie'ts per 100,000 population" was positive and eignificant. Other health utilisation measures failed to distinguish counties which gained Pros from those that did not.

The ensulaction of differences in the characteristics of MSA essunities which were attractive to PPO physicians revealed that these providers were, for the most part, locating in MSAs which have characteristics which were attractive to all physicians. This suggests that at least some PPOs may be choosing locations in which they intend to practice permanently.



4. HMSA Status

a. All Young Physicians, By HMSA Status

An interesting finding has been that counties designated as partial HMSAs were most likely to be attractive to new young physicians. Calculation of means of characteristics for counties grouped by HMSA status serves to differentiate the significance of county characteristics by HMSA classification.

Population variables, on the whole were significant in distinguishing counties which are attractive to all young physicians, regardless of BMSA status (Table IV.7(a)) Population and population density were distinguishing characteristics for all BMSA classifications. Racial composition variables did not, on the whole, exhibit a pattern of significance but it is interesting to note that whole BMSA counties in which young physicians located had significantly greater minority populations than those which failed to gain. Of further significance were the mean populations across BMSA status which indicated that part BMSA counties had the highest average populations.

Cultural variables served as distinguishing characteristics but not with any consistency across HMSA status. The number of colleges and universities was significant for part and non-HMSA counties but not for whole-HMSA counties. The number of urban contiguous counties and per capita educational expenditures was significant for whole and non-HMSA counties, but not part-HMSA counties. Counties with lower per capita expenditures on education attracted young physicians; however as we observed earlier, in counties with over 10,000 population, physicians preferred locations which exhibited higher per capita educational expenditures. Thus, this finding, when we disaggregate communities by HMSA status reflects the aggregation of counties by population size.

Certain types of economic variables served as distinguishing characteristics across all IMSA classifications. Work force composition and agricultural variables were significant regardless of HMSA status. Young physicians were on average locating in counties with higher proportions of white collar and manufacturing workers, and a lower proportion of agricultural workers. They also chose counties with a lower proportion of total land devoted to farming. Income variables were not significant across all MMSA classifications with the exception of the growth rate of per capita income, which was positive and significant in part-HMSA counties. Per capita, household, and per capita farmer incomes were not distinguishing characteristics for counties which did and did not gain young physicians. Variables indicating poverty and employment characteristics did not behave in a systematic pattern across HMSA groups. Among non-HMSA counties, young physicians were significantly more likely to locate in counties with a lower proportion of persons in poverty, and a higher proportion of unemployment.

Variables which measure health resources exhibited a clear and systematic pattern and served as distinguishing characteristics across all BMSA classifications. Young physicians locate in counties with larger



aggregate and per population levels of health resources. Health status variables exhibited little significance across all HMSA groups.

Variables measuring health utilization behaved similarly to those measuring health resources. Nearly all variables in this category were significant across all HMSA groups. Young physicians located in counties with higher per population levels of health utilization. Within whole-HMSA counties, overall utilization was lower than in part-HMSAs; nevertheless, physicians located in counties where utilization was higher.

Variables measuring health resources and health utilization performed most consistently for distinguishing counties which gained young physicians from those that did not, regardless of HMSA status. It was further interesting to examine means across HMSA status. The aggregate means of variables in these two categories were very similar for counties which were attractive to physicians in the non-HMSA and part HMSA groups. Furthermore, these aggregate means tended to be much larger than the means for whole HMSA counties. This implies that, on average, part-HMSAs were very similar to non-HMSAs in terms of health resources and utilization, and dissimilar to counties designated as whole-HMSAs. Other measures such as population, per capita and household income, and percent of households below the poverty line exhibited this same pattern. This can serve to help explain the relative attractiveness of part-HMSA counties to young physicians. They are very much like non-HMSA counties for characteristics which are attractive to new young physicians.

b. Comparison of Non-NHSC M.D. and NHSC Alumni, by HMSA Status

It is useful to compare physicians with NHSC experience and non-NHSC physicians when examining mean county characteristics by HMSA status (Tables IV.7(b), (c)). One would expect NHSC alumni to differ from non-NHSC physicians in the types of counties they practice in a oss HMSA status.

In non-HMSA counties, population and population growth rate were distinguishing characteristics for both NHSC and non-NHSC physicians. Both physician groups found attractive, on average, non-HMSA counties with an equal mean population. In whole HMSA counties the above two variables were again significant, as was population per square mile, for both physician groups. This makes sense as geographical dispersion and isolation are more prevalent in whole HMSA counties, and thus population density may become an important factor in location decisions. For part-HMSA counties, population was again a distinguishing characteristic for both physician groups. In counties which gained physicians, part-HMSAs have the highest mean population, followed by non-HMSA and whole HMSA counties.

In non-HMSA counties, household income was a distinguishing characteristic for non-NHSC M.D.s, while it was not for NHSC physicians. Non-HMSA counties which were attractive to non-NHSC M.D.s have higher average household incomes than counties which did not. For whole HMSA counties, income variables were not significant for either group of physicians. For



part-HMSAs, both per capita and houshold income were significant for NHSC physicians. Interestingly, NHSC physicians located in part-HMSA counties which had lower average per capita and household income, unlike the finding for non-NHSC physicians. This observation could be related to the NHSC experience, as alumni who locate in a part-HMSA seek out the more depressed economic areas. Percent agricultural and percent white collar were significant for both groups regardless of HMSA status, with physicians on average locating in counties with more white collar and less farm workers. Both groups preferred counties with less land devoted to farming activity. Direct measures of poverty status were hard to interpret as they exhibit no pattern. In whole-HMSA counties, NHSC physicians chose counties with higher proportions of AFDC recipients while non-NHSC physicians did not. Again, this could reflect the NHSC experience, or a pre-existing or developed interest in depressed areas.

Health resource variables were clearly significant in distinguishing counties which did and did not gain both groups of physicians regardless of HMSA status. Generally, both groups of physicians located in areas with higher levels of per population and aggregate resources. However, there were important differences. In the physician total and ratio variables, the disparities in the means for counties which attracted and failed to gain physicians were much greater for non-NHSC physicians regardless of HMSA status. In fact, physician ratios were not distinguishing characteristics for NHSC physicians while they were for non-NHSC physicians. This supports the finding, across HMSA status, that physicians with NHSC experience located in counties with relatively fewer physicians.

For non-NHSC physicians, all health utilization variables were distinguishing characteristics across all HMSA county groups. Non-NHSC M.D.s were attracted to counties with higher levels of per population health utilization. They located in counties with high demand for health services. Utilization variables were much weaker in distinguishing between counties which did and did not gain NHSC physicians. For part-HMSA counties, no utilization variables were significant, implying that the location decisions of NHSC physicians were not tied to high use for services. The same holds for non-HMSA counties except for emergency visits. In whole-HMSA counties, health utilization did distinguish counties which were attractive to physicians with NHSC experience. This implies that in whole-HMSA counties, NHSC physicians have located in areas with high unmet need and demand for services.

c. Recent PPOs, By HMSA Status

Analysis of mean characteristics of HMSA counties in which recent Private Practice Option physicians located was limited to only two categories when stratified by HMSA status: part and whole-HMSA counties (Table IV.7(d)). Physicians serving as PPOs are not able to practice in non-HMSA counties.



In part-HMSA counties, no population variables significantly distinguished those counties which gained PPOs from those that didn't. In whole HMSA counties, population growth rate and population density were both distinguishing characteristics. There were 628 counties designated as whole-HMSAs which did not gain a primary care PPO physician. The direction of the population variable's significance implies that these counties were disproportionately smaller, slower growing, and less dense than those counties which managed to attract a PPO physician.

For part-HMSA counties, economic variables did not on the whole distinguish counties which gained PPOs from those that didn't. Percent of workers in agriculture, per capita farmer income, and farmland were all significant. Part HMSA counties with a lower proportion of farmers and farmland, and a higher per capita farmer income attracted PPOs. Unexpectedly, household income was negatively significant; PPOs were attracted to part HMSA counties with lower average household income. In whole HMSA counties, percent white collar, percent agricultural, and percent of households and persons below the poverty line were all significant characteristics. Whole-HMSA counties which failed to gain a PPO physician had proportionately more farmers, less white collar workers, and more persons and households in poverty than those counties which gained a PPO physician.

Health resource variables did not serve as distinguishing characteristics for part-HMSA counties. Only physician extenders per population and per capita health expenditures were significant. This varies from findings for other physician groups perhaps due to the fact that some PPOs are assigned. Indeed, many patterns for the PPOs ultimately derive from the system of placement under which the physicians in the study were located. For whole-HMSA counties, many health resource variables did serve as distinguishing characteristics. PPOs were located in whole HMSA counties with generally higher aggregate and per population levels of health resources.

Much the same pattern was observed for health utilization measures as for health resources. Only per capita emergency visits was a distinguishing characteristic for part-HMSA counties while all utilization variables were distinguishing characteristics for whole-HMSA counties. This lends further support to the hypothesis that PPOs are directed toward whole-HMSA counties with high levels of unmet need. Comparison of aggregate utilization across HMSA status revealed that per population usage was much lower in whole-HMSA counties which also imples a higher level of unmet need than exists in part-HMSA counties.



TABLE IV-1

NUMBER AND DISTRIBUTION OF THE STUDY POPULATIONS,

BY SPECIALTY AND YEAR OF GRADUATION

Population	Total		Specialt	y		Year of	Graduatio	n		(Other Years)				
Group	Number	GP/FP	IM	PD	1974	1975	1976	1977	1978	1979	1980	1981	1982	
All Young	3058	2107	709	242	528	510	622	588	604					
Physicians*	(100•0)	(69•0)	(23.0)	(8.0)	(19•0)	(17.0)	(22.0)	(20.0)	684 (22 . 0)	40	••	-		
Non-NHSC M.D.s	2641	1806	624	211	510	483	560	495	593			••		
	(100•0)	(68.0)	(24.0)	(8•0)	(20.0)	(18•0)	(21.0)	(19.0)	(22•0)					
NHSC AlumnT	417	301	85	31	18	27	62	93	91	40	••	-		
	(100.0)	(72.0)	(21.0)	(7.0)	(6.0)	(9.0)	(22•0)	(32•0)	(31.0)				4 -	
Recent PPOs	453	314	107	32	••	•••	11	36	88	77	126	61	54	
	(100•0)	(69•0)	(24.0)	(7.0)			(2.0)	(8.0)	(20.0)	(17•0)	(28.0)	(13-0)	(12.0	

*All Young category Includes Non-NHSC MaD.s and NHSC Alumni:

PPO's are excluded.



TABLE IV.2(a)

DISTRIBUTION OF COUNTIES WHICH DID AND DID NOT GAIN
YOUNG PHYSICIANS, BY REGION, COUNTY POPULATION, AND COUNTY HASA STATUS

	ALL		_			Cou	nty Popula	lon		HMSA Statu	\$
Physician	Non-Metropolitan		Regi	on		Under	10,000	Over	Non-	Whole-	Part-
Supply	Countles	North	Central	South	West	10,000	-25,000	25,000	HMSA	HMSA	HMSA
Falled to	42.0%	8.0	45.0	43.0	39.0	69.0	38	8.0	39.0	55.0	22.0
Gain	(893)	(5)	(359)	(415)	(114)	(513)	(341)	(39)	(346)	(459)	(88)
Galned	58.0	92.0	55.0	57.0	61.0	31.0	62.0	92.0	61.0	45.0	78.0
Physicians	(1219)	(55)	(436)	(553)	(175)	(227)	(568)	(424)	(532)	(378)	(309)
Number Galned											
1 - 2	64.0	36.0	68.0	70.0	46.0	89.0	71.0	42.0	61.0	78.0	53,0
3 - 4	22.0	27.0	20.0	20.0	31.0	10,0	21.0	30.0	25.0	16.0	25,0
5 - 6	10.0	26.0	8.0	8.0	13.0	1.0	6.0	19.0	10,0	5.0	13,0
7 or More	4.0	11.0	4.0	2.0	10.0	0.0	2.0	9.0	4,0	1.0	9.0
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100,0	100.0	100.0	100.0
TOTAL											
Number	2112	60	795	968	289	740	909	463	878	837	397
Percent	100%	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100

TABLE IV.2(b)

DISTRIBUTION OF COUNTIES WHICH DID AND DID NOT GAIN YOUNG M.D.S WITH NO NHJC EXPERIENCE, BY REGION, COUNTY POPULATION, AND COUNTY HASA STATUS

-	AH					Cou	inty Popula	tion		HMSA Status	5
Physician	Non-Metropoiltan		Regio	ON		Under	10,000	Over	Non-	Whole-	Part-
Supply	Countles	North	Central	South	West	10,000	-25,000	25,000	HMSA	HMSA	HMSA
Failed to	47.0\$	15.0	49.0	48.0	43.0	75.0	43.0	11.0	41.0	64.0	26.0
Gain	(991)	(9)	(388)	(469)	(125)	(553)	(389)	(49)	(356)	64 . 0 (532)	26.0 (103)
Gained	53.0	85.0	51.0	52.0	57.0	25.0	57.0	89.0	59.0	36.0	74.0
Physicians	(1121)	(51)	(407)	(499)	(164)	(187)	(520)	(414)	(522)	(305)	74.0 (294)
Number Gained											
1 - 2	67.0	47.0	69.0	72.0	52.0	93.0	74.0	47.0	62.0	83.0	58.0
3 - 4	23.0	29.0	20.0	21.0	32,0	7.0	21.0	32.0	25.0	14.0	28.0
5 - 6	7.0	16.0	7.0	6.0	9.0		4.0	14.0	9.0	3.0	8.0
7 or More	3.0	8.0	4.0	1.0	7.0	•	1,0	7.0	4.0	J.U	6.0
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100,0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
TOTAL											
Number	2112	60	795	968	289	740	909	463	878	837	707
Percent	100\$	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	397 100

	All					Cou	nty Popula	tion		HMSA Status	S
Physician	Non-Metropolitan		Regio	<u>n</u>		Under	10,000	Over	Non-	Whole-	Part-
Supply	Counties	North	Central	outh	West	10,000	-25,000	25,000	HMSA	HMSA	HMSA
Falled to	86.0\$	63.0	90.0	86.0	78.0	92.0	84.0	79,0	94.0	82.0	77.0
Gain	(1810)	(38)	(715)	(833)	(224)	(682)	(764)	(364)	(821)	(685)	(304)
Ga i ned	14.0	37.0	10.0	14.0	22.0	8.0	16.0	21.0	6.0	18.0	23.0
Physicians	(302)	(22)	(80)	(135)	(65)	(58)	(145)	(99)	(57)	(152)	(93)
Number Gained											
1 - 2	92.7	77.0	95.0	94.0	92.0	97.0	96.0	86.0	96.0	93.0	89.0
3 - 4	6,6	18.0	5.0	6.0	6.0	3.0	4.0	12,0	4.0	7.0	9,0
5 - 6	0.3	5.0	•	-	•	•	•	1,0	•	•	1.0
7 or More	0.3	•	•	•	2.0	-	•	1.0	-	•	1.0
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100,0	100.0	100.0	100.0
TOTAL											
Number	2112	60	795	968	289	740	909	463	878	837	397
Percent	100≴	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100



TABLE 1913161

DIE TELEVITION OF MICH COUNTIES WHICH DID AND DID NOT GAIN

FROM, OT REGION, COUNTY POPULATION, AND COUNTY HIGH STATUS

Sana An Am	411					Čav	aty Popule	lgn	IMEA Statu	1
Physician	ter-thireselfies		- Appl			Under	10,000	Pres	Wele-	Pert
Sept 1	Court los	WH	Control	In	1017	10,000	-77,000	77,000	HIGA	HMSA
follos w	H. H	194	16.7	79.4	6. 7	03.9	10.7	64.5		
l efo	(01)	thu	13761	(434)	(176)	1 3021	(3%)	(151)	75.0 (628)	73-0 (291)
blad	7.4	40.4	21.3	74.7)).)	16.0	29.3	39.1	75.0	27.0
"yelelan	13191	(3))	1991	(142)	1631	1791	(1%)	(84)	(209)	(106)
teter blog										
1-1	93.1	91.4	91.0	14.0	94.0	97.0	99.0	6. 0	93.0	92.0
3 • 4	14	9.0	1.4	1.0	6.0	3.0	1.0	13.0	6.0	1.0
1.4	0.)	•	u	1.0	•	•	•	1.0	1.0	•
10 to	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	
fotol	100-4	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100-0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Ma										
	1234	12	417	176	199	47	132	239	837	397
Paraul	100\$	100	190	100	100	100	100	100	100	100

TABLE IV.3

DISTRIBUTION OF YOUNG PHYSICIANS BY SPECIALTY
AND YEAR OF GRADUATION

		Percent Locating	
	Count les	Countles	Countles
Physician	With Under	With 10,000-	With Over
Category	10,000 Population	25,000 Population	25,000 Population
Specialty .			
GP	11.7	44.0	44.3
PP .	19.3	44.7	36.0
IM	6.3	33.3	60.4
PD	3.3	31,4	65.3
Year of Graduation			
1974	10.2	43.4	46.4
1975	11.9	38.8	49.3
1976	9.7	38,4	51.9
1977	9.5	39.0	51.5
1978	10.2	42.7	47.1



TABLE IV,4(e)

MEAN COMMUNITY CHARACTERISTICS OF ALL NON-METROPOLITAN COUNTIES
WITH LESS THAN 90,000 POPULATION, BY REGION, COUNTY POPULATION
SIZE, AND HMSA STATUS

	All		_			***************************************	Population			_	
Cheracteristics			Reg			Under	10,000-	Above		HMSA Status	
CAT BETTER	Countles	Horth	Central	South	West	10,000	25,000	25,000	Hon-HMSA	Whole HMSA	Part-jets/
Number of Counties	2,112,00	60.00	795,00	968,00	289.00	740.00	909.00	463,00	678,00	837,00	397.0
Population										·	•
Papulation	17,291,00	29,949,00	16,414,00	18,349,00	13,239.00	6,088,00	17,065.00	35,433,00	18,526.00	13,472,00	22 244 6
Population Browth Rate	13,86	14,78	6,00	16, 10	27,95	10,70	15,42	16,00	13,21	13,27	22,397,0 16,6
Percent thite	88,60	98,92	97,00	79,80	92,90	90,46	87,40	66,00	90,70		
Percent Black	8.40	,48	.70	17,61	.30	5,60	9,93	9,90	5,60	84,50	92.
Percent Spenish	3,90	.48	,75	5,20	8,80	5.00	3,77	2,30	3,90	12,20	4,
Modian School Years	11,40	12,00	11,90	10,72	12,10	11,43	11,25	11,56	11,60	4,40	2.
Population for Square Hila	28,70	47,00	27,29	34,50	8,91	11,73	29,00	54,92	32,30	11,00 24,45	11.8 29.6
Celtural											
Number Colleges and Universities	. 12	,42	,15	.10	.08	.02	.10	.35	.17	.04	,1
Par Capita Educational Expanditures	320,90	341,00	340,40	272,93	402,20	363,60	300,90	291,91	315,50	316,10	
Number of Urban Configuous Confles	.70	1,17	,70	.89	.60	,47	,84	1,20	,76	08 .	313.6),
lasmeni e											
Per Capita Income	5,339,00	3,407,00	5,790,00	4,862,00	5,810,00	5,371,00	5,269,00	5,518,00	5,736,00	4,870,00	L see f
Household Income	11,234,00	12,209,00	12,206,00	10,123,00	12,079,00	11,154,00	11,014,00	11,792,00	11,711,00	10,539,00	5,555.0
Growth Rate of Per Capita Income	39.90	59,60	51,26	65,74	63,90	59,50	59,35	61,50	60,83	-	11,645,1
Unemployment Rate	10,30	11,20	9,22	10,91	10,81	8,44	11,10	11,65	9,24	59,60	50.
Labor Force Participation Rate	45,96	45,70	46,70	44,20	50,00	46,80	45,60	45,40	47,82	11,10	10.1
Percent Lebor Force Construction	7,45	6,51	6,30	8,17	8,47	7,73	7,44	7,00		43,77	46.4
Percent Labor Force thite Coller	37,40	40,80	37,20	36,20	11,40	35,00	37,42	41,24	7,37	7,60	1.3
Percent Labor Force Menutecturing	19,59	28,00	17,00	24,50	0,62	13, 15	22,21	24,80	38,91	34,75	39,1
Percent Norking in State/	•				7,00	13613	4441	44.00	19,00	20,55	18.5
County of Residence	78,00	76,53	81,00	72,60	87,40	78,43	76,61	80,12	81,50	73,10	aa 1
Percent Working in State/Not				•				441.5	41924	13410	80,6
County of Residence	19,20	19,53	16,50	24, 10	10,17	18,60	20,50	17,24	16,36	41.44	
Percent Not Morking in State of Residence	2,60	3,95	2,40	3,10	2,47	2,65	2,91	2,64		23,60	16,1
Percent Agricultural	12,53	4,10	19,42	8,15	10,00	17,50	11.20	6,80	2,17 12,25	3,22	3,1
Per Capita Farmer Income	6,041,00	5,119,00	3,826,00	6,496,00	10,814,00	7,289,00	5,374,31	5,358,00	5,645,00	13,68	10.7
Number of Ferms	657,00	437,00	831,00	593 70	383,00	412,00	737,00	892,46		6,111,00	6,775,0
Fermiand as Percent of Total Land	6,00	2,48	7,63	5,26	4,74	6,63	6.00		720,00	%3,00	718.0
Occupied Housing Units For Copite	.35	,35	,36	,34	,35	,36	.35	5,38 18	6,73	5,01	5,2
Percent of Households Below Poverty Line	14,00	9,61	11,20	17,40	11,16	14,91	14,31	,35	,36	.35	<u>ار</u> د
Percent Persons Below Poverty Line	17,95	12,41	14,33	21,50	14,24	18,48		12,00	12,30	16,05	116
Percent Households Lacking Complete Plumbin		3,95	3,10	7,63	2,79	5,00	17,90	15,42	15,70	20,66	15,1
Percent Population Receiving AFDC	3,23	3,50	2,50	4,00	2,48	2,68	5,64 3,53	4,38 3,48	3,84 · 2,54	7,00 3,90	4,2
											3,4



							Population				
	All		Regi	onn		Under	10,000-	Above		HMSA Status	
Cherectoristics	Countles	North	Centre	South	Host	10,000	25,000	25,000	Hon-HMSA	Whole HMSA	Part-1815
ise Ith Resources											
Number of R.N. Schools	,08	,03	.09	.07	,06	,01	,06	,23	,11	.02	,
Number of FTE R.N.s per 100,000 Population	156,43	297,40	173,40	126,00	182,80	135,00	145,40	212,10	179,54	115,00	194,
Number of Physician Extenders per											
100,000 Population	4,40	8,83	4,90	3,00	6,80	5,32	4,00	3,74	3,73	4,20	6,
Number of Hospitals	2,00	2,55	2,13	2,00	2,10	1,33	2,16	3,11	2,44	1,41	2
Number of Hospital Bods	149,71	250,97	159,20	147.50	110,63	57,29	139,30	318,30	186,60	84,30	206
Number of Hospital Bods per						-	-			8	
100,000 Population	890,50	847,48	1,026,00	768.69	934,50	966,50	823,40	901,00	1,091,00	636.00	983,
Number of Neonatal ICU Bads per	******	*	.,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	.,				•	• •		
100,000 Population	,15	1,40	,19	.05	.08	•00	.20	,31	.20	,02	
Local Per Capita Expanditures for Health	6,17	3,39	7,70	4,75	7,38	11,41	4,00	2,10	6,44	6,56	4,
Total Number of MaDas	11,45	30,80	10,45	11,05	11,51	2,72	9,71	20,60	14,20	5,53	17
Number of Primery Care M.D.s	6,15	13,70	6,11	5,66	5.63	1,94	5,90	13,40	7,53	3,27	9
Heelth Resources											
Total Number of 0,0,s	,19	1,92	1,32	,41	,40	,36	,80	1,90	1,06	,35	1.
Number of Primery Core D ₄ O ₄ e	,69	1,75	1,20	.34	.39	.12	.73	1,23	,91	,30	I
N ₂ D ₂ s-to-100,000 Population	96,21	109,32	53,54	51,20	69,32	92,10	55,50	80,21	60,00	35,23	72
Primery Care NOs to 100,000 Population	33,86	49,30	34,92	30,42	39,32	30,63	34,60	37,70	40,93	23,00	41
D.O.s to 100,000 Population	4,89	6,20	8,00	2,65	3,53	5,37	4,80	4,33	6,45	3,10	5
Princry Care 0,0.s to 100,000 Population	4,31	5,73	7,20	2,28	3,24	4,85	4,41	3,46	5,77	2,70	4
MeDe Interns and Residents	.30	2.10	,22	,27	.21	,06	μ,	,60	,27	.19	
Environment											
January Temperature	J2:00 - '.	22.50	22,13	42,53	26,10	30,10	33,10	33,10	32,36	34,30	26
July Temperature	75,90	69,40	74,20	79.66	69,41	75,47	76.30	75,80	76,50	76.70	72
January Precipitation	2,40	2,65	1,30	3,43	1,70	1,73	2,61	2,88	2,13	2.70	2
July Precipitation	3,60	3,70	3,51	4,40	1.10	3,11	3,00	4,00	3,50	3,90	3
Elevation in Fact	1,446,00	764.00	1,267,00	601.10	4,197.00	2,086,00	1,180,00	945,00	1,473,00	1,349,00	1,592
Heelth Status											
Fortility Rate	7,90	6,61	8,10	7,53	8,91	8,29	7,90	7,31	7,01	9,00	7
Percent of Births to Teenage Momen	8,38	6,42	6,36	11,33	5,84	7,82	9,11	8,73	0,22	9,56	7
Infent Hortellity Rate	156,62	126,00	137.25	179,84	138,52	151,23	159,60	1,49,00	154,80	163,48	146
Deaths per 100,000 Population	1,012,00	960,00	1,078,50	1,014.93	827,00	1,051,75	1,017,00	939.00	1,035.00	1,002,00	982
Deaths per 100,000 - from Infective/											
Pares Itle Diseases	6,79	6,35	5,31	8,51	5.53	6,02	7,45	6,74	6,41	7,28	(
Deeths per 100,000 - from	-										
Influenza/Pneumonle	26,34	22,10	30,00	25,31	20.70	27,85	26,90	22,90	27,46	25,73	22



TABLE 1V.41e) (continued)

							Population				
Managhan I ann a	All		Reg	lon		Under	10,000-	Above		IMSA Status	
theracteristics	Countles	Horth	Central	South	Mest	10,000	25,000	25,000	Non-HMSA	Whole HASA	Part-HAS
beith Status											7 47 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7
Deaths per 100,000 - Iron Cardio-Yascular											
Conditions	527.70	510.50	568.00	523,00	379.14	10 4 (4	*** **				
Incidence of Meastes per 100,000		******	20144	723100	2/74 14	584,14	533,31	484,00	547,00	518.00	506,0
Population	6,03	9,74	7.73	4,75	4.87	4.44	4 =4				
Incidence of Mumps per 100,000 Population	7.70	4,86	3,74	10,64	3.85	4,66	6,23	7,48	6,66	4.26	6,4
Incidence of Rubella per 100,000 Population		5,54	6,71	2,26		5,53	9,13	0,37	10,00	6,92	4,
,,		///	04/1	4140	6.27	4,00	4,33	6.00	5.29	2,56	7.0
beith Utilization											
Imputient Hospital Visits per											
100,000 Pap.	99,625,00	115,776,00	113,424,00	87,890.00	97,621,00	95,490,00	AE 184 AA	110 004 00			
Outpatient Hospital Vinits per		***************************************	**********	41 1414144	31 1051 100	77,470,00	95,159,00	115,024.00	122,666,00	67,402.00	115,994,0
100,000 Pop,	57,740,00	118,872,00	58,198.00	47,275,00	78,850,00	45,216,00	87 A34 AA	41 444 44	44 4.4 44		
Emergency Hospital Visits per	• •	,	,.,.,,,,	41.141.2800	1010100	421210100	55,874.00	81,444,00	64,443,00	40,748,00	78,654.0
100,000 Pop.	22,933,00	35,337,00	19,886.00	23,754,00	25,960,00	16,548,00	44 444 44	14 444 44			
Inpatient Surgical Operations per	••	,,		121.24100	17,700,00	10,740,00	23,302.00	52,398,00	26,518,00	16,520.00	28,509,0
100,000 Pop.	708,64	1,490,50	744,00	681,00	541,00	134,00	481 AA	1 000 00			
Total Surgical Operations per		1,170470	144144	001100	MIW.	134,00	523.00	1,992.00	975.00	285,00	1,011.0
100,000 Pop.	3,712.00	6,800,00	4,122,00	3,292,00	3,353,00	2,371,00	3,435.00	6,398.00	4,982,00	1,686,00	4,750.0
rim										,	.,,,,,,,
Number of Hurders per 100,000 Population	4.96	2,32	2.04	7,45	5,22	4.44					
Number of Rapes per 100,000 Population	6,70	6,14	5,10	7,10	9,93	4,60	5,00	5,48	4,62	5,54	4,5
Number of Burglaries per 100,000 Population		693,00	544.10	406,44		5,38	6,90	8,45	6,50	6,00	8,6
	*4**	477100	VI 9 PT	700,44	756,54	453,62	519,40	627,40	499,35	427,00	761,2



TABLE IV.4(b)

MEAN CHARACTERISTICS OF COUNTIES WHICH DID AND DID NOT GAIN ALL YOUNG PHYSICIANS, AND NON-NHSC YOUNG PHYSICIANS

		o Physiciana		ng Physicians
Cherecteristics	Gal ned	Felled to Gein	Gal ned Fa	iled to Gain
Number of Countles	1,219.00	993,00	1,121.00	991.00
Population .				
Population	22,029,000	10,721-000	22,711,000	11,068.00
Population Growth Rate	15.60*	. 14"	15.50*	12.05*
Percent White	88,5 0	80.73	88.94	88,22
Percent Black	8,66	8.00	8,30	6,48
Percent Spanish	3,25*	4,74*	3,16*	4.69*
Medien School Years	11,45	11,274	11,49	11.26*
Population Per Square Mile	35,10*	19.90*	35.94*	20.45*
Cultural				
Number Colleges and Universities	.19*	•04*	,19*	.04*
Per Capita Educational Expanditures	312,304	332,64*	310.94*	332.16*
Number of Urben Contiguous Contles	.06*	.68*	.86*	.70°
Economic				
Per Capite Income	5,422,00°	5,274,00	5,462.00	5,242.00
Household Income	11,381,00*	11,034.00	11,464,000	10,975,00
Growth Rate of Per Capite Income	60,15	59.49	60,20	59,50
Unemployment Rate	10.76*	9.56*	10,70	9,70
Percent of Unemployed Persons Out				
Of Work 15+ Weeks				
Labor Force Participation Rate	46, 19	45,63	46,36*	45,51*
Percent Labor Force Construction	7.42	7.48	7,39	7,52
Percent Labor Force White Coller	39,22*	34.93*	39,494	39.09
Percent Labor Force Menufacturing	21.10	17.53*	21,02	17.96
Percent Working in State/County of Residence	79,63°	75.81*	80,38*	79.34
Percent Working in State/Not County of Residence	17,600	21,34*	16,94*	21.71
Percent Not Working in State of Residence	2,78	2.73	2,68	2.85
Percent Agricultural	9,90*	16,10*	9.87*	15.55
Per Capita Fermer Income	6,262,00	5,739,00	5,761.00	6,360.00
Number of Ferms	733,000	533.00*	747.00	556.00
Fermiand as Percent of Total Land	5,70	6.604	5.71*	6.50
Occupied Housing Units Per Capita	.35	•35	. 35	.35
Percent of Families Below Poverty Line	13.16*	15,19*	12.93*	15,25
Percent Persons Below Poverty Line	16,69*	18.79*	16.41*	18,85
Percent Households Lacking Complete Plumbing		5,34	4.86	5.48
Percent Population Receiving AFDC	3.374	3.03*	3,27	3,17
Nee i th Resources				
Number of R.N. Schools	.124	•01 °	.13*	.02
Number of FTE R.N.s per 100,000 Population	181,000	123.00	186,000	123.00
Number of Physician Extenders per 100,000 Population	4,62	4.10	4,59	4,19
Number of Hospitals	2,48*	i.93°	2,58*	1.51
Number of Hospital Beds	199,000	82,40	209,00*	62,00
Number of Hospital Beds per	932,80	832,70*	967.90	802.95
100,000 Population.		808	.26*	.02
Number of Neonetel ICU Beds per 100,000 Population	,24*	.02*	•40*	.02
Local Per Capite Expenditures for Health	4,42*	8,56*	4,32*	8.26
Total Number of M.O.s	16,500	4,55*	17.49*	4.66
Number of Primery Care M.D.s	8,41*	3.10*	8,83*	3.13
Total Number of 0.0.s	1.02	.75	.950	.62



Sharantan latter		ung Physicians	Mon-NHSC Yo	ung Physicians
Cherecteristics	Galned	Feiled to Gain		elled to Gain
Heelth Resources				
Number of Primery Care D.O.s	.80*	.66*	.81*	.574
M.D.s-to-100,000 Population	69.38*	30,21*	72.250	36.05
Primary Care MDs to 100,000 Population	38.06*	28,140	39.39*	27.62
D.O.s to 100,000 Population	4.48*	5,54*	4.23*	5,634
Primary Care D.O.s to 100,000 Population	3.84*	5.06*	3.73*	5.07
M.D. Interns and Residents	.430	.11*	.46*	.110
Environment				
Jenuary Temperatura	31.60	32.50	31,50*	12 414
July Temperatura	75.50*	76.50*	75.419	32.61
January Precipitation	2,530	2,130	2,53°	76.51*
July Precipitation	3.65	3,53	3,63	2,17*
Elevation in Fact	1,343,000	1,588,00*	1,350.00*	3,56 1,555,00°
Health Status				
Fertilty Rate	7.70	8,100	770*	
Percent of Births to Teenage Women	8.53	8.65	8.45	8,10*
Infant Mortelity Rate	156.00	197.50	155.13	8.72
Deaths per 100,000 Population	991.00*	1041.000	969.00*	158.30
Deaths per 100,000 - from infective	7.01	6.42	7.03	1038.00*
/Peresitic Diseases		4,4-	7.03	6.53
Deaths per 100,000 - from Influenze/Pneumonle	26.20	26.50	26.72	26.25
Deaths per 100,000 - from	513,104	547 ₄ 70 ⁴	512.20*	20.25 544.60*
Cardio-Vesculer Conditions		D.17.010	J1212V-	244.00 "
Incidence of Measies per 100,000 Population	7.25*	4.30*	7,53*	4,34*
incidence of Mumps per 100,000 Population	7,59	7.65	7.73	•
Incidence of Rubella per 100,000 Population	5.40	3.46	5,64	7.67 3.37
leelth Utilization			5	3,31
				
inpetient Hospital Visits per 100,000 Pop. 1	10,680.004	84,529.00*	115,094,00*	82,123,00*
Outpetient Hospital Visits per 100,000 Pop.	70,626.00*	40,146.00*	72,885,00	40.637.00*
Emergency Hospital Visits per 100,000 Pop.	27,531.00*	16,649,00	28,505,00*	16,623,00
Inpetient Surgical Operations per 100,000 Pop.	1,041,00*	254,604	1,010,00*	254.60
Total Surgical Operations per 100,000 Pop.	4,698.00*	2365,00*	4,932,000	2,331.50
rimo				
Number of Murders per 100,000 Population	4.98	4,94	5.04	4.87
Number of Rapes per 100,000 Population	7,210	6.00*	7.32*	6.00*
Number of Burgleries per 100,000 Population	568.104	427,104	588.104	443.00

^{*}Difference is significant at the p < .05 level, using a two-tailed t-test.

TABLE IV.4(c)

MEAN CHARACTERISTICS OF COUNTIES WHICH DID AND DIG NOT GAIN PHYSICIANS WITH NHSC EXPERIENCE

	NHSC A1		NHSC Alumn		NHSC Alum	
Cheracter istics	Ge I ned	Felled to Geln	Gelned	Felled to Gain	Gainea	Failed to Gain
lumber of Countles	302,00	1,810.00	274,00	1,838,00	40.00	2,071.00
topulation						
Population	21,539.00*	16,535.00*	21,962.00	16,348,00	20,205.00	17,194.00
Population Growth Rate	17.64	13.25*	17.95"	13,27	16.81	13,62
Percent White	85.66*	89.10	85.20°	89.11*	90.10	88,60
Percent Black	9.76	8.15	10.30	8.10	4.194	8,40
Percent Spanish	4.64	3.75	4,93	3,72	3,96	3.60
Median School Yeers	11.35	11.39	11.30	11.39	11,80	11.37
Population Fer Square Mile	31.41*	26,25*	32,37*	28,15*	24.00	23.79
<u>Sultural</u>						
Number Colleges and Universities	.16°	.110	.19#	.11*	.12	.12
Per Capits Educational Expenditures	329.16	319.51	328,42	319.77	340.08	320.37
Number of Urban Configuous Confles	.90*	.76*	.90	.77	.88	.7
conomic						
Per Capita Income	5,110,000	5,401.00*	5,065.00	5,403.00*	5,410.00	5,358.0
Household Income	10,794,00	11,308.00*	10,734.00	11,309,00	11,168.00	11,235.0
Growth Rate of Per Capita Income	59.94	59.86	60.49	59.78	55.95	59.9
Unemployment Rate	12,000	9.90	12.12*	10.00*	11.30	10.2
Labor Force Participation Rate	44,71*	46.17*	44.59*	46.16*	45,90	45.9
Percent Labor Force Construction	7.53	7.44	7.54	7.44	7.49	7.4
Percent Labor Force White Coller	30.83*	37.17	39.04*	37.16*	37,61	37.40
Percent Labor Force Manufacturing	20.73	19.40	20.92	19.40	19.38	19.60
Percent Working in State/County of Residence	77.10	76.17	77.72	78.13	77.23	78.0
Percent Working in State/Not County of Reside	ence 19.77	19.10	19.70	19.10	19.06	19.1
Percent Not Working in State of Residence	3,15	2.69	3.09	2.71	3.71	2.7
Percent Agricultural	8.60*	13,20	7.80*	13,20*	13.50	12.5
Per Capita Farmer Income	7,781.00	5,751.00	7,858.00	5,770.00	6,839.00	6,026.0
Number of Farms	647.00	659.00	619.00	663.00	962.00°	653.0
Farmland as Percent of Total Land	4.83*	6.30"	4.63	6.30*	6.15	6.0
Occupied Housing Units Per Capita	.34*	.35*	.34*	.39*	.36	.3
Percent of Households Below Poverty Line	14.59	13.92	14.80*	13.90*	12.63	14.0
Percent Persons Below Poverty Line	18,18	17,45	18.41*	17.42*	15.63	17.5
Percent Households Lacking Complete Plumbing	6.10*	5.00*	6.33*	4,97*	4,41	5,1
Percent Population Receiving AFDC	4,18*	3,06*	4.30	3.07*	3.25	3.2
lee! th Resources						
Number of R.N. Schools	.10	.07	. 10	.07	.05	.00
Number of FTE R.N. per 100,000 Population	171.53*	153.91*	176.59	153,42*	137.95	156,7
Number of Physician Extenders per						
100,000 Population	6.42*	4,06*	6.74*	4.05	5,83	4.3
Number of Hospitala	2.24*	2.05	2.26	2.05	1,98	2.0
Humber of Hospital Beds	167.63*	146.73*	172.36*	146.34*	137.90	149,9
Number of Hospital Seds per 100,000 Populati	on 734.66°	916,44*	745,57	912.05	636,92*	895,4
Number of Neonatal ICU Beds per						
100,000 Population	.04	.17	.05	.16	0.00*	.1
Local Per Capita Expenditures for Health	3.96*	6,54*	3.93*	6.50*	3.71*	6,2
Total Number of M.D.s	14.79	10.89*	15.38*	10.86*	11.70	11,4
Number of Primary Care M.D.s	7.39	5.95*	7.59	5.94*	6.40	6.1
Total Number of D.O.s	1.01	.75	.94	.97	1.65*	.7
Number of Primery Care D.O.s	.88	.66	.86	.67	1.25	.6.
M.D.s-to-100,000 Population	60.52*	55.44*	62.34*	55,29*	49,21	56.3

continued--



-	NHSC A		NHSC Alum	I M.O.s	NHSC Alu	mnI D,0,s
Channelaniation		Feiled to		Felled to		Follod to
Characteristics	Gelned	Gein	Gelned	Gain	Gelned	Geln
Heel th Resources						
Primary Care MDs to 100,000 Population	31,76*	34 . 22*	32,42	34,09	93.444	
D.O.s to 100,000 Population	4.87	4.68	3.92	. •	27.01*	34,00
Primary Care D.O.s to 100,000 Population	4.04	4,41	3.73	5.03	11.75	4.75
M.D. Interns and Residents	.36	.29	.36	4,45 ,28	7.23 .20	4,30
Environment				,	•••	••
January Temperatura	31.60	30.10				
July Temperature		32.10	32.00	32,04	28.514	32.10
January Precipitation	74.90	76.104	74.82*	76.09*	74.40	75.95
July Precipitation	2,54*	2.33*	2,58*	2.33*	2.07	2,37
Elevation in Feet	3.55	3.60	3.58*	3,60*	3.20	3.60
LISTON IN POST	1,457.00	1,444.00	1,465.00	1,443.00	1,467,00	1,446.00
Heelth Status						
Fortilty Rate	7.75	7.92	7.73	7.93		
Percent of Births to Teenage Women	8,90	8,52	8,98*	7.52° 8.52°	7.72	7.91
infent Mortality Rate	162.10	125.71	163.30		8.12	8,59
Deaths per 100,000 Population	971,000	1,019,00*	961,004	155.63	152.47	156.71
Deaths per 100,000 - from Infective		11017100-	901,00"	1,020.00*	1,033.00	1,012.00
/Peresitic Diseases	7.90*	6.60*				
Deaths per 100,000 - from Influenza/Pneumonia	24,00	26.70*	8,04*	6.60*	6,75	6.79
Deaths per 100,000 - from	- 14,00	20.70-	24,23	26,66	24,42	26.36
Cardio-Vascular Conditions	489,00*	534,000	400 040			
Incidence of Measles per 100,000 Population	3.94*		482.364	534.32*	526.70	527.72
incidence of Mumps per 100,000 Population	5.26	6.38*	4, 13	6.32	3.91	6.07
incidence of Rubella per 100,000 Population	5.20	8.11	5.41	8,04	3.46	7.78
	2.72	4,34	6.07	4.35	4.56	4,58
teaith Utilization						
Inpatient Hospital Visits per						
100,000 Pop.	86,142.00	101,868,00*	88,212,00*	101,321.00*	40 757 000	100 001 00
Outpatient Hospital Visits per			,,00	101,321,00-	69,757.004	100,201.00
100,000 Pop.	71,562,004	55,415.00*	71,923,000	35,623.00*	44 483 44	59 Ban an
Emergency Hospital Visits per			,,,,,,,,,,,	,023,00°	66,653.00	57,568.00
100,000 Pop.	25,850,00*	27,446,000	26,311,00*	77 430 000		
inpatient Surgical Operations per	/	44,1440100	20,311,00-	22,428.00*	23,115.00	22,929.00
100,000 Pop.	803.00	693.00				
Total Surgical Operations per	005100	093.00	831.00*	590.00*	633.00	710.00
100,000 Pop.	3,554.00	3,739,00	3,639,00	3,723.00	2,904,00	
rime			3,033,00	3,723,00	2,904.00	3,778.00
Number of Murders per 100,000						
Population						
Number of Rapes per 100,000	5.66	4,84	5.97	4.61	2.81*	5.00
* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *						- 100
Population	7.86*	6.504	8.16*	6.48*	7.03	6.69
Number of Burglaries per 100,000					, 143	0.09
Population	655,104	497.50*				

^{*}Difference is significant at the p < .05 level, using a one-tailed t-tast.

TABLE IV.4(d)
MEAN CHARACTERISTICS OF HIMSA COUNTIES WHICH OID AND DIO NOT GAIN PPO PHYSICIANS

	PPOs		PPO	MDs	PPO	DOs
		Falled		Falled		Falled
Character1st1cs	Gelned	to Gein	Ge 1 ned	to Gein	Gained	to Gain
Number of Countles	315	918	245	988	83	1150
Population						** *** *
Population	19,606.0* 18.6*	15,223.0° 12.9°	20,635.0° 19.5°	15,278.0° 13.1°	17,068.1 15.8	16,289.0 14.3
Population Growth Rate Percent White	87.6	86.9	86.7	67.2	90.7	66.8*
Percent Black	9.5	9.7	10.2	9.5	6.7	9.8
Percent Spanish	3.4	4.0	3.7	3.9	2.6	3.9
Median School Years	11.3	11.2	11.3	11.2	11.4	11.2
Population Per Square Mile	29.2*	25.1*	30.7*	25.0*	25.0	26.2
Cultural						
Number of Colleges and Universities	.12 326.1	-08 324.2	.12 330.0	.08 323.4	.11 323.9	-09
Per Capita Educational Expenditures Number of Urban Contiguous Counties	•88	.78	.93*	.77*	£9	324.8 •81
Economic						
Per Capita Income	5,116-62	5,082.19	5,124.96	5,082.56	5,109.05	5,089.69
Household Income	10,847.50	10,911.14	10,931.73	10,885.77	10,585.35	10,917.21
Growth Rate of Per Capita Income	61.6	58.4*	61.2*	58.7*	62.5	58.9
Unemployment Rate	11.90	10.7*	12.2	10.7	11.3	10.9
Labor Force Participation Rate	45.0	44.5	44.9	44.6	45.7	44.6
Percent Labor Force Construction	7.6 37.6°	7•5 35.9*	7.7 36.0*	7.5 35.9°	7.8 36.5	7.5 36.3
Percent Labor Force White Coller Percent Labor For Manufacturing	37.6° 20.5	19.9	20.7	19.9	.50.5 18.9	20.1
Percent Working in State/County	20.5	17.7	20.7	17.7	10.9	40.1
of Residence	76.8	75.1	77.30	75.1*	76.6	75.5
Percent Working in State/Not	,,,,,	,,,,,	*****			
County of Residence	19.5*	21.70	19.2*	21.7	19.5	21.3
Percent Not Working in State	3.0	3.0	3.5	3.1	3.9	3.1
Percent Agricultural	9.9*	13.7*	9-1*	13-6*	12.4	12.8
Per Capita Form Income	6,734.43	6,183.44	7,032.17	6,148-61	5,314-60	6,397.26
Number of Forms	638.0	604.0	631.0	608.0	662.0	609.0
Fermiand as Percent of Total Land	4.90	5.9	4.6*	5.9	5.9	5.6
Occupted Housing Units Per Cepite	•35	.35	.35	.35	-36	-35
Pecent of Families Below Poverty Line	14.20	15.6° 19.3°	14.0*	15.6° 19.2°	14.5	15.3
Percent Parsons Below Poverty Line Percent Households Lacking Complete	17.7*	19.5	17.4*	19.2	18.2	18.9
Plumbing	6.1	6.0	6.2	6.1	5.7	6.1
Percent Population Receiving AFDC	•••	•••	***	•••	•••	•
Health Resources						
Number of RN Schools	•06	.04	.07	•05	•06	.05
Number of FTE RNs/100,000 Pop.	150-0*	136 - 5*	158.3	135.4*	131.6	140.6
Number of Physician Extend as/100,000 Pop.	6.2*	4.4*	6.8*	4.4*	4.3	4.9
Number of Hospitals	2.24	1-7*	2.3*	1.74	1.9	1.8
Number of Hospital Bads Number of Hospital Bads/100,000 Pop.	144.9*	1 16 . 1* 729 . 1	153-6° 786.0	1 16 . 0° 738 . 4	125.7 857.2	123.3 740.0
Number of Neonatal ICU Bads/Pop.	802 •6 •04	•15	.05	,30.4 •14	•00	.13
Local Per C olta Expenditures for Health	4.70*	6.42*	4.32*	6•39°	5.65	6.00
Total Numbs of :	11.0*	8.9*	12.3*	8.7*	7.57	9.57
Number of Primary the HOs	6-1*	4.90	6.7	4.60	4.5	5.2
Health Resources						
Total Number o 30s	•81°	.54*	•56	-62	1.69*	•52
Number of Primery Care DOs	-67*	.490	•50	.55	1.31*	.48
MCs to 100,000 Population	50.8	46 - 1	55.3*	45.3*	37.0*	48.0*
Primary Care MOs to 300,000 Pop- DOs to 100,000 Population	30.3 4.5	28 • 3 3 • 5	31.9° 2.6°	28.1° 4.1°	24.9° 10.9°	29.1° 3.2°
Primary Care DOs to 100,000 Pop-	3.6	3.3	2.3*	3.6*	8.1*	3.0
10 Interns and Residents	.28	•33	.33	.31	.12*	.32
Environment						
January Temperature	31.6	31.9	31.4	31.9	31.2	31.8
July Temperature	74.8*	75.7*	74.4*	75.6*	75.6	75.5
January Precipitation	2-6	2.5	2.7	2.5	2.3	2.5
July Precipitation	3.6	3.7	3.7	3.6	3.5	3.7
Elevation in Feet	1,473.9	1,410^8	1,488.66	1,411.6	1,441.6	1,452.8



	PP	Os	PPO I	Os	PPO	DOs
	_	Felled		Felled		Felled
Renactor list les	Gained	to Gain	Gelned	to Gain	Galned	to Gal
belth Status						
Fortility Rate	7.83	8.02	7.80	8.01	7.80	
Percent Births to Teenagers	0.00	8.82	8.54	8.83	8.90	8.0
Infant Portellty Rate	159-61	157.34	159.8:	157.45		8.8
Bouths Per 100,000 Pep.	995.86	993.64	978.76		196.57	158.0
Dooth Rate/Infective and Parasitic	7.26	7.00	7.42	999.89	1,045.47	992.1
Doeth Rate/Influenza and Pseumonia	24.58	7.00 25.88		6.96	6.71	7.0
Deeth Rate/Cardiovascular Condition	510.82		23.96	25.94	26.16	25.5
Incidence of Hearins	4.56	515.19	502.25	517.00	539.04	512.2
Incidence of Humas		5.94	4.94	5.75	5.24	5.6
Incidence of Rubella	4.84	6.53	5.58	6.23	2.18*	6.3
inclusive of reports	4.53	3.91	3.27	4-26	8.06	3.7
meith Services Utilization						
Impetient Hospital Visit Rate	91,638.2*	80,045.7	91.702.3	80.915.7	93.164.0	82,330.0
Outpatient Hospital Visit Rate	83,031.60	49.504.4	62.918.5*	50,491.9	64.319.5	52,142.7
Emergency Hospital Visit Rate	25.896.5*	18.487.1*	26.621.3*	18.832.3*	24.775.8*	20.062.7
Inpatient Surgical Operation Rate	559.8	505.1	622.20	493.5	402.70	-
Total Surgical Operation Rate	2,881.4	2,783.2	3,090.5	2,738.3	2.358.0	527.5° 2.840.8
· imp				•		_,
Number of Nurders Per 100,000 Page	5.9	4.9	6.3*			
Number of Rapes Per 100,000 Pop.	8.40	6.30	6.4	4.9*	4.9	5.2
Number of Burglaries Per 100,000 Pop.	640.5*			6.5	8-6	6.7
	040.7	498.5*	669 .9 °	501.2*	597.1	530.2

^{*}Difference is significant at the p < .05 level, using a two-tailed t-test.

TABLE IV,3(a)

MEAN CHARACTERISTICS OF COUNTIES WHICH DID AND
DID NOT GAIN ALL YOUNG PHYSICIANS, BY REGION

		h Region		Region		th Region		f Region
haracteristics	Balmed	Falled to Gain	Gálned	Felled to Gain	Galined	Falled to Gain	Galned	Falled to Gali
lumber of Counties	55,00	5,00	436,00	359,00	553,00	415,00	175,00	714,00
opulation								
Population	31,810,00	9,487,00	21,325,00	10,931,004	23,003.00	12,146,004	17,631,000	6,496,004
Population Growth Rate	14,25	20,60	7,63	4,06	17,23	14,50	30,77	23,63
Percent Wilte	98,68	99,24	97.30	96,45	79,60	80,09	91,23	95,45*
Percent Black	.50	,24	.72	.64	18,34	16,63	.40*	,149
Percent Spenish	,40	.96	,75	.76	3,53*	7,40*	9,42	7.83
Median School Years	12.00	12.00	11,950	11,790	418,01	16,61	12,15*	12,02*
Population Per Squere Hile	49.05	24,404	34,338	16,728	41,67	24,84	11,15	5,35
ulturel .								
Number Colleges and Universities	.45*	0,00*	,230	.04*	,140	•04*	.124	.01*
Per Capite Educational Expenditures	342,47	321,48	344,02	353,70	259,82*	290,44*	390.00*	421,40*
Number of Urban Contiguous Countles	1,16	1,20	,60°	,58ª	,94	.8 2	.694	.46
conomic								
Per Capita Income	5,422.00	5,235.00	5,989.004	5,565.40*	4,824,50	4,912,00	5,894.00	5,680.00
Household Income	12,253,00	11,725.00	12,454,000	11,904,00*	10,210,00	10,007,00	12,129,00	12,003,00
Growth Rate of Per Capits Income	59,74	57,17	53,00	49,13	64,80	67.00	63,37	64,73
Unemployment Rate	10,70	15,90	9,70	8,70*	11,44*	10,21	11,530	9,68*
Labor Force Participation Rate	,45	,48	47.40	45,80*	44,00	44,50	50,31	49,47
Percent Labor Force Construction	6,34	8,38	6,22	6.36	8,12	8,23	8,57	8,30
Percent Labor Force White Coller	40.65	42,20	39,56	30,26	37,30	34,71	43,92*	37,504
Percent Lebor Force Manufacturing	28,06	26,86	19,00 4	14.568	25,834	22,75	9,23	7,67
Percent Norking in State								
/County of Residence	77,99	60,42	82,374	79,38*	74,93	70.07*	88,17	86,13
Percent Working in State								
/Not County of Residence	18,60	27,98	15,314	17 .90°	21,894	26,95	9.30	11,48
Percent Not Norking in State								
of Realdence	3,22	12.00	2,33	2,44	3,17	2,98	2,52	2,39
Percent Agricultural	4,20	3.50	15,744	23,904	6,88	9,84	7,70	19,804
Per Capita Farmer Income	4960.00	6868.00	4049,00	3,554.00	6439,00	6571,00	11,626.00	9,556.00
Number of Forms	464,004	142,004	953,00*	727,00°	682,004	476,00	432 , 00"	305 . 004
Fermiand as Percent of Total Land	2,48	2,58	7,620	8.09*	4,91*	5,72*	4,364	3,324
Occupied Housing Units Per Capita	,35	.37	,36	.36	ι,34	,34	.35	.36
Percent of Households Below				_				
Poverty Line	9,63	9,30	9,964	12.87*	16,86	18,110	10,50	12,17
Percent Persons Below Poverty Line Percent Households Lacking	12,89	11,94	12,93	16.05*	20,89	22,25	13,69*	15,090
Complete Plumbing	4,00	3,20	2,80*	3,40*	7,60	7.70	2,70	2,90

Table IV,5(a) continued

		th Region	Central		Sout	th Region	Ves	† Region
<u>Characteristics</u>	Gained	Falled to Galn	Galned	Failed to Gain	Gained	Failed to Gain	Gained	Falled to Gain
Heelth Resources								
Number of R.N. Schools	,04	•00	.16*	,01 ⁴	,11*	. 024	•10ª	666
Number of FTE R _s N _s s per			***	,	•••	•01	• 10-	,004
100,000 Population	306,00	205,00	206,784	132,64*	142,58	104,00*	199,184	157,59*
Number of Physician Extenders					1.4430	101100	177610-	17/179"
per 100,000 Population	8,82	9,04	4,68	5,17	3,25	2,67	7,49	5.72
Number of Hospitals	2,75*	.40°	2,589	1,58*	2,34	1,55*	2,61*	1,324
Number of Hospital Beds	270, 18 ⁸	39,600	220,26	85,03*	191,370	88,694	147,958	53,224
Number of Hospital Bads per			·			••••	141.027	22,622
100,000 Population	896,49	308,36	1,106,45	927,78*	796,84	733.01	943,72	920,28
Number of Neonatel ICU Beds			•		.,,,,,,	*>>•	79414	720,20
per 100,000 Population	1,53	0.00	,35*	0,000	.06	,04	.12	0.00
Local Per Capita Expanditures			•••	••••	140	144	114	0,00
for Health	3,19	5,60	5,58*	10,20°	3,404	6,55*	5,11*	10,889
Total Humber of M.D.s	33,404	2,60%	15,639	4,174	15,488	5,14 ^a	16,59*	3,698
Number of Primery Care MaDas	14,75	2,404	8,624	3,06 ^k	7,78	3,34	7,87	
Total Number of D.O.s	2,039	,60°	1,614	,98°	,484	,314	,514	2,18
Number of Primery Care D _c O _s s	1,85*	.60°	1,334	,94 ⁸	,40*	.26 th	,48°	•22* •26*
M.D.s-to-100,000 Population	116,64*	28,78*	67,414	36 659	61,384	37,614	84,68ª	45,73 ⁸
Primary Care IOs to				24.46	V.120	J. 601	04100-	47,175
100,000 Population	51,55	25.06	67,41	36,65*	61;384	37,61*	,48ª	.26ª
D.O.s to 100,000 Population	6,08	7,45	7,55	8,58	2,33	3,07	3,13	
Primary Care D.O.s to				4,20	6177	201-	2112	4,14
100,000 Population	5,57	7,45	6,35*	8.244	1,98	2,66	2,92	1 11
M.D. Interne and Residents	2,25	.20	.30*	,124	,40°	,119	,32ª	3,73 .05*
ny i roment								
January Temperatura	22,51	22,10	21,64	22,73	41,96*	43,264	27,034	24,56*
July Temperature	69,38	69,20	73,87*	74,65*	79,279	80.188	69,51	69,26
January Precipitation	2,66	2,53	1,34	1.23	3,64*	3.134	1,954	1,310
July Precipitation	3,68	3,92	3,639	3,37*	4,48	4,29	1,01	1,21
Elevation in Feet	749.00	924,00	1,175,00*	1,423,00*	699,00*	937.00*	3,995,000	4,504,000
lealth Status								
Fortility Rate	6,56	7,15	7,834	8,41*	7,364	7,744	8,78	9,10
Percent of Births to Teenage Namen	6,39	6,72	6,27	6,46	11,28	11,38	6,14	5,64
Infent Hortality Rate	129,00	92,60	138,12	136,21	178,20	182,02	138,72	138,20
Deaths per 100,000 Population	977,00	1,016,00	1,050,004	1,113,000	1,004,00	1,029,00	806,22	858.30
Deaths per 100,000 - from Infective			-		.,	7,027,000	000122	0.01.50
/Parasitic Diseases	6,39	5,89	5,48	5,10	8,73	8.21	5,98	4,09
Deaths per 100,000 - from influenza				•	••••	7,0	7174	407
/Preumon la	22,56	16,73	30,06	29,85	25,15	25.53	21,33	19,33
Deaths per 100,000 - from Cardlo				-	-> • • •	*****	-1849	17433
-Vascular Conditions	506.00	555.00	569,60*	610,524	514,80	539,39 ⁴	1369,00	394,80

Table 19,5(e) confinued

	Nor	th Region	Centre	Region	\$ou	th Region	West Region	
Character let los	Galned	failed to Gain	Galned	Felled to Geln	Galned	Falled to Gain	Gelned	Foliad to Gal
Health Status								
Incidence of Massies per								
100,000 Population	7.08	39,02	11,12*	3,62 ⁴	4,24	5,44	7,13*	1,100
Incidence of Numps per								
100,000 Population	5,31*	0.004	7,46*	3,65*	9,65	11,96	2,15	6,47
Incidence of Rubella per								
100,000 Population	5,65	4,54	7,94	5,21	2,64	1,75	7,67	4.12
Heelth Utilization								
inputiont Hospital Visits per								
100,000 Population	122,282,00	44,153.00	130,946.00	92,065,00*	94,437,00	76,521,000	101,467,00	91,718,00
Outpatient Hospital Vialts per								
100,000 Population	128,565,00*	12,250,000	70,076.00	49,877,004	57,324,00	33,881,000	95,817,00	52,805,004
Emergency Hospital Visits per								
100,000 Population	38,062,000	5,141,004	23,987,00*	14,890,00*	28,074,00	18,049,000	31,456.00	17,574.00
Inpatient Surgical Operations per						_	_	
100,000 Population	1,620.00*	70.00*	1,145,00*	257,00*	977,00*	287,00	803.00	137,000
Total Surgical Operations per						_		
100,000 Population	7,368,004	545.00°	5,405,004	2,560,000	3,974.00*	2,384,00	4,386,004	1,767,00
≥1mo								
Humber of Murders per								
100,000 Population	2, 55*	0.00*	1,90	2,22	7,51	7.37	5,43	4,91
Number of Repes per								
100,000 Population	6,07	6,86	5,42	4,71	7,53	6,49	11,00	8,31
Number of Burglaries per								
100,000 Population	8-35,00	1,412,00	641,394	426,04*	452,100	345.70*	804,00	683,70

[&]quot;Difference is significant at the p < .05 level, using a one-falled t-test.

TABLE IV.5(b)

MEAN CHARACTERISTICS OF COUNTIES WHICH DID AND DID NOT GAIN
PHYSICIANS WITH MISC EXPERIENCE, BY REGION

	North	h Region	Centr	at Region	Soutt	Region	West Region	
Cheracter list los	Gelned	Falled to Gain	Galned	Feiled to Gain	Galned	Falled to Gain	Galned	Falled to Gal
Number of Countles	22,00	39,00	80,00	715.00	135,60	633,00	65,00	224.00
Population								
Population	35,544,829	26,710,05*	19,791,41*	16,035.10*	21,002,819	17,918,44*	20,064,23	11,258,21
Population Growth Rate	9,60*	17,77*	11,97	5.36*	17,58	15,81	27,50	28,0
Percent ithits	98,81	98,98	96.07	97,06	76,25	80,394	87,93	94,3
Percent Black	.44	,51	1,06	,54	20,90*	17,07*	.491	.2
Percent Spanish	,41	.52	,584	.77*	4,36	5,33	11,63	7,9
Median School Yeers	12,00	12.00	11,90	11,87	10.57	10.75	12,09	12,1
Population Per Square Mile	43,77	48,87	32,024	26.76	36,464	33,83*	11,50	8,1
Celturel								
Number Colleges and Universities	.50	.37	.18	.15	.14	•09	.17	.0
Per Capite Educational Expenditures	364,89	326,72	337,75	349,58	280,50	271,73	407,57	400.6
Number of Urban Configuous Counties	1,05	1,24	.69	.68	.92	.88	.82 ⁴	, ,
Economic .								
Per Capita Income	5,344,27	5,442,97	5,405,54*	5,842,419	4,599,70	4,904,55*	5,728,38	5,833.6
Household Income	12,223.64	12,201,89	11,189,538	12,319,69*	9,847,70	10,167,834	11,787,29	12,163,6
Growth Rate of Per Capite Income	58,20	60,38	55,40 ⁴	50.80 ^a	62,94*	66,204	59,87	65,0
Unemployment Rate	11,56	10,92	11,71*	8,950	12,16	10,713	12,21	10,4
Labor Force Participation Rate	44,95	46,07	44,60	46,919	42,86*	44,404	48,61	50.3
Percent Labor Force Construction	5,674	7,000	6,52	6,25	7,99	8,20	8,43	8.4
Percent Labor Force White Coller	40,26	41,07	38,54*	37,024	36,01	36,23	44,56	40,4
Percent Labor Force Menufacturing	29,30	27,19	19,950	16,66*	25,50	24,35	8,87	8,5
Percent Working in State								
/County of Residence	79,65	74,71	76,950	81,48*	71.10	73,13	88,83	86,9
Percent Working in State								
/Not County of Residence	16,90	21,06	20,36	16,04*	25,12	23,69	8,37	10,5
Percent Not Working in State								
of Residence	3,46	4,23	2,70	2,34	3,78	2,97	2,29	2,5
Percent Agriculturel	4,21	4,04	1,424	2.00*	6,684	8,394	6,98	
Per Capita Farmer Income	4,851.83	5,274,08	2,954,06	3,923,46*	10,082,08	5,914,80	9,930,37	11,071,5
Number of Farms	508,32	395,66	863.65	849.92	602,90	592,20	517,77	
Fermiand as Percent of Total Land	2,42	2,52	6,124	6,024	4,554	5.37*	4,65	4,7
Occupied Housing Units Per Capita	,35	.36	.36*	.36*	,341	,341	,35	.,
Percent of Households Balow	-			••	•-		•••	•-
Poverty Line	9,99	9,39	11,65	11,24	18,469	17,224	11,71	11.0
Percent Persons Below Poverty Line	13,26	12,55	14,81	14,28	22,55	21.30	14.86	14.0
Percent Households Lacking	•						1000	
Complete Plumbing	3,78	4,04	3,64*	2,99*	7.369	7,31*	3,02	2,7
Percent Population Receiving AFDC	3,49	3,51	2,38*	3,56*	4,924	3,684	3,64	

BEST COPY AVAILABI

litte it. itte metter

					South	Natio	1001 A	nie
		to led to take		foliat to tota	Dissi.	fatige to fale		iled to fold
(0,60 fgsspla Digital (gg/s) Helly pa	49,494,49	41,790,97	91,506,109	119,000,00	71,000,194	10,100,101	104,454,00	15,647,49
MI,MB Reporters Surgers (Septing Myring gar	19,104,61	41,144,00	11,656,69	99,412,16	m.110,11	44,009,40	101,965,530	12,256,00
	11,116,17	40,986,00	M, WA, H	19,916,10	19,103,14	15,846,01	39,173,434	25,312,39
An palen (malen in Al'M (intern	1,011,00	1,466,16	017,20	19.71	611,64	662,13	1,034,899	400,54
GAR RESIDEN	0,004,00	1,010,00	3,190,999	1,776,19	1,019,30	1,303,70	4,779,300	2,930,99
title of finites pr								
CAN Applies The of the or	1,0	1,61	1,17	1,40	8,66	1,20	1,44	1,15
CO. Control to	4,0	5,01	4,10	5,13	1,41	1,00	12,57	9,17
CAR PONTO	994,19	944,01	891,199	915, Nº	190,00	397,09	107,21	141,41

Control of the state of the second of the second second

TABLE 17.5(a)

MEAN CHARACTERISTICS OF COUNTIES WHICH DID AND AND DID NOT GAL!

YOUNG M.D.S NITH NO MISC EXPERIENCE, BY REGION

		th Region	Centr	et Region	South	Region	West Region	
Merecteristics	Gelned	Felled to Gain	Galned	Felled to Geln	Galned	felled to Gein		elled to Ga
lumber of Countles	51,00	9.00	407,00	368,00	499.00	469,00	164,00	125.0
equiation								
Population	32,740.00°	14,135,00*	21,634,00	10,713,00*	23,858,004	12,487,004	18,279,00"	6,626.0
Population Growth Rate	14,59	15.86	7,120	4.86*	17,37*	14,684	30,88	
Percent White	98.85*	09.32	97,58	96,31	80,11	79.49		24,1
Percent Black	.53*	•22ª	.71	.66	17,89	17,31	91.26*	95.0
Percent Seemish	,44	.49	.75	.75	3,32	7,19 ⁶	.37	•
Median School Years	12,00	12,00	11,96	11,79*	10,839	10.61*	9,49	7.1
Population Per Square Nile	90,75	25 ,764	35.04	19,15	43,05	25.32ª	12 .16° 11.67°	12.1 5.1
<u>kil tural</u>								
Humber Colleges and Universities	•47	.11	,24*	,05ª	,154	.05*	,134	•(
Per Capita Educational Expanditures	341,35	337.14	34,54	352,41	255,95*	291,06*	385,70	424,
Number of Urban Contiguous Countles	1.20	1.00	.79*	.60*	.94	.83	.689	444
conomic								
Per Capita Income	5,446.00	5,183.00	6,034,004	5,550,004	4,846,00	4,879.00	5,923.00	f .662,
Household Income	12,257.00	11,944,00	12,557,004	11,838,000	10,257,000	9,980,004	12,173,00	11,956,
Growth Rate of Per Capita Income	59,82	58,22	55,03"	49,374	64,85	66,68	63,87	63.
Unemp loyment, Rate	10.61	14,33	9,54	8,88	11,40#	10,36*	11,684	9,
Labor Force Perticipation Rate	45.77	45.05	47,65	45,65*	44,10	44,30	50,18	49.
Percent Labor Force Construction	6,40	7.16	6,15	6.41	8,13	8,21	8,51	8,
Percent Labor Force White Collar	41,10	39,00	39,68*	34,55	37,60*	34,70	44,224	37.
Percent Lebar Force Menufecturing	27,95	28.04	18,994	14.90	25,81*	23,13°	9,394	7.
Percent Norking in State					•	-54.5		.,,
/County of Residence	78.56	64,89*	83,03*	78,91*	75,82*	69,70	88,22	86.
Percent Horking in State				-			***	441
/Not County of Residence	18,42	25,81	14,724	18,33*	21,16*	27,15*	9.16	11,4
Percent Not Working in State					•	2.0.0	••••	7.9
of Residence	3,00	9,29	2,25	2,51	3.01	3,16	2,62	2.
Percent Agricultural	4,06	4,34	15,77*	23,26*	6,70	9.70*	6.70*	14,
Per Capita Farmer Income	4,998,00	5,800,00	4,097,00	3,541,00	5,221,00	7,833,00*	11,771,00	9,548,0
Number of Ferms	470.00	248.00	970,004	726.004	696,00	485,00	434,004	314.
Farmland as Parcent of Total Land	2,55	2,05	7,69	8.00	4,88*	5,66°	4,301	5.
Occupied Housing Units Per Capits	.35	.36	.36	.36	.35	,34 K,	.35	
Percent of Households Below		-	•		***	***	• >>	•
Poverty Line	9.62	9,54	9.76*	12,90#	16,68*	18,16*	10,424	12.
Percent Persons Below Poverty Line	12,84	12,67	12,69*	16,06*	20,71*	22,30*	13,64	15.
Percent Households Lacking		-			===:	*****	17604"	136
Complete Plumbing	4,60	3,60	2,71*	3,46*	7.37	7.90	9 60	•
Percent Population Regulving AFDC	3,60	3.00	2,52	2,49	(42)	/ e7U	2.80	2,0

continued--

Table IV.5(c) continued

	Hor	th Region	Centre	ni Region		Region	<u>West Region</u>	
theracteristics	Gel ned	Falled to Gain	Ga1 ned	Falled to Gain	Galned	Falled to Gain	Galned	Falled to Gal
ical th Resources								
Number of R _a N. Schools	,04	0.00	.178	.01#	,11¢	.03#	.10*	0,00
Number of FTE Rallas per								
100,000 Population	316,90*	189,194	214,20	130,60*	146,24	104,54*	196.70*	164,52
Number of Physician Extenders								
per 100,000 Population	9,27	6,35	4,70	5,11	3,19	2,60	7,09	6,41
Number of Hospitals	2,88*	.67*	2,69*	1,550	2,43	1,548	2,66*	1,36
Number of Hospital Beds	280,86	81,56#	251,329	83,55	202,95	88,219	152,000	56,20
Number of Hospital Bads per								44
100,000 Population	929,59	382,19"	1,152,86	892,34"	829,70	704.32	940,52	927,00
Number of Neonatal ICU Beds								
per 100,000 Population	1,65	0.00	.38*	0,004	.07	.03	,14	0,00
Local Per Cepite Expenditures								40.00
for Heelth	3,06	5,22	5,579	9,87	3,284	6,32*	4,60°	10,60
Total Number of M ₄ D ₄ S	35,47*	4,56	16,40	4,21	16,47	5,284	17,44*	3,71
Number of Primary Care M.D.s	15,51*	3,56*	9,00	3,09*	8,204	3,40*	8,26*	2,18
Total Humber of D.O.s	1,82	2,44	1.60*	1.03*	,46	.35	,52 ⁸	.21 .27
Number of Primery Care D.O.S	1,73	1,89	1,33	.971	,39	,28	,49# 47 184	
N _a D _a s-to-100,000 Population	123,324	29,98*	70,01	36,22*	63,94*	37,624	67,184	45,80
Primary Care IOs to			44 44	44		94 198	44,47*	32,50
100,000 Population	53,00*	28,42*	41,894	27,59*	34,28*	26,32¶ 3,20	3,00	4,2
D _s O _s s to 100,000 Population	5.70	9.00	7,12	8,95	2,12	J ₁ ZU	2,00	7960
Primary Care D.O.s to			4 101	8,30*	1,87	2,70	2,86	3,7
100,000 Population	5,38	1,73	6,15 ^k •31*	,12 ⁰	.42	•12	,32°	.0
M.D. Interns and Residents	2,41	•22	•31"	412"	176	***	17-	•
Env I ronment								
January Temperature	22,62	21,61	21,50	22,80	42,078	43.01*	27,03	
July Temperature	69,41	69.12	73,85	74,60	79,30	80.64*	69,22	69,6
January Precipitation	2,65	2,64	1,32	1,25	3,67*	3.16*	2,04	
July Precipitation	3,66	3,95	3,63*	3,394	4,48	4,32	1,01	1,2
Elevation in Feet	729,00	962.00	1,183,004	1,396,00*	687,004	923,00°	3,993,00	4,462,0
Health Status								
Fortility Rate	6.55	6,94	7,820	8,30*	7,61	7,75	8,76	9,1
Percent of Births to Teenage Women	6,51	5,92	6,16*	6,56°	11,27	11,38	6.16	5,6
Infant Mortality Rate	129,05	108,44	136,55	138.00	178,47	181,29	138,00	138,0
Deaths per 100,000 Population	981,00	976,00	1,043,00*	I,115.00°	1,006,00	1,024.00	804.00	856.0
Deaths per 100,000 - from infective				_				
/Peresitic Diseases	6,37	6,23	5,21	5,42	8,90	8,10	6,06	4,1
Deeths per 100,000 - from Influenza			e- •		g= aa	40 00	al a=	RA 4
/Pneumonia	22,46	19,88	30,23	29,68	25,30	25,36	21.05	20,2
Deaths per 100,000 - from Cardio			A.E.	414 448	ata 44	ESO AA	12A AA	393.0
-Vescular Conditions	513.00	497,00	567.00°	610,00*	516,00	532,00	369,00	V) (TC
Incidence of Measles per		**	44,45		A 44	g 1e	7,35	1,6
100,000 Population	7,59	21.93	11,47	3,82*	4,37	5.16	(4)2	1 40



	Hor	th Region	Centre	l Region	Soutt	Region	Vest	Region
Cheracteristics	Gal ned	failed to Gain	Gelned	Felled to Gain	Galned	falled to Gain	Gelned	failed to Gal
Heelth Status								
Incidence of Numps per								
100,000 Papulation	5,65	,44	7,37	4.02	10,12	11,20	2.03	4 44
Incidence of Rubella per		-		1,00	10015	11040	2,43	6,29
100,000 Population	6,09	2,41	8,16	5,18	2,72	1,77	8,15	3,81
Heelth Utilization								
Impatient Hospital Visits per								
100,000 Population	125,910.00	58,351,00	136,443,004	89,215,000	101,166,000	73,793,004	101,043,00	A9 110 AA
Origetiant Hospital Visits per		•			1017100100	131113100	101,040,00	93,132.00
100,000 Population	139,927,000	22,233.00*	70,667,004	45,118,00°	60,176,00*	33,546,004	97,442,00	54,498.00
Emergency Hospital Visits per					32,		77,144,100"	,,,,w
100,000 Population	40,239.00*	7,555.004	24,606,00	14,922,000	29,291,004	17,861,004	32,139,00	17,900,00
Important Surgical Operations per					,		251.22100	11 1204100
100,000 Population	1,716,004	213,00*	12,111,00°	254,000	1,051,00	288,00	851,000	134.00
Total Surgical Operations per					•••		471,000	',7100
100,000 Papulation	7,847,004	867,00*	5,652,004	2,513.00*	4,164,00*	2,365,00*	4,577.004	1,747.00
Zim								
Number of Hurders per								
10^,000 Population	1,96	4,38	2.00	2,09	7.71	7,16	8.49	4 44
Number of Repos per				-147	1811	7810	5,47	4.90
100,000 Population	5,94	7,24	5.89	4,59	7.64	6,49	11,11	8 40
Number of Burglaries per		·			1804	4177	17411	8,40
100,000 Population	798.00	1433.00	625.00°	458.00*	459.49*	350.00*	821,00*	672.00

^{*}Difference is significant at the p < .05 level, using a two-tailed t-test

TABLE 14-5(d)

MEAN CHARACTERISTICS OF HINSA COUNTIES WHICH DID AND DID NOT GAIN PPOB, BY REGION

		th Region		el Region		Region	Hest Region	
Cherech Istics	Galned	Falled to Galm	Gelned	Falled to Gain	Gel ned	Falled to Gain	Galned	Falled to Gate
Number of Counties	21	31	89	328	142	434	63	126
Population								
Population	35,445.29*	26,182.164	18,221.55*	13,746.254	20,461+04"	16,400.70*	14,356.00	12,302.54
Population Growth Rate	12.71	16 - 48	17.04*	5.17*	18-154	14-12*	30.96	27.78
Percent White	99.09	98.95	96.05*	95.62*	77.98	77.80	90.52	92.77
Percent Black	•38	-52	•82	.54	20-32	19-95	-47	.26
Percent Spanish	.43	•55	-52	.56	2.38	5-17*	10.56	9.81
Median School Years	12.00	12-00	11.81	11.81	10-63	10.51	12-05	12.02
Population Per Square Hile	38-52	49.23	28.54*	23-11*	37+58*	29.81*	7.56	7.31
Cultural								
Number Colleges and Universities	•52	-42	•08	•09	.11	.07	•06	•06
Per Capita Educational Expenditures	355.94	345.34	336 - 55	351-75	275 - 27	277.51	416+11	409.34
Number of Urban Configuous Countles	1.14	1.39	.73	-69	1.07*	•82*	•%	•70
Economic								
Per Capita Income	5,184.57	5,396-61	5,366.15	5,486.75	4,631.44	4,592-21	5,835.02	5,645.83
Household Income	11,842,67	12,179-65	11,266.36	11,973.16*	9,976.94	9,760-57	11,886-25	11,797-40
Growth Rete-of Per Capita Income	59.77	57.91	56.38*	50-11*	62.73	63.27	67.17	62.84
Unemployment Rate	12.03	10.52	11.37*	9.45*	12.49	11.65	11.59	10.55
Labor Force Participation Rate	43.51	46.41*	45.13	45.52	42.51	42.65	50.79	47.8
Percent Labor Force Construction	5.90*	7.09°	6.56	6.23	8.25	8.05	8.39	8.7
Percent Labor Force White Collar	39.56	40.90	35.54	35.28	35-17*	34,66*	41.41	40.6
Percent Labor Force Hanufacturing	28.45	26.97	20-45*	15-65*	24.70	25.92	8.38	8.45
Percent Norking in State								
/County of Residence	80 - 38*	72.55*	77.19	79.17	70.99	68.99	88+33	86.36
Percent Working in State								
/Not County of Residence	16.30*	22.86*	20.09	17-64	24.41*	27.72*	8.82	11.61
Percent Not Working in State								
of Residence	3.32	4.60	2.74	2.89	4.60*	3.29°	2.84	2.00
Percent Agricultural	3.58	4.82	16 - 85*	22.37*	7.12*	8+55*	8.56	
Per Capita Farmer Income	4,506.72	5,198-66	4,438.56	3,498-27	5,232.01	7,294.37	14,106.78	9,594.9
Number of Ferms	511-62	420.00	B76 •69	785.71	603-65	547-85	420-49	372.08
Formland as Parcent of Total Land	2.13	2.98	6.16*	7.69*	4.54	4-95	4-87	4.84
Occupied Housing Units Per Capite	-35	•35	-36	.36	.34	•34	-35	. 35
Percent of Households Below							ı	
Poverty Line	10-59	9-48	11.17*	13.03*	17.76	19.02	11-69	12.01
Percent Firsons Below Poverty Line	13.99	12.7	14-15*	16 - 27*	21.93	23-20	14-53	15-2
Percent Households Lecking								
Complete Plumbing	4.90	5.67	3.59	3.74	9.44	8.83	2.69	3.18
Percent Population Receiving AFDC	4.25*	3 -13 °	3.17	2.77	4.70	4.65	3.06	2.60

ERIC Full Taket Provided Sty EBIC continued—

Characteristics

Health Resources

Number of R.N., Schools

Number of FTE R.N.s per 100,000 Population

Number of Hospitals

Number of Hospital Bads

Number of Hospital Bods per 100,000 Population

Number of Neonatal ICU Beds per 100,000 Population

Number of Physician Extenders per 100,000 Population

North Region

falled to Gain

.06

273,64

9,27

2,19

229.10

861.23

2,71

Galned

.00

284.13

9.17

3.05

260.10

768.19

.00

Central Region

Falled to Gain

.05

148,76

5,22

1,705

119,77

865.06

.11

Galned

.03

140,65

5.91

2,12

145,30

840.97

,00

South Region

falled to Gain

.05

107.01

3,17

1,64

110,29

301.22

.08

Galned

,00

120.21

3.36

1,924

138,00

679.57

.00

West Region

falled to Gain

,06

173.64

5,56*

1,84

98.94

852.02

.00

Gall ned

.06

185,78

11,818

2,514

121.16

1,036,96

,20

	Nor	th Region	Centra	I Region	South	Region	West Region	
Herecter let ics	Gelned	Felled to Gain	Galned	Falled to Gain	Galned	felled to Geln	Gained	Falled to Gal
beith Utilization								
Inpetient Hospital Visits per								
100,000 Population	103,085,02	120,034,59	94,848,60	92,560,85	77,186,15	65,902,85	116,361,53	113,737,45
Outpatient Hospital Visits per								·
100,000 Population	104,455,22	128,276,30	59,996,30	50,436,05	47,026,74	37,725,74	69,587,35	68,284,20
Emergency Hospital Yisits per							•	
100,000 Population	37,922.52	32,541,67	21,931,79	16,073,35"	24,066,07	18,199,670	31,614,30	22,283,54
Inpatient Surgical Operations per								-
100,000 Population	1501,90	1369,19	494,53	496,53	499,48	456.93	473.76	473,99
Total Surgical Operations per								
100,000 Papulation	5,663,97	7,757.75	2,858.46	2,944,10	2,437,44	2,302,98	2987,17	2,800,68
<u>rim</u>								
Number of Marders per								
100,000 Papulation	1,48	3,06	2,10	2,20	8,25	7.03	7,75	5,30
Humber of Rapes per								-
100,000 Population	7,18	5,36	5,70	4,40	7,64	6,95	14,14	9,39
Humber of Burglaries per								
100,000 Population	843,17	965,90	849,324	515,70*	417.57	364,51	780,41	799.91

^{*}Difference is significent at the p < .05 level, using a two-tailed t-test.



TOLE 17,0101

44 CHARLES OF CONTROL ON AND DIG AND DATE OF THE SAME AND THE SAME OF THE SAME

	- Charles					
*					B.680 pp	L Bret
		Police to	- Intent	Foliat To	2 4224	follos to
						ele
CONTRACT OF CONTRACT	(0),40	913,00	100,00	201,00	494,400	19,00
•						
Paper No.	1,311,600	1,111,00	17,773,000	19,009,00*	29,000,00	33,930,00
front Otto	15,07°	6,30°	19,40 97,44	14,07 95.40	19,31	27,07
Parasit (Nam	1,10	A.N	1.0	11,40	0,00 1.70	81,14 10.82
forest banks	4,50	1.30	3,44	4,30	2,370	.40*
faile fact for	11,4 0 14,31*	11,41	11.30	11,07	11,90	11,30
	Magri-	10,00	20,00	30,44	94,47	9,10
Services.						
Childre Children and antegratities			,139	,440	••	••
For Capita Educational Expanditures	340,000	971,487	311,00	300,317	,34 194,38°	,21 201,199
Caller of Green Carridges and Carrier	.91	<i>d</i> 1	,10	,010	1,199	1,42
America.						
For Capita Annua	1.00.00	1.00.00	1,311,00*	1,000,00*	9,910.00	1,700,00
(December) from	17,400,40	11,100,00	11,100,00	10,120,00*	11,793,40	11,701,00
Staff, fish of far Staffs Home	7.3	60,20	66,10	99,07	41,30	62,30
United the factories and	9,300 17,40	0,00	16,739	11,000	11,48	12,00
forms to for form (married		1,49	44.10 1,47	44,40 7,30	49,43 4,44°	42,84 8,78°
	7 , 17	34,10	28,910	20,000	41,38	4,35
forms (star form deviations)	14,10	10,10	21,100	23,000	24,70	25,70
Forest foreign to State /States of Continues	10.40	70.00				
Forest during to State		4944	79,130	78,499	91,000	70,02*
All Courty of Replaces	10,00	10,00	10,00*	30,97	16,090	29.30*
forms for turning to from at their forms fortunations	140		1.40	1,40	2.10	3,01
for Cartie forms to the	13,000	4,80° 4,864.60	10,71° 5,071,00	12,00° 4,000,00	4,00	6,70
table of firm	40,00	410.40	120.00	720.00	1,366,60 001,60	4,362,60 073,60
familiary on familiary of fatigue from	9,30	1,00	1,90	4,40	1,34	1,79
familial display solls by Spiles formal of displaying Spiles Respire		.35	.300	.390	.30	.33
Parent Parent State Parents Line	And their	19.30	13,799 17,309	11,29*	11 ,00 19,37	12,05
Parasit Countries Lasting			******	4,550	19037	16,00
Complete Photolog	9,40	4.64	1.300	6.13*	4.23	1,00
	1,00	1,55	3.40	1.07	3,46	3,76
tento el AA, tenen		0,00	,,,,,,,	,600	.30*	.00*
and of the first in	100,707	***			*** ***	
Callet of Capabatan Subsection and			190,000	122,59*	\$16.31*	144,62°
100,000 Papalottas	4.57	4,00	4,900	3,070	3,03	2,99
tento el templos	1,340	1,35	2,370	1,450	3,100	2,300
tale of taleful fee to	10,40	90,500	100,000	111,790	323,00*	345,000
100,000 Republifies	1,000,00	986,70	604,46*	100,00*	916.00	729,00°
take of the state to be per	- · · · ·					, a v g v g
MA/CO Reputation Lates for Baylin Bayanilians for the	4,00	6,60	.27		•39•	0,00°
100 Care of Care	**************************************	10,00	3,06 11,60	4.66	2,60 20,43°	2,00
		-	- 1	71		10,00°



		10,000	10,000 -	24,000	25,000 end	Over
County Character (at les	On I ned	Falled to	Colned	Falled to	Galned	falled to
theifth Resources						
Number of Princey Core N.O.s	2.700	1,990	6.72	4.52	13.720	9.92
Total Number of 0.0.s	.30	.34	•75	.05	1,54	1.10
Number of Pricery Care D.O.s	.33	.31	,60	.00	1.24	1.0
M.D.o-10-100,000 Population	97,700	39,120	64,36*	40.67*	62.32*	57.3
Primary Core IDs to		-			****	
100,000 Papulation	37.23*	27.70*	30.120	26,65*	30,43*	29.5
D.O.a to 100,000 Population	4.90	3,30	4.30	5.50	4,44	3,1
Primary Core 0,0,0 to		_				
100,000 Papulatian	4.20	9, 14	3,96*	5. 17°	3.49	3,1
M ₂ D ₄ Informs and Residents	.00	.05	490	.16*	.61*	.3
<u>evirament</u>						
January Temporature	29.12	30,50	31.850	35,14*	32.84	35.9
July Temperature	74.394	75.96*	75,800	77.250	75.71	77.0
January Procipitation	1.91*	1,694	2.53	2,74	2.86	3.0
July Procipitation	3.09	3. 11	3,81*	4.100	3,99	3,9
Elevetien in Feet	2,172.00	2,048.00	1,307,00	960,00	945.00	951.0
beith Status						
Fortility Rate	8,00*	8,42*	7.90	7.90	7,29	7.4
Percent of Births to Toonego Human	7.73	7.87	8,750	9.71*	8.66	9.5
Infant Martellty Rate	144.72	154,11	150,60	161.84	150,52	164,3
Dooths per 100,000 Population	1,030.00	1,061,00	1,014.00	1,022,00	940.00	928.0
Persolite Disesses	8.81	5,67	7.46	7,45	6.68	7.3
Dooths per 100,000 - from Influence						
/Proumon1s	29.05	27.00	529.30	540.00	23,10	20.4
Dooths per 100,000 - from						
Cardio-Veccular Canditions	526.00°	558.00°	27.14	26,44	484,40	460.2
Incidence of Massies per						
100,000 Papulatian	5.24	4.73	7.91*	3,44*	7.44	6.0
Incidence of Numps per						
100,000 Papulation	8.23	5,22	8.72	9.80	6,81	25.3
Incidence of Rubella per 100,000 Papulation	7.20	2.56			4 410	
1904000 Labela Lau	7.40	2,79	3.91	5.03	6.41*	1.3
beim utilisation						
inpution Mapital Visits per	114 040 000	24 211 222				
100,000 Pap.	114,840.00	66,9 11,00°	103,772.00	80,811.00 °	117,723.00	85,753.0
Outpotient Mospitel Visits per 100,000 Pap.	** ***	10 410 000	45 716 605	30 474 000	43 A47 AA4	54 007 0
Gargency Hespital Visits per	96,043,000	39,530,000	65, 719.00°	39,476.00	83,967.00°	54,087.0
100,000 Pag.	20,359.000	14,860.00	26,369.00	18,192.00	32,929,000	26,626.0
Inpotent Surgical Operations per	20, 337,00	14,000,00	20,20,00	10,172,00	261727100	20,020.0
100,000 Pag.	191400*	109.00	624,000	355.00*	2,056,00*	1,300.0
Total Surgical Operations per				222433	2,000,00	.,,,,,,,,,
100,000 Pap.	2,999.00	2,093,000	3,991,000	2,508.00*	6,555.00	4,692.0
<u>> 1eo</u>						
Number of Hurdons per						
100,000 Papulation	4.73	4,53	4.70	5,48	5.47	5.6
Number of Repes per						
100,000 Papulation	8.26	5,00	6,60	7,21	8.42	8,6
Number of Burglaries per		_				
100,000 Papuletian	815.00	382,00°	539,00	487.00	639,004	499.0

*Difference is significant at the p < .05 level using a one-falled t-test.



TABLE IV.6(b)

MEAN CHARACTERISTICS OF COUNTIES WHICH DID AND DID NOT GAIN YOUNG PHYSICIAMS WITH MHSC EXPERIENCE, BY COUNTY POPULATION SIZE

	•	Population	10.000	- 24,000	25,000 and Over		
County	Falled to			Falled to		Falled t	
Cherecteristics	Gelned	gain	Ge I ned	Gein	Ge I ned	Geln	
Number of Counties	58,00	682.00	145.00	764.00	99.00	364.00	
Population							
Population	7,198,59*	5.993.45*	17,471,13	16.988.14	35,399,21	35,306,26	
Population Growth Rate	18,13*	10.05*	18,33"	14.86*	16,35	15.85	
Percent White	87.69	90.70	87.83	85.01	85.42	88.73	
Percent Black	7.51	5,39	9.83	9.94	10.98	9,56	
Percent Spanish	5,83	4.94	5.32	3,47	2.94	2.12	
Median School Years	11,26	11.45	11.24	11.25	11,58	11.55	
Population Per Square Mile	14.75*	11,47*	26.48	29,41	48.20*	56,74	
Cultural							
Number Colleges and Universities	0.094	0.02*	0.11	0.10	.33	.33	
Per Capita Educational Expenditures	334.46	366.09	332.90	294.76*	320.58"	284.08	
Number of Urben Contiguous Contles	,64	.45	.90	.63	1.05	1.22	
Economic .							
Per Capita Income	4,896.78*	5,411.630	5,090.01*	5,302.94*	5,265,22*	5,586.13	
Household Income	10,044.40*	11,249.07*	10,625,14	11,088,31*	11,479,54	11,877.52	
Growth Rate of Per Capite Income	59.99	59.46	60.00	59.20	59.76	61.95	
Unamployment Rate	11,52	8.18*	11.86*	10.91*	12,51*	11.42	
Labor Force Participation Rate	45.19	46.89	44.70	45.76	44,45	45.66	
Percent Labor Force Construction	8.90*	7.63*	7.59	7.41	6.64	7.13	
Percent Labor Force White Coller	35,29	34.99	38,40	37.24	41.55	41.15	
Percent Labor Force Menufacturing	18.96*	12,65*	20.57	22.52	21,99*	25,56	
Percent Working in State							
/County of Residence Percent Working in State	71 .68	79.00*	77.07	76.52	80,26	80.10	
/Not County of Residence	24.30	18,32*	19.70	20.63	17.21	17.24	
Percent Not Working in State of Residence		2,53*	3,24	2.85	2.51	2.68	
Percent Agricultural	10.72*	18.37*	9,694	11.48	5.06°	7.09	
Per Capita Fermer Income	15,076,34	8,626,07	8,694,10	5, 123,62	5,098.87	5,429,20	
Number of Forms	366,69	416.91	876,49*	747.92*	787,30*	926.60	
Farmland as Percent of Total Land	4,52	6.81*	5.419	8.10°	4,17*	5,71	
Occupied Housing Units Per Capita	.35*	.36*	.35	.35	.34	.35	
Percent of Households Below Poverty Line	15.17	14.89	15.31	14.12	13,18*	11.71	
Percent Persons Below Poverty Line	18.38	18.48	19.00	17.68	16.83*	15.03	
Percent Households Lacking							
Complete Plumbing	6,47*	4,91*	6,38	5,50	4.08*	5.50	
Percent Population Receiving AFDC	3,80	2.59	4.26*	3.40*	4.25*	3,27	
Heelth Resources							
Number of R.N. Schools	0.03	0.00	.05	•06	.21	.23	
Number of FTE R.N.s per 100,000 Population	144.40	134.37	143.64	145.71	228.27	207.70	
Number of Physician Extenders per					4	-	
100,000 Population Number of Hospitals	8,87*	5.01*	5.57*	3.69*	6.23*	3.06	
Number of Hospital Beds	.95*	1,374	2,15	2.17	3,14	3,10	
Number of Hospital Bada per	36,95*	59.02*	130,96	140.86	299,24	323.38	
100,000 Population	474 478	1000 748	744 67	414 44	442.02	014 43	
Number of Neonats 1CU Bads per	474,63*	1008,36*	764.83	834.52	843.93	916,43	
100,000 Population	0.00	0.00	0.00	99	4.0	9-	
Local Per Capita Expenditures for Health	7.84°		=	.22	.13	.35	
Total Number of M.D.s	=	11.71*	3.72*	4.05	2,04	2.09	
Number of Primery Care M.D.s	2.95 1.76	2.70 1.95	9.68 5.54	9.72 5.96	29,21	28,68	
Total Number of 0.0.s	.43	•35	.81	•78	13,38	13.41	
Immed A: A6A62	67 J	•33	•01	• /0	1.67	1.46	



County	•	Population or 10,000	10,000	- 24,000		
	Felled to			Falled to	Felled 1	
Characteristics	<u>Qa1 ned</u>	Geln	Galned	Geln	Gained	Gein
Heelth Resources						
Number of Primary Care D.O.s	.26	•32	.74	.73	1.45	1.16
M.O.s-to-100,000 Population	42,65	42.02	54,28	55.70	60.13	80,23
Primary Care MDs to						
100,000 Population	23,60*	31.23*	31,40*	35.17*	37.14	37.82
D.O.s to 100,000 Population	5,59	5.35	4.86	4.76	4.49	4.29
Primary Care D.O.s to				·		
100,000 Population	3.39	4,98	4,35	4.42	3.97	3.32
M.O. Interns and Residents	.10	.06	.25	.36	.67	.57
Environment						
January Temperature	32.08	29.91	32.17	33,26	30.96*	33,69*
July Temperature	74.99	75.51	75.25	76,54*	74.24*	76,26*
January Precipitation	2.33*	1.69*	2.49	2.63	2.72	2,93
July Precipitation	3,48	3,08	3.49*	3.85*	3.68*	4.08*
Elevation in Feet	2,033.26	2,090.62	1,445,75*	1,129,51*	1,135.21	893.30
Heelth Status						
Fortility Rate	.77*	.83*	.08	.00	.07	.07
Percent of Births to Teenage Nomen	8.74*	7.75*	9,07	9,12	8,77	8.73
Infant Mortelity Rate	152.29	151,14	167,49	158.35	126.59	125.61
Deaths per 100,000 Population	1,016,24	1,054.78	973,10*	1,025,47*	940.69	938.52
Deaths per 100,000 - from infective	1,010,24	1,034,10	7/2610	1,02347	740,07	730,32
/Peresitic Diseases	8.24	5.83	6.01	7.35	7.49	6.53
Deaths per 100,000 - from Influenza	0,24	7,03	4.01	1633	7.47	0,00
/Pneumon le	23.72	28.20	25.62	27.12	23.15	22,80
Deaths per 100,000 - from	27,12	20,20	#J.02	27412	23413	22,00
Cardio-Vescular Conditions	518.20	550.69	487, 19*	542.07*	474.56	486.64
Incidence of Meestes per	210,20	220.09	407,17	24.01°	474.20	400+04
100,000 Population	3.24	5.02	3.47*	6.76*	5.03	8.15
Incidence of Numps per	3,24	7102	J47 -	0.70	7,03	0413
100,000 Population	6.77	5.43	5,57	9.80	3,92	9.58
Incidence of Rubella per	0.77	2,43	2,27	y. 00	3,72	9,70
100,000 Population	2.20	4,14	2,36	4.71	13,42	3.97
Heelth Utilization						
Inpatient Hospital Visits per						
100,000 Pop.	49.948.16	99,368,84*	85,407,29	97.009.73	108.648.85	116,640,75
Outpatient Hospital Visits per				,	100,010,00	,
100,000 Pop.	50,136.89	44,797.36	70,257.16*	53,144,69*	86,320,77	60,112,12
Emergency Hospital Visits per			,			
100,000 Pop.	14,949.62	16,684.33	26,050,32	22,760,74	31,942,60	32,522,22
Impatient Surgical Operations per	,	,	00,00000			22,222,33
100,000 Pop.	111,40	135.74	443,68*	537,85*	1,735.82*	2,061,89*
Total Surgical Operations per			440,00	22.402	.,,,,,,,,	2,00.,00
100,000 Pop.	1,930.91	2,408.15	2,828.19*	3,549.96*	5,562.73*	6,625,05*
Crimo						
Number of Murders per						
100,000 Population	5.48	4,51	4,93	5.01	6.84*	5,124
Number of Rapes per						
100,000 Population	5.48	5,38	7.12	6.83	10.36*	7.93*
Number of Burglaries per						
100,000 Population	728.25	430.26	572,47	509,29	733,27*	598,60°

^{*}Difference is significant at the p < .05 level using a two-tailed t-test.



TABLE IV,6(c)

MEAN CHARACTERISTICS OF COUNTIES WHICH DID AND DID NOT GAIN YOUNG PHYSICIANS WITH NO MISC EXPERIENCE, BY COUNTY POPULATION SIZE

	•	Population	** ***	- 94 000		M and A:==
Carrage	Under 10,000		10,000 - 24,000 Falled to		25,000 end Over Feiled †	
County Cherecteristics	Ga I ned	Geln	Qu I ned	Cain	Gelned	Gain
Num acter (2) (C)	ee i neu	90 111	66 (1100	wo (n		Ge in
Number of Countles	187.00	553.00	520.00	369.00	414.00	49.00
<u>Population</u>						
Population	7,397,000	5,644.00*	17,890.00*	15,962.00	35,684.00	33,316,00
Population Growth Rate	15,62*	9.01*	15,60	15,17	15,31	21,42
Percent White	92,15	89.90	66,39*	86,00°	88,16	86.80
Percent Black	4,28	6.00	8,63*	11.694	9.70	11,32
Percent Spanish	4.07	5,33	3,50	4,13	2,32	2.04
Median School Years	11,53	11,40	11,38*	11.074	11,594	11,24*
Population, Per Square Mile	14.31*	10.35*	28,72*	29.25	54.66	57.06
Cultural						
Number Colleges and Universities	.05*	.01*	.13*	.06*	.34	.22
Per Capita Educational Expenditures	349,54	368. 37	310.56	287.90	293.90	275.60
Number of Urben Configuous Confles	.47	.47	.78*	.92*	1.15	1.51
conomic						
Per Capita Income	5,418.00	5,355.00	5,436.00*	5,045.00*	5,516.00	5,535,00
Household Income	11,274.00	11,114.00	11,264.00	10,681.00	11,800.00	11,729.00
Growth Rate of Per Capita Income	57.15	60.30	60.26	58,110	61.46	61.63
Unemployment Rate	9,11	6.21	10.65	11,61*	11,61	12.00
Labor Force Participation Rate	47.26	46,58	46.51	44,37*	45.75	42,37*
Percent Labor Force Construction	8,35*	7,53	7,44	7.43	6.90	8,13
Percent Labor Force White Coller	37.54*	34.16*	38.67*	35.74*	41.40	39.80
Percent Labor Force Menufacturing	13,23	13.12	20.92*	23,95	24.70	25.71
Percent Working in State						
/County of Residence Percent Working in State	80.30	77.60	79.824	72.30*	81.10*	71,82*
/Not County of Residence	16.80*	19.50*	17.44*	24.55*	16,38*	24.50
Percent Not Working in State of Residence	2.90	2.60	2.73	3,15	2,52	3,68
Percent Agricultural	14,34*	18,930	10.70	11.86*	6,80	6.60
Per Capita Fermer Income	7,492.00	7,221,00	5,492.00	5,217.00	5,317.00	5,717.00
Number of Farms	429.00	408,00	741.00	730.00	898.00	844.00
Farmiand as Percent of Total Land	5,900	6.90	5.93	6.06	5,35	5,58
Occupied Housing Units Per Capita	.36	.36	.35	.35	.35*	.33*
Percent of Households Below Poverty Line	13,65*	15,34*	13,49*	15,40*	11,90	13,10
Percent Persons Below Poverty Line	17,20	18.91*	17,000	19.06*	15,31	16,34
Percent Households Lacking						
Complete Plumbing	5.25	4.96	5.16*	6.25*	4,28	5,28
Percent Population Receiving AFDC	2.72	2,67	3.32*	3,82*	3,46	3,66
Heelth Resources						
Number of R.N. Schools	.01	.01	.08*	.02°	.24*	.08
Number of FTE R.N.s per 100,000 Population	171.10*	123,000	164,320	120.00*	219.75	147.50°
Number of Physician Extenders per	171,10-	143,W-	194 , 34"	120.00	419.73°	147,30
100,000 Population	5,83	5,15	4.70*	3.04*	3,88	2,55
Number of Hospitals	1,68*	1,220	2.43*	1.80*	3,174	2.57
Number of Hospital Beds	81,62*	49.05	161.23*	110.00*	327.80*	238,04
Number of Hospital Beds per	3.000				251 104	220104
100,000 Population	1,195,004	889.00*	922.50	691.00*	922.40*	721.14
Number of Neonatal ICU Beds per	.,				700179	
100,000 Population	0.00	0.00	.30	.04	.34*	0.00
Local Per Capita Expenditures for Health	10,24*	11.80*	3,98	4.01	2,07	2.09
Total Number of M.D.s	4,63	2,08*	12.12*	6.50*	29,94*	19.104
Number of Primary Care M.D.s	2.98*	1.58*	6.94*	4,49*	13,84*	9.67
Total Number of 0.0.s	.36	.35				

ERIC Full Text Provided by ERIC

		Population or 10,000	10,000	- 24,000	25,000 end Over		
County		Felled to		Feiled to		Felled to	
Cherecter letics	Ge i ned	Gein	Geined	Gein	Gelned	Geln	
Heelth Resources							
Number of Primary Care D.O.s	.35	.31	.64*	.84*	1,22	1.27	
M.D.s-to-100,000 Population	62.97*	35.00*	66.97*	40,120	83.104	56.00*	
Primary Care MDs to	•	-				2000	
100,000 Population	41.16*	27.05*	39.29*	28.26*	30.70*	28.90*	
D.O.s to 100,000 Population	4.44	5.69	4.00*	5.79*	4.40	3.76	
Primery Care D.O.s to			•	- •			
100,000 Population	4.50	5.00	3.67*	5.40*	3.46	3.46	
M.D. Interns and Residents	.09	.05	.48*	.16°	.60	.45	
Environment							
Jenuary Temperatura	78.33*	30.67*	31.65*	35.00*	32.81	35.60	
July Temperature	73.97*	75.98*	75.68*	77 . 21*	75.71	76.81	
January Precipitation	1.87	1.69	2.51*	2.75	2.86	3.05	
July Precipitation	3.00	3.14	3.56*	4.10	3.98	4.05	
Elevation in Feet	2,253.00	2,030.00	1,349.00	953.00*	942.00	968.00	
Health Status							
Fertility Rate	8.05	8.30	7.89	7.88	7,29	7.47	
Percent of Births to Teenage Women	7.54	7.92	8.64*	9.794	8.63*	9.63*	
Infent Mortelity Rate	143.50	153.80	156.92	163.64	158.13	166.50	
Deaths per 100,000 Population	1,030.00	1,099.00	1,012,00	1,023.00	942.00	917.00	
Deaths per 100,000 - from infective	1,050,00	1,037,00	1,012,00	1,023.00	742.00	917,00	
/Peresitic Diseases	6.40	5.90	7.55	7.31	4 48	7.44	
Deaths per 100,000 - from influenza	0.40	7.70	7,000	7031	6,65	7.46	
/Pneumonia	31.00	26.80	27.23	26.40	23.10	21.20	
Deaths per 100,000 - from	31.00	20,00	27,023	20.40	23,10	21,20	
Cardio-Vesculer Conditions	526.00	556.00	530.00	538.00	486.00	471.00	
Incidence of Messies per	220,00	220,00	330,00	220.00	400,00	4/1,00	
100,000 Population	5.35	4.72	8.29*	3.48*	7 44	4 07	
Incidence of Humps per	2022	4072	0,47-	3.40-	7,55	6.87	
100,000 Population	5.82	5.44	9.11	9.14	4.04	21 11	
incidence of Rubelle per	3.01	7.44	7011	7.14	6.86	21,11	
100,000 Population	8,62	2,42	3.92	4.89	6.45*	2.06*	
Health Utilization							
Inpetient Hospital Vielts per							
100,000 Pop.	129,222,00*	84,062.00*	107,304.00	78,923.00*	118,506,000	85,676.00	
Outpetient Hospital Visits per							
100,000 Pop.	59 ,210.00°	40,475.00	68,556.00	36,922.00*	64 ,527.00*	55,462.00	
Emergency Hospital Vielts per							
100,000 Pop.	21,924.00	14,726.00	27,109.00*	18,214.004	33,231.00*	25,362.00*	
inpatient Surgical Operations per							
100,000 Pop.	· 208.00*	109.00	658.00*	342.00*	2,085.00	1,206.00	
Total Surgical Operations per							
100,000 Pop.	3,178.00*	2,098.00	4,200.00*	2,412.00	6,644.00*	4,322.00	
Crimo							
Number of Murders per							
100,000 Population	4.70	4.55	4.87	5.17	5.42	6.03	
Number of Rapes per					-		
100,000 Population	6.92	4,86	6,68	7.15	8,31	9.57	
Number of Burgleries per							
100,000 Population	610.00*	401.00*	539.00	494.00	640.00*	517.00*	

^{*}Difference is significant at the p < .05 level using a two-tailed t-test.

TABLE 14-6(d)

MEAN CHARACTERISTICS OF COUNTIES WHICH DID AND DID NOT GAIN PPOS, BY COUNTY POPULATION SIZE

		Population - 10.000	10,000 - 24,000		25,000 and Over	
Paulahu	Under 10,000		Falled to		Falled	
County Character1st1cs	Galned	Gein	Ge1 ned	Gein	Gelned	Geln
Union action 15 11 Lb	-				•	
Number of Countles	75	391	196	376	84	151
Population						
Population	6,921.49*	5,825-16*	17,230.86	17,009.57	35,343.32	35,109.00
Population Growth Rate	14.32	10-69	21.31*	14.20	17.49	15.34
Percent White	90.96	36. 74	05. 31	85.39	69. 72	86.24 11.51
Percent Black	5.01	6.46	11.21	12 .2 6 3 .7 6	9-66 1-45	2.66
Percent Spanish	4.37	4.61	3 .9 0 11 .28°	11.05*	11.36	11.47
Hadian School Years	11.43	11.32 11.95	25.13	28.84	50.48	49.20
Population Per Squara Mile	11.37	11.00	49113	20.04	20140	47120
<u>Cultural</u>						
Number Colleges and Universities	•00*	•D1*	•08	•07	•30	•30
Per Cepita Educational Expenditures	355.14	364.93	320.71*	292.81°	310.29	297.00
Number of Urban Contiguous Contles	-65	.50	-83	•89	1.17	1.22
Economic						
Per Cepita Income	5,205.96	5,066.09	5,057.16	4,998.23	5,147.26	5,332.85
Household Income	10.867.45	10,823.71	10,648.31	10,716.29	11,199.58	11,623.28
Growth Rate of Per Cepita Income	60.49	57.41	62.87*	58.43*	60.33	60.61
Unemployment Rate	8.97	9.25	12-69*	11-69"	13.36*	11.00
Labor Force Participation Rate	47.43*	44.57*	44.60	44.52	43.48	44.33
Percent Labor Force Construction	8.13	7-65	7.76	7.35	6.99	7.23
Percent Labor Force White Coller	35.42	34.04	37.74*	36.02*	39.13*	40.61
Percent Labor Force Manufacturing	14.29	14.10	20.93*	24.28*	25-18	23.97
Percent Working in State						
/County of Residence Percent Marking in State	77.80	75.54	76.31°	73.03*	76.95	79.26
/Not County of Residence	18.06	21.45	20.31*	23.58*	19.39	17.9
Percent Not Working in State of Residence	4.14	2.76	3.39	3.39	3-65	2.7
Percent Agricultural	15.09*	18.79*	9.30	11.18*	5.75	6.70
Per Capita Farmer Income	7,634.14	7,422,82	6,632.26	5,536.03	6,120.86	4,575-6
Number of Farms	472.08	366.00*	630.41*	723-64*	800.33	869.5
Farmland as Percent of Total Land	6.02	6.40	4.93*	5-61*	3.86*	5.0
Occupied Housing Units Per Capita	•36*	•35*	•35	•34	•34	.3
Percent of Households Below Poverty Line	14.16*	16.51*	14.93	15.64	12.91	13.2
Percent Persons Below Poverty Line	17.49*	20.16	18.57	19.33	16.35	16.8
Percent Households Lacking				4.44	4 505	4.0
Complete Plumbing	5.04	6.00	6-62	6-60	6.20° 4.25	4.9 3.9
Percent Population Receiving AFDC	2.30	3.2 3°	4.50	3.94	4.25	209
Health Resources						
Number of R.N. Schools	•01	-01	.03	•03	.15	•2
Number of FTE RaNas per	***	400	190 4-	124.37	194.93	202.8
100,000 Population	139.59	122-58	130.87	124.37	174.73	202.00
Number of Physician Extenders per			5.61*	3.58*	5.11	4.3
100,000 Population	8.45	5.28 .9 5 *	2.05	1.94	3.04	3.0
Number of Hospitals	1.45° 58.77°	41.30*	126 • 63	122.22	255.56*	296 • 4
Number of Hospital Beds	20.17	41130"	120 003	100166	2//100	
Number of Hospital Bads per	957.77*	895.57*	765.97	721-25	731.94*	836 • 24
100,000 Population Number of Neonatal ICU Beds per	## 1411 -	U//1//	,0,0,7			
100,000 Population	•00	•00	•00	•22	•15	3
Local Per Capita Expenditures for Health		10-65	3.73	3.78	1.97	2.0
Total Number of M.D.s	2.47	2.07	8.35	8-60	23-60	27.3
Number of Primery Care M.D.s	1.85	1.52	5.00	5.24	11.68	12.5
Total Number of D.O.s	•44*	•21*	-68	-62	1.37	1.1



		y Population ar 10,000	10,000	- 24,000	25,	000 and Over
County		Falled to		Falled to	<u> </u>	Falled to
Characteristics	Galned	Gein	Gelned	Geln	Gelned	Geln
Health Resources						
Number of Primary Cars D.O.s	.31	•20	.54	.55	1,24	1,13
M.D.s-to-100,000 Population	38.48	31.76	46,37	48.89	66.17	76.04
Primary Cara MDs to				40407	00117	70.04
100,000 Population	28,22	23,78	29,51	30.41	33.67	34,99
D.O. to 100,000 Population	6.38*	3,33*	4,14	3.78	3,68	3.27
Primery Cara D.O.s to		*		-0.0	2,00	J. 1
100,000 Population	4.78	3, 16	3,27	3.40	3,33	3,14
M.D. Interné end Residents	•06	.06	.21	.48	.58	.62
Environment						
Jonyary Remorature	30.26	29.24	32.03*	34,24*	31.82	32.90
July Temperature	75,31	74.96	74.85	76.65	74,150	75.54
January Precipitation	1.69	1.89	2,73	2,93	3,11	2.97
July Precipitation	2.96	3,25	3.72	3,97	4.06	3.95
Elevation in Feat	2,159.87	1,989.84	1,491,10	956.47*	829.76	1,038.75
Heelth Status						
Fortilty Rate	8.00	8,29	8.11	7.89	7,170	7.61*
Percent of Births to Teenage Women	7.76	8,13	9,34	9,53	9.05	8.83
Infant Mortality Rata	147.25	151.38	167.49	160,90	156.01	163.92
Deaths per 100,000 Population	1,068,88	1,019.69	979.89	1,002,10	960,329	916,61
Deaths per 100,000 - from Infective		•		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	,,,,,,,	710401
/Parasitic Diseasas	7.42	6,23	7.38	7.74	6.91	7.16
Deaths per 100,000 - from Influenza					••••	70
/Pneumon1a	26,74	27.01	24.65	26,27	22,51	21.97
Deaths per 100,000 - from				·		
Cardio-Vascular Conditions	556.66	528,81	500,69	520.94	488.69	465.60
Incidence of Measles per						
100,000 Population	5.91	4.44	3,25	6,60	5,77	8.18
Incidence of Mumps per						_
100,000 Population	4.79	6.60	4.77	7.47	499	4.03
incidence of Rubella per						
100,000 Population	3.16	4,73	2.71	3,12	9.13	3,72
Health Utlilzation						
Inpatiant Hospital Visits per						
100,000 Pop. Outpatient Hospital Visits per	92,648.38	66,862,38	90,325.87	62,636,28	93,923,30	107,415.01
100,000 Pop.	43,563,10	35,677.90	59,752.74	B: 441 00	86 804 31	40 150 11
Emergency Hospital Visits per	45,505,10	33,077,90	37,132,14	51,661.09	86,504.31	80,139,14
100,000 Pop.	17,622.71*	11,927,36*	25,590,60*	20,376.60*	33,851,75	70 747 44
Inpatient Surgical Operations per	,	11,727,50	23,390,00	20,370,00-	22,021,72	30,767.95
100,000 Pop.	95.76	86,41	398.26	412.07	1,274.00*	1,823.77*
Total Surgical Operations per 100,000 Pop.	1,525,82	1,610.43	2,700.71	2.799.74	4 407 445	
Crime	.,,,,,,,,,	1,010,43	2,700,71	2,177,14	4,427.48*	5,778.74
Number of Murders per						
100,000 Population	5.07	4.36	6,10	5.16	6.50	5.9 ,
Number of Rapes per						
100,000 Population Number of Burglaries per	6.82	4.87	8.36	6.95	9.74	8,53
100,000 Population	844 63	400	484			
.aataaa .ahatattui	544.03	455.35	656.04*	490.39*	697.76	630,41

^{*}Difference is significant at the p < .05 level, using a two-tailed t-test.

TABLE IV.7(0)

MEAN CHARACTERISTICS OF COUNTIES WHICH DID AND DID NOT GAIN ALL YOUNG PHYSICIANS, BY HMSA STATUS

	Moor	HOUSA	1945A S'		Part-IMSA Countles	
		Falled to	<u> </u>	Felled to	1011-1010	Felled to
Characteristics	Galined	Geln	Qa ined	Geln	Galned	Geln
				4-0.00		
Number of Counties	532,00	346,00	378,00	459,00	309,00	88,00
Population .						
Population	23,345,000	11,118,00	18,055,00*	9,690,00	24,627,000	14,520,00
Population Growth Rate	14,770	10,80*	16,124	10,910	16,41	17,55
Percent thite	90,75	90,68	82,34*	86 ,16*	92,16	94,53
Percent Black	6.73	6,48	14,61*	10,16*	4,70	2,75
Percent Spenish	2.96*	5.44*	4,00	4,71	2,58	2,20
Median School Years	11,64*	11 .43 °	10,96	11,05	11,75	11,60
Population Per Square Mile	39,72*	20,81*	31,390	18,694	31 . 46°	23,33
Culturel						
Number Colleges and Universities	.24°	•05*	.06	•03	•25°	.02
Per Capita Educational Expenditures	305.24*	331.26*	299.35*	329.88*	340,28	352,62
Number of Urban Configuous Confles	.85*	•61°	.90*	•72°	.83	.72
<u>Economic</u>						
Per Capite Income	5,766.00	5,689.00	4.850.00	4,887,00	5.528.00	5,650.00
Household Income	11,935,00	11,366,00	10,394.00	10,658.00	11,632,00	11,690,00
Growth Rate of Per Capite Income	60.08	62.00	60.50	58.80	59.84*	53.42
Unemployment Rate	9.60	8,36*	11,93*	10.38*	11.04	10.12
Labor Force Participation Rate	47.94	47.64	43.60	43.91	46.36	46.75
Percent Labor Force Construction	7.19	7.65	7.84*	7.38*	7.32	7.42
Percent Labor Force White Coller	40,62*	36,26*	36,33*	33.42*	40.36*	37.63
Percent Labor Force Menufacturing	20,64*	16.44*	22,92*	18,60*	19.64*	16,31
Percent Morking in State/County of Residence	82,67*	79.62*	73,47	72.72	81.94*	76,96
Percent Working in State/Not County of Resl.'s	-	18.43*	23,30	23.05	15.04*	19.73
Percent Not Working in State of Residence	2,32	1,95	3,22	3.21	3.02	3.32
Percent Agricultural	10.404	15, 104	9.72*	16.95*	9,40*	15.50
Per Capita Farmer Income	5,381,00	6,050.00	6,794.00	5,547.00	7,128,00	5,520.00
Number of Farms	817,004	570.00*	620.00	517.00	728,00	681,00
Fermiend as Percent of Total Land	6,51*	7.10*	5,21	6.30*	4.86*	6.37
Occupied Housing Units Per Capits	.36	.36	.34*	.35%	-35	.34
Percent of Households Below Poverty Line	11,520	13,47*	16,61	17.04	11,76	12,33
Percent Persons Below Poverty Line	14.87*	16.95*	20.42	20.85	15,09	15,26
Percent Households Lacking Complete Plumbing	3.67	4.06	7.46*	6,56*	4,26	4.07
Percent Population Receiving AFDC	2,64	2.39	4.27*	3,54*	3,51*	2,89
Health Resources						
Number of R.N. Schools	.17*	•02°	.04*	•01•	•14°	.02
Number of FTE R _s N _s s per 100,000 Population	203,004	143,304	128,280	103,17*	207,46*	145,90
Number of Physician Extenders per 100,000						
Population	3.92	3,45	4,50	3,93	5,98	7.55
Number of Hospitals	2,72*	2,004	1,78*	1.10*	2,94*	1,86
Number of Hospital Bads	237.54*	106.17*	116,43*	57 .88 °	233,50*	109.30
Number of Hospital Beds per 100,000 Population	n 1,075,23	1,114,31	647.45	627.20	1,035,80*	795,50
Number of Neonatel ICU Beds per 100,000 Popul	ution "28°	.04*	,04	0,00	•43	0.00
Local Per Capita Expenditures for Heelth	4,36*	9,62*	4,71	8.104	4,15	6,8
Total Number of M.D.s	19.72	5.92*	8,55*	3,04*	20.70*	7,00
Number of Primery Care M.D.s	9,90*	3,894	4,66*	2,13	10,42*	4,8
Total Number of D.O.s	1,23	.79*	.38	•33	1,19	•9'
Number of Primery Care D.O.s	1,01*	•75°	.30	•30	1,10	.9
M.D.s=to=100,000 Population	80.78*	50,32*	44,37*	27,70	80.34*	45,41
Primary Care M.D.s to 100,000 Population	43.96*	36,27*	25,63*	21,000	43,110	33,40
D ₀ O ₀ s to 100,000 Population	5,62*	7.724	2,51	3,54	4,92	6,33
• • • • • • •	-					5,82 ,10
Primary Care D.O.s to 100,000 Population M.D. Interns and Residents	4,83° ,38°	7,21° ,11°	1,93° ,28°	3,30* ,11*	4,47 ,72°	



	HMSA STATUS								
	Non	-H48A	Who I e-HMSA	Countles	Pert-HIS	A Countles			
		Felled to		Felled to		Felled to			
Cherecter let ics	Gelned	<u>ga In</u>	Gelned	Gain	Gelned	<u>Ge 1 n</u>			
Env I ronment									
Jenuery Temperature	31,44*	33.78*	36.14*	32.75*	26.65	26.3			
July Temperature	75.98*	77.34*	77.00	76.50	72.63	73.2			
Jenuary Precipitation	2 .29*	1,68*	3,07*	2,394	2.29*	1.7			
July Precipitation	3.57	3,44	4, 100	3.71*	3.22	2.9			
Elevation in Feet	1,372.00	1,628.00*	1,139.00*	1,521.00*	1,541,00	1,772.0			
Health Status									
Fertilty Rate	7.54*	8.23*	7.87*	8, 15*	7.76	8.1			
Percent of Births to Teenage Women	8, 13	8,36	10.03*	9.16*	7.38	7.0			
Intant Mortality Rate	153.03	157,55	168.88*	159.04*	145.28	149.3			
Deaths per 100,000 Population	1,003.00	1,085,004	989.00	1.012.00	972.00	1,017,0			
Deeths per 100,000 - from Infective/ .			-	• - • - •	27-000	.,			
Peresitic Diseasee	6.50	6.26	7.93	6.73	6.97	5.3			
Deaths per 100,000 - from Influenza/Pneumonia	26,62	28.74	26,00	25.50	25.80	22.7			
Deaths per 100,000 - from Cardio-Yesculer									
Conditions	528.50*	575.10*	903.80*	529.40*	498.00	535.00			
Incidence of Messies per 100,000 Population	7.47	5,42	4,90	3.73	9.74*	3.6			
Incidence of Mumps per 100,000 Population	10.37	9.32	6.90	6.44	3,66	6,8			
Incidence of Rubelle per 100,000 Population	5.26	5,34	3,23	2.18	8.26	2.6			
Heelth Utilization									
Inpatient Hospital Visits per 100,000 Pop.	129,659.00*	112,422,00*	72.846.00	62.921.00	124,164,000	87.307.00			
Outpetient Hospital Visits per 100,000 Pop.	71,713.00	53,267.00*	54 .522 .00*	29,410,000	88,402,00*	44,429.00			
Emergency Hospital Visits per 100,000 Pop.	29,482.00	21,961,000	21,802,00*	12,160,000	31,182,000	19.124.0			
Inpatient Surgical Operations per 100,000 Por	1,360.00	363.00*	457.00*	144.000	1.208.000	324.00			
Total Surgical Operations per 100,000 Pop.	5,936.00	3,515,00*	2,374.00	1,463,000	5,410.00*	2,435.0			
<u>Crimo</u>									
Humber of Hurders per 100,000 Population	4.56	4.72	6,10	5.10	4,33	5.07			
Number of Repes per 100,000 Population	6.89	5.90	6.35	5.70	8.81	8.0			
Number of Burglaries per 100,000 Population	554.32*	414.85*	484.76*	380,000	772.60	721.23			

^{*}Difference is significant at the p < .05 level using a one-tailed t-test

TABLE IV.7(b)

MEAN CHARACTERISTICS OF COUNTIES WHICH DID AND DID NOT GAIN YOUNG PHYSICIANS WITH NHSC EXPERIENCE, BY HMSA STATUS

-	Man	- 18 45A	1445A S' 14ho 1 e-1445A		Dar - Luis	A Counties
-	HOTT	Felled to	MIO I G-THISA	Falled to	PELTHENS	Falled to
Characteristics	Se Ined	Ge in	Ga I ned	Gain	Galned	Gein
Number of Counties	57.00	821,00	152.00	685,00	93,00	304.00
Population .				••••		201,000
						
	23,183.26*	18,203,05*	17,661.98*	12,541,52	26,868.68*	21,015.97
Population Growth Rata	10.16*	12.87*	17.44	12.34*	17.69	16.35
Percent White	91.81	90,65	80.72*	85.26*	89.96*	93.52
Percent Black	3.724	6,84*	15,29*	11,470	4,43	4,22
Percent Spanish	3.58	3.96	4.85	4,29	4,93*	1.99
Hedian School Years Population Per Square Mile	11.68 39.56	11 . 55 31 . 78	11,01	11.02	11.72	11,77
ropularion rar square mile	39,30	31.78	30.03*	23,20*	28,65	29,99
Cultural						
Number Colleges and Universities	.28	.16	.07	.04	.30°	.16
Per Capita Educational Expenditures	334,13	314,21	307,54	317.97	361,46*	337.32
Number of Urban Contiguous Contles	.89	.75	.96*	.76*	.60	.51
Economic						
Per Capite Income	5,692.63	5,738.66	4,751,64	4,896,53	5.339.70*	5,621,50
Household Income	11,651,42	11,715,00	10,259.09	10,600,93	11,141,750	11,799,66
Growth Rate of Per Capite Income	56.75	60.97	60.32	59.89	60,04	57.92
Unemployment Rate	10.57	9.14*	12,27*	10.82*	12.46*	10.35
Labor Force Participation Rate	46.98	47.89	43.33	43.87	45,58	46.72
Percent Labor Force Construction	7.12	7,39	7,94	7,51	7.11	7.41
Percent Labor Force White Coller	41,120	38.76*	36,52*	34,34*	41,204	39.31
Percent Labor Force Manufacturing	20.28	18,90	21,77	20,26	19,31	18.79
Percent Working in State/County of Residence	83.01	81,36	71.49	73,41	82,61	80.29
Percent Working in State/Not County of Residence	14.67	16.46	24.89	23.32	14.40	16,59
Percent Not Morking in State of Residence	2, 14	2.18	3.61	3,13	3,01	3,11
Percent Agricultural	9.47*	12,43*	9.100	14.70	7.14*	11.85
Per Capita Farmer Income	4,015.29*	5,757.83*	9,309,99	5,399.97	7,589.99	6,524.72
Number of Ferms	715.42	719.88	604.26	554,20	674.15	731,31
Fermiand as Percent of Total Land	5.57*	6.81*	5.05*	5.98*	4.03*	5,55
Occupied Housing Units Per Capita	.35*	.36*	.34	.35	.35*	.36
Percent of Households Below Poverty Line	11,52	12,34	16,94	16,83	12.61	11.06
Percent Persons Below Poverty Line	14,78	15,75	20.70	20,65	16,11	14.83
Percent Households Lacking Complete Plumbing	3,62	3.86	7.73	6.79	4.95	4.02
Percent Population Receiving AFDC	2.66	2.53	4.76*	3,68*	4,174	3.13
Health Resources						
Number of A.N. Schools	.12	•11_	.05	.02	.17	.10
Number of FTE R.N.s per 100,000 Population	207,24*	177.62*	138,25*	179.24	204.02	190,64
Number of Physician Extenders per 100,000				_		
Population	6.49*	3,54*	5.77	3.63*	7.43	5.99
Number of Hospitals	2.79*	2,41*	1,48	1,39	3,16*	2.56
Number of Hospital Beds	235.09*	183,19*	105.69*	79.57*	226,87	199.60
Number of Hospital Beds per 100,000 Population Number of Neonatal ICU Beds per 100,000	1,065,70	1,092.36	532.19*	659.33	860.51*	1,019.83
Population	0.00*	.20*	6.66		44	••
Local Per Capita Expenditures for Health	3.78°	6.62°	0.00	.02	.14	.39
Total Number of MaDas	19,120	13.95*	4.52*	7.02*	3,17*	5. 23
Number of Primary Care M.D.s	9,329	7,41°	8.06°	4.96*	23,110	160
Total Number of D.D.s	1,68	1.01	4.40* .52*	3.02°	11.09*	8.59
Number of Primary Care D.O.s	1,49	.87		,31°	1,43	1.06
	1077	• 0 /	.39	.28	1.31	.97

continued-



		HASA STATUS							
	Hon-	-H#15A	Mhole-HISA Countles		Part-HMSA Counties				
		Feiled to		Falled to		Falled to			
<u>Characteristics</u>	Gelned	Gein	Ge I ned	Gein	Attracted	Attract			
Heelth Resources									
M.D.s-to-100,000 Population	76.96	68.21	43,23*	33,45	78.71	70.73			
Primary Care MDs to 100,000 Population	39.82	41.01	23,38	23,08	40,60	41.07			
D.O.s to 100,000 Population	6,80	6,43	3,69	2,94	4,75	5.0			
Primary Care D.O.s to 100,000 Population	6,43	5.72	2,56	2.71	5,00	4.70			
M.D. interns and Residents	.33	.27	.35*	.15°	.39	.64			
Environment									
January Temperature	28,74*	32.62*	35,81*	33,94*	26,98	26.45			
July Temperature	74.17*	76.68*	76.90	76,68	7.1.98*	73.2			
Jenuary Precipitation	1.99	2,14	2.90	2.65	2.75	2.19			
July Precipitation	3,45	3,52	4,03	3.85	2.83*	3.20			
Elevation in feet	1,688.77	1,458.42	1,236,07	1,373,71	1,675,34	1,566.10			
Heelth Status									
Fartlity Rate	.07*	.08°	•08*	•08*	.08	.00			
Percent of Births to Teenage Namen	7.82	8.25	10.06*	9,44*	7.67	7.20			
Infant Mortelity Rate	151,05	155.07	171.24	161.76	154.02	143.77			
Deaths per 100,000 Population	961,13*	1,040,34*	984,89	1,005,55	953,58	991.70			
Deaths per 100,000 - from infective/									
Peresitic Diseases	8,28	6,28	8,15	7.09	7.22	6.4			
Deaths per 100,000 - from Influenza/Pneumonia Deaths per 100,000 - from Cardio-Yascular	25,56	27 .59	24,30	26.05	24.00	25,5			
Conditions	488.47*	550.90*	500.14	521.74	471.130	516.9			
incidence of Measies per 100,000 Population	1,47*	7.02*	3,13	4.51	6.77	8.8			
incidence of Numps per 100,000 Population	1.99*	10.510	6,99	6.91	4.44	4,3			
incidence of Rubelle per 100,000 Population	8.91	5.04	2.50	2.69	9.79	6.19			
Heelth Utilization									
inpetient Hospital Visits per 100,000 Pop.	124,016.61	122,786.89	61,820,68	68,634,64	102,416,87	120,148,4			
Outpatient Hospital Visits per 100,000 Pop.	78,759.98	63,449.79	58,620,394	36,770,529	88,626,43	75,604,2			
Emergency Hospital Visits per 100,000 Pop.	32,629,44*	26,093,64*	20,235.86*	15,693,85*	30,870,56	27,786,6			
Inpatient Surgical Operations per 100,000 Pop	1,181,46	960.76	426,07*	254,24*	1,188,55	957.4			
Total Surgicel Operations per 100,000 Pop.	5,333.99	4,957.66	2,294,74	1,795.25	4,520,63	4,820.5			
rino									
Number of Murders per 100,000 Population	5.07	4.59	6,13	5,41	5,26	4,20			
Number of Rapes per 100,000 Population	6.02	6.53	6,36	5,91	11,46*	7.77			
Number of Burglaries per 100,000 Population	607.57*	491.84*	529.28*	404 .65*	889.88	721.85			

^{*}Difference is significant at the p < $_{\rm s}$ 05 level using a one-tailed t-test,

MEAN CHARACTERISTICS OF COUNTIES WHICH DID AND DID NOT GAIN YOUNG PHYSICIANS WITH NO NHSC EXPERIENCE, BY HMSA STATUS

	Mon	-HB4SA	HMSA S Whote-HMSA			
		Felled to	MUO (S-MASA	Felled to	Pert-H45	A Countles
Characteristics	Galned	Gain	Ge I ned	Pelled to Gein	0.1	Falled to
			<u> </u>	001H	Galned	Gain
lumber of Countles	522.00	356,00	305.00	532,00	294,00	103.0
<u>Population</u>						
Population	23,520.00	11,203,00*	19,128,00*	10,224,00	24,991.00*	14.953.0
Population Growth Rate	14,649	11,110	16,00*	11,700	10,48	17.1
Percent White	90.64	90.84	82.98	85.27	92,10	94.4
Percent Black	6.84	6,33	14,210	11.000	4,75	2,8
Percent Spanish	3.00*	5.32*	3.90	4,67	2,69	2.6
Median School Yeers	11,64*	11,439	11,00	11.05	11,75	11.7
Population Per Square Mile	39.97*	21,00*	32,92*	19.60	31,91*	23.2
<u>ultural</u>						
Number Colleges and Universities	•25°	•05°	. 06	.04	.25*	
Per Capita Educational Expenditures	305,22*	330,50*	293,60*	329.00*	339.04	.0
Number of Urben Contiguous Contles	.84*	.63*	.91•	.74*	.84	354,4 •7
conomic						
Per Capite Income	5,762,00	5,697,00	4 877 00	4 444 44		
Household Income	11,928,000	11.392.00*	4,877.00	4,866.00	5,538.00	5,605.0
Growth Rate of Per Capite Income	40.23	61.70	10,466.00	10,580.00	11,673.00	11,568.0
Unemployment Rate	.80*	8.43*	60.55 12.10*	59.00	59.76*	54.5
Labor Force Participation Rate	47.95	47.63	43,52	10.50*	11.10	10.1
Percent Labor Force Construction	7.184	7-65*	7.60	43.91	46.46	46.4
Percent Labor Force White Coller	40.67*	36.319	36,50*	7.47 33.72°	7.33	7.3
Percent Labor Force Manufacturing	20,64*	16.57*	23.10*	19, 11*	40.49° 19.57°	37.6
Percent Working in State/County of Residence	82.83*	79.39*	74,34	72.32	82,28*	17.0
Percent Working in State/Not County of Reside	nce 14.84°	18,60*	22,60	24.20	14,84	76.7
Percent Not Working in State of Residence	2.33	1.95	3,10	3,30	2.88	19.63 3.69
Percent Agriculture:	10.32*	15,10*	9.50*	16,10*	9,44*	14.5
Per Capita Farmer Income	5,386,00	6,024.00	5,221,00	6,622,00	6,987,00	6, 163,0
Number of Ferms	819.00*	575,00*	639,00*	520.00°	733.00	676.0
Fermiend as Percent of Total Land	6.49*	7.10*	5, 15*	6,19*	4.90*	6.0
Occupied Housing Units Per Cepite	.36	•36	.34*	•35°	.35	.34
Percent of Households Below Poverty Line	11.53*	13,40*	16,44	17.10	17.76	12.2
Percent Persons Below Poverty Line	14.90*	16,85*	20,26	20,90	15, 10	15,29
Percent Households Lacking Complete Plumbing	3.70	4.10	7,44	6.70	4,25	4,20
Percent Population Receiving AFDC	2.65	2,39	4, 10	3.75	3,53°	2.93
seith Resources						
Number of R.N. Schools	.170	•02*	.04*	•01*	•15°	.02
Number of FTE R.N.s per 100,000 Population	203.84*	143.90*	129,41*	106.00*	213.00	139.34
Number of Physician Extenders per 100,000					0.0400	13743
Population	3,67	3,54	4.28	4.13	6.18	6.73
Number of Hospitele	2.73	2.01*	1.92*	1.11*	3,00*	1.85
Number of Hospital Beds Number of Hospital Beds per 100,000	240 , 13*	108.00*	127.43	59.63*	239.43	110.54
Population Number of Neonatal ICU Beds per 100,000	1,077.00	1,110.00	690,15	606,00	1,061.000	759.00
Population	.28*	.04°	.05	0.00	4.5	
Local Per Capita Expenditures for Heelth	4,34*	9.51*	4.47°	0.00	,45	0.00
Total Number c. N.D.s	20.00*	5.90*	9.40*	7.77° 3.31°	4,140	6,47
Number of Primery Care M.D.s	10.01	3,90	5.02*	2,27*	21.32°	7.22
Total Number of D.D.s	.22*	.81*	•30	•38	10.68° 1.13	4.88
Number of Primery Care D.D.s	1.~	.78	.26	.33	1.03	1.18
M.D.s-to-100,000 Population	51,54	50,35*	47,00*	26.50*	82 . 28*	1.09 44.97
Primary Care MDs 14 100,000 Population	4 174	36.20*	27,00*	20.90*	43.78*	32.91
D.D.s to 100,000 Forulation	32'	7.82*	1,66*	3.89*	4,60	7.05
Primery Care D.O.s to 100,00. Population	4.18th	7.31*	1,59*	3.30*	4,19*	6.43
M.D. Interne and Residents						

continued--



			HMSA \$1	TATUS		
	Non	-101SA	Mhole-MSA		Part-HASA	Counties
		Falled to		Felled to		Falled to
Characteristics	Gelned	<u>Ge in</u>	<u>Gallned</u>	Gein	Ge I ned	Gein
Env I ronment						
January Temperature	31,500	33,63*	36,21*	33, 17*	26.70	26,22
July Temperature	76,00	77.27*	76,86	76,63	-72.83	73,20
January Precipitation	2.30*	1,88*	3,14*	2,44*	2.32*	1.76
July Precipitation	3,58	3,43	4.11*	3,75*	3.20	3,03
Elevation in Feet	1,370,00*	1,624,00*	1,130.00*	1,474.00*	1,543.00	1,730.00
Health Status						
Fortility Rate	7.54*	8,21°	7.90	8,10	7,75	8,11
Percent of Births to Teenage Momen	8,14	8,33	10.00*	9,30*	7,37	7.16
Infent Mortelity Rete	153,13	197.30	169.12	160.25	144,17	192.00
Deaths per 100,000 Population	1,003.00	1,083,00	984.00	1,012.00	970.00	1,019,30
Deaths per 100,000 - from Infective/						•
Peresitic Diseases	6.52	6,24	7,96	6.90	6.96	5.64
Deaths per 100,000 - from Influenza/Pneumonia	26,67	28,62	26,00	25.60	26.03	22,65
Deaths per 100,000 - from Cardio-Vesculer						
Conditions	528,00	574.00	501 .20 °	527.00°	497.00	930.00
Incidence of Messies per 100,000 Population	7,59	5,30	5.07	3.80	10.00*	3.83
Incidence of Numps per 100,000 Population	10,54	9,10	6.94	6,91	3.57	6.65
Incidence of Rubella per 100,000 Population	9.32	5,25	3,30	2,29	8,63*	2.47
Health Utilization						
Impatient Hospital Visits per 100,000 Pop.	130,172.00	112,155.00	77,114,00°	61,842,000	127,597,000	82,877,00
Outpatient Hospital Visits per 100,000 Pop.	72, 155,00	93,137,000	56,328,000	31,829,00	91,302,000	42,555.00
Emergency Hospital Vielts per 100,000 Pop.	29,592,00	22,010,00	23,178.00	12,695,004	32,101,004	18,257.00
Inpatient Surgical Operations per 100,000 Po	. 1,380.00°	382,000	515,40*	153,60*	1,248,00	336.00
Total Surgical Operations per 100,000 Pop.	5,976.00	3,525.00*	2,506.00*	1,530.00*	5,596,00	2,337.00
<u>Crime</u>						
Number of Murders per 100,000 Population	4,58	4.67	6.50*	5.00°	4,36	4,86
Number of Rapes per 100,000 Population	7.00	5.74	6.45	5.73	8,77	8,20
Number of Burgleries per 100,000 Population	558.00°	413,000	464.00	406.42	769.90	736.30

^{*}Difference is significant at the p < .05 level using a two-tailed t-test.

Ina . It. ha

The Control of the Co

			St. Plates	
		2 But 1 lan		J Spoller
- Control of the Cont	A	म्बाब्द क		रताल क
			<u>Belined</u>	<u> </u>
these of fination	200-40	48.00	100.00	301.00
<u>Paradelline</u>				
Parallellar	17,411,480	12,100.00*	27,034.00	
Particular Drawn Auto	10.40	11.10	19.00	71,647.60 19.01
Committee of the	(0.0)	04.21	W. 15	97.44
forms from	13.30	12.12	4.00	4.30
April Spirits Salis States fore	2.11	441	141	1-40
Applicate to been the	11.10	11.60	11.01	11.74
	M.41 °	27.79	39.96	29.91
Subsect.				
College Colleges and universities	-44*	47	.20	.20
for depths foliastical impossitions	200-14	216.71	201.900	336.210
tate of the tempera territor	-98*	,100	.19	-01
Atmosts.				
for Stylin Ingels	4,993-00	4,043.00	7,439-00	3,397.00
Countries Income	10,100-00	10,919.00	11,349.05	11,797,000
Grant fate of the Capito means	66-27*	78-67	99-44	97.40
Complexit Cyle	11.44	10.00	12.90	10.20*
Litter Fires Participation Spay Participation Fires Spaymonthin	44.30	4.11	40-30	4.51
from the free title between	7-01	7.91	7.30	7.34
from the free factories	30.40°	30.10° 30.64	39.77	39.77
Particular to Distarbility of Residence	74.70	72-49	30-35 60-67	10-4) 80-82
forms totally in State of tentral of tenteres	21.35	20.20	19.00	16.10
former for throing in these of the lance	3-04	3-01	3-34	3-42
Forest Aprilatives For Botto Forest Inners	MAP	14.40	0.40	11.40*
State of Area	1,410.40	0,391-00	9,711.60	9,004-00*
fereign as fermin at both und	430.00	941.00° 9.00°	654.60	741.00
Complet Country units for Country	.30	.24	4.19°	9.97
Formal of Residence Color Parenty Line	19-94	17.20	11.10	.35 12.00
forest foreste faite factory Llas	19-20*	21.12	14-00	19.20
Person Received Lasting Corplete Planting	6-82	7-01	4.77	4-03
Press Aprille Applying 492	3-69	3-40	4.07*	3.12
Marin and the desired				
Relier of Sule Streets Seller of FIE Nulls per 100,000 Papelories	-44	-019	-40	.12
factor of Physician Extenders per 100,000	137-00	110.45*	193-00	193-60
Replates Sullar of Hamilton	4-61	2.07	0.79*	9.44*
	1-839	1.170	2-04	2-45
Come of Complete Sons per 185,688 Paperson	117 -25° 728-11°	73.30° 000.30°	199-26	300.43
pages of granded sign gads has 100,000	4-4-11	**************************************	129-43	1,001.76
Reputation .	0.00	0-03	.12	•41
first the faults dependences are replied. Notice States of Make	3-60*	7.67*	3.07	3.03*
district of figures during states	7.90	4.00*	17-82	17-00
An one a see	-410 -410	2-85*	1.4	9-10
talle of friend two buts	.30	.31° .27	1.45 1.36	1-03
· · · · · · ·	•••		7.0	•90

-



	HARA STOTUS							
	Mel 9-101	SA Counties	Port-IM	A Countles				
		Falled to		Feiled to				
Character (st) as	<u>Op I ned</u>	Coln	Galney	<u>Ge In</u>				
Head th. Resources								
H_D.s-10-100,000 Pepulation	41.730	33,06*	64,57	74.06				
Primary Core 40s to 100,000 Population	25.73*	22,21*	39,34	41.5				
0,0,s to 100,000 Reputation	3,76	2,85	6,11	4.91				
Primary Care 0.0.a to 100,000 Pepulation	2,96	2,99	5.00	4.70				
M.D. Interns and Residents	.26	, 16	.32	,61				
Invironment								
January Temperature	34,81	34,10	25.12	27,10				
July Temperature	76.53	76,76	71,300	73.92				
January Procipitation	2.79	2,66	2,32	2.12				
July Procipitetion	3,86	3,09	3,09	3.10				
Elevetion in foot	1,453.00	1,314,00	1,516,00	1,619.00				
Haelth Status								
Partility Rate	7.06	6,08	7.77	7,66				
Percent of Births to Tecnogo Hemon	9.63	9,53	7,42	7,20				
Infant Nortality Rate	163.53	163.47	151,67	144,10				
Deeths per 100,000 Population	1,000.00	1,002,00	967,00	961,00				
Deeths per 100,000 - from infective/								
Perecitis Disesses	6.09	7,41	8,000	6.11				
Deaths per 100,000 - from Influenze/Proumonia Deaths per 100,000 - from Cardio-Vescular	26, 11	23,60	21,600	26.50				
Conditions	513.00	520,00	507.00	506.00				
incidence of Massics per 100,000 Papulation	3.74	4.43	6.16	9.20				
incidence of Numpe per 100,000 Population	5.34	7.45	3.04	4,56				
incidence of Aubella per 100,000 Population	1,75	2,96	10,00	5.99				
teel th 1911 I set ten								
Important Hospital Visite per 100,000 Pap.	61,913.000	62,691,000	112,196,00	117,378,00				
Outpotient Hospital Visits per 100,000 Pap.	54,001.004	36,323,000	80,837,00	77,860,00				
Emergency Hospital Visits per 100,000 Pap.	22,719.000	14,453,000	32,162,000	27,178.00				
inpatient Surgical Operations per 100,000 Pap.	367.00*	252,000	900,00	1,052.00				
Total Surgical Operations per 100,000 Pap.	2,205.00*	1,780,000	4,215.00	4,945.00				
) ino								
Number of Murdors per 100,000 Population	6.92	5.52	4,96	4,36				
Number of Repes per 100,000 Pepulation	6,35	5.87	12,330	7,25				
Number of Burgiaries per 100,000 Population	461,00	416,17	995,120	676.00				

^{*}Difference is eignificant at the a < .05 level, using a gen-tailed t-test.

V. FINDINGS: MULTIVARIATE ANALYSIS OF COMMUNITY CHARACTERISTICS

A. INTRODUCTION

The findings of the descriptive analysis provided evidence that there are characteristics of counties which are more, or less, attractive to young physicians and that there are differences between NHSC alumni and non-alumni in how these characteristics are associated with location choices. However, while the descriptive analysis identified factors which appeared to be positively or negatively associated with young physicians' location choices, it did not measure the magnitude of the effect, nor did it consider the interrelationships among variables which are associated with location choices. The purpose of the multivariate analyses of the impact of community characteristics on location choices was to measure the magnitude and significance of the association of selected variables on the probability that a specific county will gain any young physician, an NHSC alumnus, or a non-alumnus.

B. FINDINGS

Results of the analysis of the effect of community characteristics on the probability that a 1974-1978 medical school graduate in primary care practice in a rural area would locate in a rural community with a particular set of characteristics are shown in Table V.1. Overall, the estimated model performs well and is highly significant. Although the R² values are relatively low, the estimated coefficients of many of the explanatory variables are statistically significant at the .05 level or above.

In Column (1), the model has been estimated for the dependent variable ANYDOC (i.e. coded 1, if any young physician located in that county; 0, otherwise) and the coefficients are estimates of the impact of the explanatory variables on the log odds that a community will gain any young physicians. From these coefficients, the conditional probabilities of gaining any young physician have been calculated and are shown in Column (2).

The population size variables, AMA2 and AMA3, are significant and contribute the greatest amount to the overall probability that a community will attract a young physician. This finding is consistent with findings of many prior studies which show that population dominates cross-sectional location studies. As population of a county moves from 10,000 to 25,000 the probability of attracting a young physician increases by 24%, when population increases from 25,000 to 50,000, this probability increases by another 46%. Other significant explanatory variables in the ANYDOC estimation are:



- o "HMSA2" (indicating counties which are part-HMSAs) is, as expected, a positive and significant variable in explaining the probability that a county gains a young physician; designation as a part-HMSA increases the probability that a county will gain a young physician by 19%
- o "College", the presence of a two-year or four-year college, increases the probability of gaining a young physician by 12%
- o "WC80", the proportion of the employed population in white collar jobs, increases the probability of gaining a young physician by 6%
- o "Farmpop", the proportion of the population on farms, decreases the probability of gaining a young physician by 5.5% and
- o "MDPOP", the ratio of physicians to 100,000 population in the county, increases the probability of gaining a young physician by 16% when it changes from 40/100,000 to 80/100,000.

Evaluating the entire model at the sample mean, we obtain a mean conditional probability, \overline{P} , of .64; an estimated 64 percent of non-metropolitan counties will gain at least one young physician. The \mathbb{R}^2 of the model is only .19.

When we re-estimated the model for the NHSCLOC and NONNHSC dependent variables, the results were substantially different. Clearly, when these two groups were combined in the ANYDOC analysis, the differences in community characteristics which are associated with gaining each type of physician were obscured:

- o For non-alumni, the community characteristics which are significantly related to counties gaining a non-alumni are
 - -- population
 - -- population density
 - -- white collar employment levels
 - -- farm population level
 - -- proportion of the labor force that works in the county of residence
 - -- presence of a hospital
 - -- physician-to-population ratios

Again, population and the physician-to-population ratios dominate the overall probability of gaining a non-alumni physician



- o For NHSC alumni, nearly all the explanatory variables are significant, but the magnitudes, and the signs for some, are different from the results for non-alumni
 - -- While "population" continued to be an important factor, the magnitude of the effect on the probability of gaining an NHSC alumni is smaller
 - -- "population density" has a negative and insignificant effect on the probability of gaining an NHSC alumni; but a positive and significant impact on the probability of gaining a non-alumni
 - -- Both "per capita educational expenditures" and the presence of a "college" have a positive and significant effect on the probability that a community will gain an alumni.
 - -- The proportion of "white collar" workers is not significant for the alumni estimates; although "farm population" levels are negative and significant
 - -- The presence of a hospital is negatively and significantly related to gaining an NHSC alumni; the physician-population ratio exerts a positive but insignificant effect on alumni, but is significant and of greater magnitude (17%) for non-alumni
 - -- Counties which are whole HMSAs are positively and significantly associated with the location choices of NHSC alumni, though not to those of non-alumni; Part-HMSAs, however, are positively and significantly associated with alumni and non-alumni location.

Although nearly all the explanatory variables are significant in the estimated model for NHSC alumni, the overall R² is only .93. These findings suggest that there are differences between the community characteristics which are attractive to alumni and non-alumni and that, for NHSC alumni particularly, factors other than the characteristics of communities appear to intervene in the location decision. It seems likely the NHSC service, itself, is one of those intervening variables.

One of the strengths of a multivariate probability model is that the estimated coefficients can be used to calculate the probability of the occurrence of a particular event (e.g. gaining any young physician) for subgroups in the study, while controlling for the influence of other factors in the model. Tables V.2(a) and V.2(b) present the estimated probability that a county will attract any young physician for selected combinations of characteristics of counties. For each of the three population groups, the effects of HMSA status, population density, and presence or absence of a

hospital are examined in Table V.2(a). In Table V.2(b), for the three population groups, the effects of HMSA status, population density, and low versus high physician-population ratios are examined.

The important feature to note in Table V.2(a) and V.2(b) is that the probability that a county will attract any young physician varies considerably for selected combinations of community characteristics:

- o From a low of 30% in non-HMSA counties of less than 10,000 population, with low population density, and no hospital, to a high of 92% in part-HMSA counties of over 25,000 population, with high population density, and a hospital.
- o From a low of 24% in non-HMSA counties with less than 10,000 population, low population, and relatively few physicians, to a high of 94% in part-HMSA counties with population over 25,000, high population density, and a high physician-population ratio.
- o The effect of increasing the physician-population ratio from "low" to "high" is to increase the probability that a HMSA county of less than 10,000 population will gain a young physician from 39% to 57%; however, hospital availability only adds 4% to the probability for a similar set of communities.
- Overall, the effect of increasing the availability of hospital and physician resources is greatest for the least populous counties and is relatively smaller for the most populous counties.

The purpose of the comparisons shown in Tables V.2(a) and (b) is primarily to demonstrate how this model could be used to estimate the probability tht a specific county, with a given set of characteristics, will attract a young physician. The estimated model could be applied, for example, to identify those counties which are most likely to attract a non-alumni physician and to identify those counties least likely to gain any young physician. Identification of these groups of counties could be of potential usefulness to the NHSC policy formulation process.



TABLE V-1

RESULTS OF LOGIT ANALYSIS: ALL YOUNG PHYSICIANS AND BY NHSC STATUS

(Maximum Likelihood Estimates)

Explanatory	(1) Gein - Not Gein	(2)	(3) Gain - Not Gain	(4)	(5) Gain ≃ Not Gein	(6) NHSC
Variable	Any Young Physician	Any Young Physician	Non-Alumni	Non-Alumn1	NHSC alumni	Alumn1
	Log Odds of	(9b/9X ¹)	Log Odds of	(9P/9X)	Log Odds of	(<u>ab/ax</u>)
	Gaining	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Gaining		Gaining	
CONSTANT	-3.255**	-	-3.955**	***	-3.471**	-
AM2	•926**	•225	•946 **	•232	•999**	•079
AM3	2.097**	•428	2.017**	•447	1 • 465**	•143
PPSQ75	•007*	•054	•009*	•069	-0.006	-•019
EDUCATE	•000	•005	000	009	•002**	•021
COLLEGE	•595 *	•126	•313	•075	•474 *	•052
URBAN	•056	•013	•089	•022	015	001
INCRATE	-•003	007	-•002	-•006	006	006
WC80	•024 *	•056	•025 *	•062	•012	•011
FARIPOP	-•023 **	054	 018*	043	047**	-•041
PERPOYF	005	011	-•008	019	•013	•012
HORKRES	•009	•020	•015 **	•037	-•003	003
HOSP1TAL	•135	•031	•356*	•088	459*	049
MOPOP	•018 ^{**}	•167	•018**	•172	•001	•006
HMSA1	•439 **	•104	•100	•025	1 • 389**	•128
HMSA2	•876 **	-193	•639**	•162	1 • 225**	•105
R^2	•198		•217		•093	
CONDITIONAL	. PROBABILITY (P)®	•644		•574		•107

^{*}Significant at the P < .05 level.

^{##}Significant at the P < •10 level•

^aP is the conditional probability obtained by evaluating the entire model at the sample mean. The equation used to compute P is $1/1+e^{-1}$, $t=\sum \alpha_j x_j + q_0$ where α_j = coefficient, x_j = mean value, α_0 constant, and j = number of explanatory variables.

TABLE V.2(a)

ESTIMATED PROBABILITIES OF ATTRACTING A

PHYSICIAN FOR SELECTED CHARACTERISTICS OF COUNTIES^a

	Whole Hi	SA County	Non-HMS	SA County	Part-HMS	SA County
County Population	High Population Density	Low Population Density	High Population Density	Low Population Density	High Population Density	Low Population Density
		Ca	itegory 1: No H	lospîtal .		
Population less						
than 10,000	•45	•40	•35	•30	•56	•50
Population						
10,000 - 25,000	•68	•62	•57	•52	•76	•72
Population over						
25,000 	•87	•84 —-	•81	• 78	•91	•89
		Cate	gory 2: Hospit	al Present	_	-
Population less						
than 10,000	•49	•43	•38	•33	•60	•54
Population						
10,000 - 25,000	•71	•65	•61	•55	•79	•75
Population over						
25,000	•89	•86	•83	•80	•92	•90

 $^{^{\}rm a}$ For all variables in the model, other than the specific variables in the different cohorts, the mean values were used to evaluate the expression.

$$P = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-1}}$$

where: $t = c_0 + \alpha_1 x_1 + \alpha_2 x_2 + \alpha_3 x_3 + \alpha_4 x_4 + \cdots$ and P is the estimated probability for each cohort. See Theil (1972) for discussion of methods to calculate probabilities for cohorts.



TABLE V.2(b) ESTIMATED PROBABILITIES OF ATTRACTING A PHYSICIAN FOR SELECTED CHARACTERISTICS OF COUNTIES®

	Whole Hi	6A County	Non-HMS	SA County	Part-HMSA County			
County (High Population Density	Low Population Density	High Population Density	Low Population Density	High Population Density	Low Population Density		
		Ca	itegory 1: No h	lospītal _				
Population less than 10,000	•39	•33	.29	.24	.49	.44		
Population 10,000 - 25,000	•61	•56	•51	.45	•71	•66		
Population over 25,000	•84	•80	•77	•72	.89	•86		
		Cate	gory 2: Hospit	tal Present				
Population less than 10,000	.57	•51	•46	•40	•67	•61		
Population 10,000 - 25,000	•77	•72	•68	.63	.84	•80		
Population over 25,000	.91	•89	•87	•84	.94	•93		

^aFor all variables in the model, other than the specific variables in the different cohorts, the mean values were used to evaluate the expression.

$$P = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-\frac{1}{2}}}$$

where: $t = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 X_1 + \alpha_2 X_2 + \alpha_3 X_3 + \alpha_4 X_4 + \cdots$ and P is the estimated probability for each cohort. See Theil (1972) for discussion of methods to calculate probabilities for cohorts.



A. OVERVIEW

The analytic findings presented in this report concentrate on two issues:

- o distributional patterns of young physicians in nonmetropolitan areas
- o characteristics of non-metropolitan communities in which young physicians did and did not locate.

In this chapter, we briefly summarize the major findings reported in Chapters III, IV, and V and describe profiles of communities which are and are not attractive to young physicians.

B. DISTRIBUTIONAL PATTERNS

We have examined distributional patterns in two stages: Stage 1: the flow of young physicians into counties between 1975 and 1979; and Stage 2: the location choices of 1974 to 1978 medical and osteopathic school graduates.

Findings of the Stage 1 analysis indicate that:

- o Between 1975 and 1979, 40 percent of nonmetropolitan counties gained at least 1 young physician.
- o The North and West Census Region counties were most likely to have gained young physicians. However, the South and Central Regions had a larger number of counties which gained young physicians.
- o Of 2,111 non-metropolitan counties, 641 (30 percent) had no young physicians in either 1975 or 1979.
- o Only 21 percent of counties with less than 10,000 population gained young physicians; 61 percent of counties with 25,000 or more population added one or more young physicians between 1975 and 1979.
- o Sixty percent of counties which gained one or more young physicians experienced an increase in total supply of physicians between 1975 and 1979.



- o Of all counties which added young physicians between 1975 and 1979, 69 percent gained one or two; only 14 percent gained 5 or more. Counties with population under 10,000 were most likely (88 percent) to have gained only 1 or 2 young physicians. Counties in the North and West Census Region were most likely to have added 3 or more young physicians.
- o Population, population growth rate, health resources, growth rate of per capita income, and proportion of the population agricultural were the characteristics which best distinguished counties which gained or lost young physicians.

Findings of the Stage 2 analysis relate to the distributional patterns of 1974 through 1978 graduates, separately by NHSC experience. These results indicate that:

- o Of 2,111 non-metropolitan counties with population under 50,000, 58 percent gained at least one 1974 to 1978 graduate.
- o Counties in the North Census Region were most likely to have gained a young physician.
- o When counties are classed by population size, it is observed that
 - -- 31 percent of counties with less than 10,000 population gained a physician
 - -- 62 percent of counties of 10,000 to 25,000 population gained a physician
 - -- 92 percent of counties with 25,000 to 50,000 population gained a physician.
- o While 78 percent of part-HMSAs and 61 percent of non-HMSAs gained a physician, only 45 percent of whole county HMSAs gained a physician.
- o In 5 percent of counties, NHSC alumni were the only physician locating in the area.
- o Overall, counties with lower population and counties designated as HMSAs were most likely to gain NHSC alumni:



- -- 50 percent of counties which were attractive to NHSC alumni were whole county HMSAs while only 27 percent of counties which attracted non-NHSC physicians were whole county HMSAs
- -- 31 percent of part-HMSAs gained NHSC alumni, while only 26 percent of part-HMSA counties attracted non-NHSC physicians
- -- Overall, 81 percent of counties in which NHSC alumni located were wholly or partially-designated HMSAs; only 53 percent of counties attracting non-NHSC physicians were HMSAs.
- o The diffusion hypothesis is supported, though weakly, by the distributional patterns of the 1974 through 1978 graduates cohort; later graduates appear slightly more likely to locate in less populous areas.

The findings of the distributional patterns analysis suggest that there are differences in the choices being made by non-NHSC physicians and by NHSC alumni. The community characteristics analysis was designed to identify the factors which appear to be associated with these different choices.

C. COMMUNITY CHARACTERISTICS

When the characteristics of counties which gained and failed to gain young physicians are compared descriptively, the findings indicate that:

- o Counties in which young physicians located are more populous, have higher population growth rates, have less Hispanic population, have a better educated population, and a greater population density.
- These counties are more likely to have colleges and universities and to have urban contiguous counties. In counties with over 10,000 population, higher per capita expenditures for education are observed in counties which are attractive to young physicians.
- o With respect to economic variables, higher income levels are observed in gaining counties, and the workforce is more heavily concentrated in white collar and manufacturing activities; there are fewer farmers and a smaller percentage of land is in farmland. Gaining counties also tend to have higher unemployment rates and a higher proportion of the population receiving AFDC payments.



- O Health resources are more available in counties which were attractive to young physicians. However, per capita public expenditures for health are lower in these counties.
- o With respect to environment, counties in which young physicians located are cooler, have more winter precipitation, and are at lower elevations. These findings are probably related to distributional patterns by Census Region.
- o Health status variables do not present a consistent profile; counties which gained young physicians have fewer births and deaths per capita, and a higher incidence of measles.
- O Health utilization per capita is consistently higher in gaining counties. This finding may reflect the greater supply of health resources in these counties or may indicate high levels of demand for care.
- o Crime levels are higher in gaining counties.

Overall, the results of the comparison of characteristics of communities in which young physicians did and did not locate are consistent both with prior expectations and with the results of the limited Stage 1 analysis. Comparisons of these patterns for physicians of different types, however, were of considerable interest.

Comparison of distinguishing characteristics of communities in which non-NHSC physicians and NHSC alumni located reveals that communities which NHSC alumni chose have:

- o smaller populations
- o lower population density
- o larger minority populations
- o lower income levels
- o higher unemployment rates
- o fewer health resources
- o less health care utilization per capita



These findings suggest that MISC slumni are choosing practice locations which are distinctly different and is greater need of additional physician services.

Comparison of the characteristics of communities selected by NHSC M.D. slummi and D.O. alumni supports provious research findings that suggest that seteopathic physicians are more inclined to locate in more rursl, agricultural areas than are M.D.s. IMSC alumni who are osteopathic physicians choose counties which, relative to those chosen by M.D. slumni, have:

- o less population
- o lower population densities
- o fever minorities
- higher educational levels
- o higher per capita incomes
- o lower unemployment rates
- o fewer white coller workers
- o more farms
- · less poverty
- o fewer health resources
- o fewer M.D. physicians, but more D.O. physicians
- n very low health care utilisation levels

Ostospathic physicians appear to be more likely to choose locations which are unlikely to be attractive to M.D.s, generally. These areas tend to be agricultural, and to have the fewest health resources and associated utilisation levels.

Recent PPOs located in those MISA counties which have:

- o more population and have a higher population growth rate
- · fower low income families
- · less agriculture
- · more health resources



- o higher health cars utilization
- o higher crime rates.

These results, for the most part, are not surprising. The fact that recent PPOs choose more populous and growing HMSAs in which to locate suggests that some PPOs may be considering long run practice opportunities in choosing a PPO practice location.

Comparisons of M.D. PPO and D.O. PPO community characteristics yield findings similar to those for M.D. and D.O. alumni. Osteopathic physicians tend to choose HMSA locations which are less populated, less dense, more agricultural; they choose areas with fewer health resources than do M.D. PPOs. Overall it appears that D.O. PPOs, unlike M.D. PPOs, locate in HMSAs which are representative of all HMSAs.

The consistency of the findings for recent PPOs and NHSC alumni suggests that:

- o Some PPOs select communities in which they intend to remain permanently in practice, and/or
- o The NHSC experience had a significant effect on later location decisions of alumni.

D. MULTIVARIATE ANALYSIS

Results of the multivariate analysis suggest that counties are more likely to be attractive to young physicians, in general, when they have:

- o Greater population. As population of a county increases from 10,000 to 25,000, the probability of attracting a young physicians increases by 24 percent; when population increases from 25,000 to 50,000, this probability increases by another 46 percent.
- o More physicians. As the ratio of physicians-to-population increases from 40/100,000 to 80/100,000, the probability that the county will gain a young physician increases by 16 percent.
- o A college. Counties which have a two- or four-year college have a 12 percent higher probability of gaining a young physician.



- o White collar employment. An increase in the proportion of the employed population in white collar jobs, from 30 percent to 40 percent, increases the probability of attracting a young physician by 6 percent.
- o <u>Farm population</u>. As the proportion of the population on farms increases from 10 percent to 20 percent, the probability of attracting any young physicians <u>decreases</u> by 5.5 percent.

When the model was re-estimated separately to evaluate the factors associated with a county's gaining an NHSC alumni or non-alumni, results were substantially different:

- o For <u>non-alumni</u>, the community characteristics which are significantly related to counties gaining a non-alumni are
 - -- population
 - -- population density
 - -- white collar employment levels
 - -- farm population level
 - -- proportion of the labor force that works in the county of residence
 - -- presence of a hospital
 - -- physician-to-population ratios

Again, population and the physician-to-population ratios dominate the overall probability of gaining a non-alumni physician

- o For NHSC alumni, nearly all the explanatory variables are significant, but the magnitudes, and the signs for some, are different from the results for non-alumni
 - -- While "population" continues to be an important factor, the magnitude of the effect on the probability of attracting an NHSC alumni is smaller.
 - -- "Population density" has a negative and insignificant effect on the probability of attracting an NHSC alumni; but a positive and significant impact on the probability of attracting a non-alumni
 - -- Both "per capita educational expenditures" and the presence of a "college" have a positive and significant effect on the probability that a community will attract an alumni.



- -- The proportion of "white collar" workers is not significant for the alumni estimates; although "farm population" levels are negative and significant
- -- The presence of a hospital is negatively and significantly related to attracting an NHSC alumni; the physician-population ratio is an insignificant effect for the alumni and the magnitude of this effect is much smaller for NHSC alumni (0.8%) than for non-alumni (17%)
- -- Counties which are whole HMSAs are positively and significantly associated with attractiveness to NHSC alumni, though not to non-alumni. Part-HMSAs are positively and significantly associated with location choices of both alumni and non-alumni.

These findings suggest that there are differences between the community characteristics which are attractive to alumni and non-alumni and that, for NHSC alumni particularly, factors other than the characteristics of communities appear to intervene in the location decision. It seems likely that the NHSC service, itself, is one of those intervening variables.

APPENDIX A

Additional Tables

- o NHSC M.D. Alumni, Distribution by Region, Population, and HMSA Status.
- o NHSC D.O. Alumni, Distribution by Region, Population, and HMSA Status.
- o PPO M.D.'s, Distribution by Region, Population, and HMSA Status.
- o PPO D.O.'s, Distribution by Region, Population, and HMSA Status.



TABLE A. I

DISTRIBUTION OF COUNTIES WHICH DID AND DID NOT GAIN YOUNG M.D.S
WITH NHSC EXPERIENCE, BY REGION, COUNTY POPULATION, AND COUNTY HMSA STATUS

OL1.1	All					Cou	inty Popula	lon		HMSA Status	 -
Physician	Non-Metropolitan		Regi	on		Under	10,000	Over	Non-	Whole-	Part-
Supply	Countles	North	Central	South	West	10,000	-25,000	25,000	HMSA	HMSA	HMSA
Falled to	87 . 0\$	63.0	91.0	87.0	80.0	01.0	06.0	30.0	** *	•••	
Ca In	(1838)	(38)	(727)	(842)	(231)	93 . 0 (688)	86.0 (782)	79 . 0 (368)	94 . 0 (826)	84 . 0 (699)	79 , 0 (213)
Gained	13.0	37.0	9.0	13,0	20.0	7.0	14.0	21.0		44.4	•••
Physicians	(274)	(22)	(68)	(126)	(58)	(52)	(127)	21 _• 0 (95)	6.0 (52)	16 . 0 (138)	21 _• 0 (84)
Number Galned											
1 - 2	93.8	82.0	96.0	96.0	91.0	98.0	96.0	88,0	96.0	94.0	92,0
3 - 4	5.4	18.0	4.0	4.0	7.0	2.0	4.0	11.0			
5 = 6	.4		•	•	2.0		700		4.0	6.0	7,0
7 or More	•	•	•		-	-		1.0	•	•	1.0
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100,0
TOTAL											
Number	2112	60	795	968	289	740	909	167	070		
Percent	100%	100	100	100	100	100	100	463 100	878 100	837 100	397 100



TABLE A.2

DISTRIBUTION OF COUNTIES WHICH DID AND DID NOT GAIN YOUNG D.O.S
WITH NHSC EXPERIENCE, BY REGION, COUNTY POPULATION, AND COUNTY HMSA STATUS

	All		<u> </u>			Cou	nty Popular	tion		HMSA Status	3
Physician	Non-Metropolitan		Regio	ON		Under	10,000	Over	Non-	Whole-	Part-
Supply	Countles	North	Contral	South	Hest	10,000	-25,000	25,000	HMSA	HMSA	HMSA
Falled to	98.0\$	95.0	98.0	99.0	97.0	99.0	97.0	98.0	99.0	98.0	96.0
Gain	(2072)	(57)	(780)	(956)	(279)	(733)	(886)	(453)	(873)	(819)	(380)
Ga1 ned	2.0	5.0	2.0	1.0	3.0	1.0	3.0	2.0	1.0	2.0	4.0
Physician:	(40)	(3)	(15)	(12)	(10)	(7)	(23)	(10)	(5)	(18)	(17)
Number Gained											
1 - 2	97.5	67.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	90.0	100.0	100.0	94.0
3 - 4	2,5	33.0	•	•	•	-	•	10.0	•	•	6.0
5 - 6	•	•	•	-	•	•	•	•	•	•	•
7 or More	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Total											
Number	2112	60	795	968	289	740	909	463	878	837	397
Percent	100\$	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100

1: 155



TABLE A.3

DISTRIBUTION OF COUNTIES WHICH DID AND DID NOT GAIN
PPO M.D.S, BY REGION, COUNTY POPULATION, AND COUNTY HASA STATUS

Dhara 1 I	All					Cou	inty Popula	tion	HMGA Statu	s
Physician	Non-Metropolitan Countles		Regi	on		Under	10,000	Over	Whole-	Part-
Supply		North	Central	South	lest	10,000	-25,000	25,000	HMSA	HMSA
Falled to	80.15	63.5	85•6	80.4	72.0	89.7	76.7	60 A		
Galn	(989)	(33)	(357)	(463)	(136)	(419)	(408)	68•9 (162)	81•0 (679)	78.0 (310)
Salined	19.9	36.5	14.4	19•6	28•0	10.3	23.3	31•1	19.0	22.4
Physicians	(245)	(19)	(60)	(113)	(53)	(48)	(124)	(73)	(158)	22.0 (87)
Number Galned										
1 - 2	94.3	90.0	94.0	94.0	96+0	98•0	97•0	88•0	93•0	95.0
3 - 4	5.3	10.0	6.0	5.0	4.0	2.0	3.0	11.0	6.0	
5 - 6	0.4	•	•	1.0	•	-		1.0		5.0
7 or Mone	•	•	-	•	•	•	-	-	1.0	•
Total	100•0	100.0	100•0	100.0	100•0	100•0	100•0	100•0	100.0	100.0
TOTAL										
Number	2112	52	417	576	189	467	532	235	677	705
Percent	100%	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	837 100	397 100

TABLE A.4

DISTRIBUTION OF COUNTIES WHICH DID AND DID NOT GAIN

PPO D.O.S BY REGION, COUNTY POPULATION, AND COUNTY HMSA STATUS

	All					Cou	nty Populat	tion	HMSA Status	3
Physician	Non-Metropolitan		Regio	on .		Under	10,000	0ver	Whole-	Part-
Supply	Countles	North	Central	South	West	10,000	-25,000	25,000	HMSA	HMSA
Falled to	93.3%	92•3	91.9	94.4	93•1	93•6	93.2	92.8	93.0	94.0
Gain	(1151)	(48)	(383)	(544)	(176)	(437)	(496)	(218)	(7/9)	(372)
Gained	6•7	7.7	8,2	5.6	6.9	6•4	4.0	4.0	7•0	6.0
Physicians	(83)	(4)	(34)	(32)	(13)	(30)	(37)	(17)	(58)	(25)
Number Gained										
1 - 2	98•0	100.0	94•0	100.0	100.0	97.0	97.0	100•0	98.0	100.0
3 - 4	2•0	•	6•0	-	•	3.0	3.0	•	2.0	•
5 - 6	•	•	•	•		•	-	•	-	•
7 or More	•	•	•	-	•	-	•	•	•	•
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100•0	100 •0	100-0	100•0	100•0
TOTAL										
Number	1234	52	417	576	189	467	532	235	837	397
Percent	100≴	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100

